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We present the results of elastic and inelastic neutron scattering measurements on nonsuperconducting Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$, a composition close to a quantum critical point between antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordered and paramagnetic phases. By comparing these results with the spin fluctuations in the low-Cu composition as well as the parent compound BaFe$_2$As$_2$ and superconducting Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ compounds, we demonstrate that paramagnon-like spin fluctuations are evident in the antiferromagnetically ordered state of Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$, which is distinct from the AFM-like spin fluctuations in the superconducting compounds. Our observations suggest that Cu substitution decouples the interaction between quasiparticles and the spin fluctuations. We also show that the spin-spin correlation length $\xi(T)$ increases rapidly as the temperature is lowered and find $\omega/T$ scaling behavior, the hallmark of quantum criticality, at an antiferromagnetic quantum critical point.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Inelastic neutron scattering (INS) measurements on the iron-arsenide parent BaFe$_2$As$_2$ compound show strong antiferromagnetic (AFM) spin fluctuations at temperatures below the AFM ordering temperatures ($T_N$) together with the evolution to paramagnetic fluctuations for $T > T_N$ [1]. The AFM spin fluctuations are usually well-defined spin waves in the magnetically ordered state, whereas the spin fluctuations in a state without magnetic ordering are paramagnetic, which lack the spin-wave-like correlations. In the superconducting (SC) iron arsenides, such as Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$, strong spin fluctuations exist, whether or not the system orders magnetically. These fluctuations look very similar to those observed in the AFM ordered parent compound, except for the onset of a superconducting spin resonance that appears below the superconducting transition temperature ($T_c$) [2,3]. The observation of strong AFM spin fluctuations in the superconducting compounds invigorates the idea that the spin fluctuations may provide the pairing interaction for the Cooper pairing of quasiparticles [4–7].

As important as strong spin fluctuations seem to be, superconductivity emerges in the iron arsenides only if the AFM order is sufficiently suppressed to lower temperatures by means of external parameters, such as an elemental substitution [8–10]. For example, in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$TM$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ with $TM = Co$ or Ni, $T_N$ is lowered and $T_c$ rises with increasing substitution level. In earlier studies, beyond some threshold, $T_N$ seemed to decrease below $T_c$ down to zero temperature [8–14]. As $T_N \rightarrow 0$, a zero-temperature instability may exist between the AFM and paramagnetic states. In other words, an antiferromagnetic quantum critical point (AFM QCP) is anticipated. However, several neutron experiments show that the $T_N$ is arrested by the appearance of superconductivity. Some studies have shown that the magnetism becomes paramagnetic again below $T_N$ in higher Co-substituted compounds, resulting in the backbending of the $T_N$ phase line [10,15]. Other studies have demonstrated the discontinuous suppression of $T_N$ at a nonzero temperature, implying an avoided AFM QCP in Ni- and P-substituted BaFe$_2$As$_2$ compounds [16–18]. However, interestingly, previous transport measurements [19], nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements [20], and penetration-depth measurements [21] in P-substituted BaFe$_2$As$_2$ compounds show non-Fermi-liquid behavior, pointing to the possible existence of a QCP. Such non-Fermi-liquid behavior is one of the characteristics of the well-known heavy-fermion superconductors. In these materials, inelastic neutron scattering measurements found that the spin fluctuations show a singular behavior as well as $\omega/T$ scaling behavior, the hallmark of quantum criticality at the AFM QCP [22,23].

In the case of Cu substitution, the phase diagram of Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ looks very similar to that of Co- or Ni-substituted BaFe$_2$As$_2$ compounds [11–14]. Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ exhibits the same kind of structural and magnetic transitions with $T_S > T_N$ as in other superconducting compounds [11,14,24]. However, superconductivity is not observed down to the lowest measured temperature of 2 K for any degree of Cu substitution. Therefore, unlike in the superconducting compounds, the AFM order is not hindered by the occurrence of superconductivity and a putative AFM quantum critical point can be reached in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$)$_2$As$_2$, especially with $0.044 < x < 0.047$, as we show later in the results section. We can then investigate the consequences of the quantum criticality on the spin dynamics without an intervening superconducting state and
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seek possible connections between the spin fluctuations and superconductivity in Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$TM$_x$)$_2$As$_2$.

In this paper, we present a detailed study of the spin fluctuations over a wide range of temperature and energy transfers in the nonsuperconducting Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$ compound. We explore, in particular, any potential connection to unconventional superconductivity in the iron arsenides. First, neutron diffraction is used to establish a phase diagram (shown in Fig. 1), spectroscopy, providing a relative uncertainty of less than 5%.

10 positions on each sample using wavelength dispersive spectrometer with 48 filters to eliminate higher harmonics in the incident beam. We then use the inelastic neutron scattering technique and show that while the spin-fluctuation spectra in Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$ look similar to those in related superconducting derivatives for $T > T_c$, the momentum-integrated local susceptibility $\chi' (\omega)$ exhibits paramagnetic fluctuations at all temperatures, which is distinct from the AFM-like spin fluctuations in the SC compounds. From a detailed study of the spin fluctuations over a wide range of temperatures, we also show that the spin-spin correlation length $\xi (T)$ increases rapidly as we lower the temperature and discover $\omega \cdot T$ scaling behavior over a wide range of temperatures, supporting the existence of a putative AFM QCP at 0.044 < $x$ ≤ 0.047.

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ ($x = 0.028, 0.039, 0.043, 0.044$, and 0.047) were grown out of a FeAs self-flux using conventional high-temperature solution growth [14]. Elemental analysis was performed at approximately 10 positions on each sample using wavelength dispersive spectroscopy, providing a relative uncertainty of less than 5%.

For the determination of the phase diagram (shown in Fig. 1), single pieces of crystal were used in neutron measurements for $x = 0.039, 0.044$, and 0.047 (a typical mass of approximately 100 mg) and coaligned crystals were used for $x = 0.028$ (2 crystals, total mass of 1.5 g) and 0.043 (9 crystals, total mass of 1.14 g).

The neutron diffraction measurements were performed on the TRIAX triple-axis spectrometer at the University of Missouri Research Reactor and the HB3 triple-axis spectrometer at the High-Flux Isotope Reactor at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Samples with $x = 0.039, 0.044$, and 0.047 were measured on the TRIAX. The beam collimators before the monochromator, between the monochromator and sample, between the sample and analyzer, and between the analyzer and detector were 60° – 40° – 40° – 80°, respectively. We used fixed $E_i = E_f = 14.7$ meV and two pyrolytic graphite filters to eliminate higher harmonics in the incident beam. For the $x = 0.028$ and 0.043 samples, we used the HB3 spectrometer with 48° – 60° – 80° – 120° collimation, fixed $E_i = E_f = 14.7$ meV, and two PG filters before the analyzer.

Inelastic neutron scattering measurements on the $x = 0.028$ and 0.043 samples were performed on the cold triple-axis spectrometer (CTAX), the HB3 triple-axis spectrometer at the High-Flux Isotope Reactor at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, and the Wide Angular-Range Chopper Spectrometer (ARCS) [25] at the Spallation Neutron Source at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. At CTAX, we used a fixed $E_i = 5$ meV with open–open–open–open collimation and a nitrogen-cooled Be filter was employed to eliminate higher harmonics. The experimental setup at HB3 was identical to that for diffraction measurements, except that we used a fixed $E_i = 14.7$ meV. For the time-of-flight measurement on ARCS, we used $E_i = 50, 80$, and 250 meV with $k_i$ parallel to the $c$ axis. The incident Fermi chopper frequencies were 120 and 420 Hz for $E_i = 50$ and 80 meV, and 360 and 120 Hz for $E_i = 250$ meV.

The samples were aligned such that the $(H,0,L)$ reciprocal lattice plane was coincident with the scattering plane of the spectrometer. Measurements were performed using closed-cycle refrigerators between room temperature and the base temperature, $T = 5$ K, of the refrigerator. All samples exhibited small mosaicities (<0.4° full width at half maximum (FWHM) measured at CTAX, and <0.6° FWHM measured at HB3) measured by rocking scans through the $(4,0,0)$ nuclear peak, demonstrating high sample quality. In our measurements, we define $Q = (H,K,L) = \frac{2\pi}{a} H \hat{i} + \frac{2\pi}{b} K \hat{j} + \frac{2\pi}{c} L \hat{k}$,
where the orthorhombic lattice constants are \( a > b \approx 5.6 \, \text{Å} \) and \( c \approx 13 \, \text{Å} \). Note that the Ba(Fe_{0.97}Cu_{0.028})_2As_2 ("Cu028", \( T_S \approx 73 \, \text{K}, T_N \approx 64 \, \text{K}, \text{no SC} \)) compound studied in the current paper is the coaligned set already used in Ref. [24]. We used it for additional measurements that were not presented in the previous report to compare with the Ba(Fe_{0.97}Cu_{0.043})_2As_2 ("Cu043") compound.

## III. RESULTS

### A. Phase diagram

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show \([H,0,0]\) and \([0,0,L]\) scans through the \((1,0,3)\) magnetic Bragg peak for \( x = 0.043 \) (circles) and \( x = 0.028 \) (lines) measured at the HB3 instrument at the High-Flux Isotope Reactor at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). We find that the AFM ordering in \( x = 0.043 \) is consistent with the spin-density wave order observed in the parent compound. While the line shape broadens along the orthorhombic \( b \) direction for \( x \geq 0.039 \) [24], the peak width along the orthorhombic \( a \) direction is resolution limited and comparable to that in both the \( x = 0.028 \) and 0.043 samples. However, the peak width along the \( c \) direction for the \( x = 0.043 \) sample becomes three times broader than that for the \( x = 0.028 \) sample. It has been proposed that the broadening along the \( b \) direction and the absence of incommensurate AFM order in Cu-substituted compounds arise from disorder by Cu substitution, which introduces spectral broadening of the Fermi surfaces [24]. The broadening along the \( c \) direction supports this scenario. However, the resolution-limited peak width along the \( a \) direction is not consistent with the proposal and suggests that the Fermi-surface spectra along the \( a \) direction may be protected from broadening in this proposal. Further study is necessary to understand the relation between the disorder effect and the AFM ordering in iron arsenides.

We show the structural and AFM order parameters for samples with \( 0.039 \leq x \leq 0.047 \) in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). Changes of the peak intensity for \( x = 0.039, 0.043, 0.044, \) and 0.047 were measured at the \((4,0,0)\) nuclear peak across the structural transition, which is associated with an extinction release [26–28] (Fig. 1(c)). Measurements of extinction release as a structural order parameter can be very sensitive to the quality of the samples and can result in various shapes of surrogate order parameters, as shown in the figures in Refs. [26–28]. Therefore, even though extinction release is a result of a structural transition, measurements of extinction release should be marginally considered to represent structural order parameters. The AFM spin-density wave transitions for \( x = 0.039, 0.043, 0.044, \) and 0.047 were measured at the \((1,0,3)\) magnetic Bragg peak [Fig. 1(d)]. Unlike the sharp transitions observed in \( x = 0.028 \) (not shown), both order parameters show broad transitions, possibly due to a spread in compositions. In order to determine \( T_S \) and \( T_N \), we employ a power-law fit with an additional Gaussian distribution of transition temperatures [29],

\[
I = A \int dt \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\ln t - T_S)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left( \frac{t - T_N}{t_N} \right)^\beta \right],
\]

where \( \sigma = \sigma_S, \sigma_N \) is the standard deviation in temperature, \( t_N \). We present fit values of \( T_S = T_{S,\text{fit}} \pm \sigma_S \) and \( T_N = T_{N,\text{fit}} \pm \sigma_N \) in Table I. Considering the relative compositional uncertainty in each compound, the obtained temperature deviations in \( T_S \) and \( T_N \) are reasonable. For \( x = 0.047 \pm 0.002 \), we did not observe any changes in both structural and magnetic measurements and, thus, we conclude that the magnetic and structural transitions are completely suppressed. We note that similar broad transitions in the AFM order parameters have been reported in superconducting Ba(Fe_{1−}xNi_x)2As_2 compounds and the authors conclude that it is associated with the spin-glass state [17]. However, a spin-glass state in Ba(Fe_{0.95}Cu_{0.043})_2As_2 is less likely because of the relatively sharp magnetic ordering peak [Figs. (a) and 1(b)].

We measured the integrated intensity of the AFM Bragg peak for each sample and used the method described in Ref. [15] to estimate the ordered moment per Fe/Cu site, extrapolated to \( T = 0 \, \text{K} \) in each compound. The results are shown in the inset of Fig. 1(e). The ordered moment decreases monotonically from \( \sim 0.57 \, \text{μB} \) at \( x = 0.30 \) to \( \sim 0.15(4) \, \text{μB} \) at \( x = 0.043 \). The smooth reduction of the ordered moments with Cu substitution is similar to that with Co or Ni substitutions [3,10,15].

Altogether, we construct the phase diagram of Ba(Fe_{1−}xCu_x)2As_2 in Fig. 1(e). Our phase diagram is consistent with the previous phase diagram [11,14]. Since the previous phase diagram was completed using bulk measurements, uncertainties in transition temperatures were large, especially in samples with a higher Cu-substitution level. By employing neutron diffraction, we significantly improve the uncertainties in \( T_S \) and \( T_N \). From Fig. 1(e), it is readily seen that a putative antiferromagnetic quantum critical point exists at \( 0.044 \leq x \leq 0.047 \).

### B. Spin fluctuations at \( E \leq 14 \, \text{meV} \): Comparison with \( x = 0.028 \)

In order to determine the effect of Cu substitution on the spin fluctuations, we present the results of inelastic neutron scattering measurements in the low-energy transfer regime measured at CTAX and HB3 in Fig. 2. Figures 2(a)–2(i) show constant-\( E \) \( Q \) scans along the orthorhombic \( a \) and \( c \) directions and Fig. 2(j) shows constant-\( Q \) \( E \) scans for Ba(Fe_{0.97}Cu_{0.028})_2As_2 ("Cu028", \( T_S \approx 73 \, \text{K}, T_N \approx 64 \, \text{K}, \text{no SC} \)) and Ba(Fe_{0.97}Cu_{0.043})_2As_2 ("Cu043", \( T_S \approx 33 \, \text{K}, T_N \approx 26 \, \text{K}, \text{no SC} \)). Since the measurements were performed on the same instruments with identical setups and the data are normalized by the total mass, we can directly compare the data for Cu028 and Cu043. We find that the spin gap is closed below \( E = 0.5 \, \text{meV} \) in Cu043 [Fig. 2(f)] and the spin fluctuations at \( E \leq 14 \, \text{meV} \) are very similar in Cu028 and Cu043, as shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(e) and 2(g)–2(j).

**TABLE I. Fit values of the structural transition temperature (\( T_S \)) and the AFM transition temperature (\( T_N \)) for Ba(Fe_{1−}xCu_x)2As_2.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cu content</th>
<th>( T_S ) (K)</th>
<th>( \sigma_S ) (K)</th>
<th>( T_N ) (K)</th>
<th>( \sigma_N ) (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.039 ± 0.002</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.043 ± 0.002</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.044 ± 0.001</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
direction, which is perpendicular to the FeAs plane, and the system becomes more quasi-two dimensional as it becomes more superconducting [31–33]. However, in our case with Cu substitution, the spin fluctuations along the c direction in both Cu028 and Cu043 remain unchanged, which indicates that the three-dimensional character of the spin fluctuations is preserved. It also contrasts with the width broadening observed in the static AFM peak along the c direction, as seen in the previous section.

C. Spin fluctuations at $E \geq 14$ meV: Comparison with $x = 0.028$, the parent, and superconducting compounds

Now, we compare the spin fluctuations in Cu043 and Cu028 at $E \geq 14$ meV. We present the results of the time-of-flight inelastic neutron scattering measurements obtained at ARCS in Fig. 3. We show two-dimensional (2D) images of the spin fluctuations in the $(H, K)$ plane at $E = 45 \pm 5, 75 \pm 5, 100 \pm 10,$ and $130 \pm 10$ meV for Cu028 in Figs. 3(a)–3(d). The same set of 2D images for Cu043 is presented in Figs. 3(e)–3(h). The 2D images look very similar between Cu028 and Cu043 and the analysis of the widths along a and b shows that the in-plane anisotropies for both Cu028 and Cu043 are comparable, which is consistent with the low-energy results. The absolute unit conversion is not available for Cu028, while it is available for Cu043 (which is discussed later in this section), and thus the intensities of the spin fluctuations are not directly comparable between Cu028 and Cu043.

For further analysis, we cut through the 2D images similar to Figs. 3(a)–3(h) along the [1, K] and [H, 0] directions. Representative cuts are shown along the [1, K] direction for $E = 25 \pm 5, 55 \pm 5, 95 \pm 10$, and $150 \pm 20$ meV in Figs. 3(i)–3(l) and along the [H, 0] direction for $E = 55 \pm 5$ and $95 \pm 10$ meV in Figs. 3(m) and 3(n). We fit the cut data with Gaussian functions (exemplary fits are shown in Fig. 4) and determine the dispersion of the spin fluctuations along the two high symmetry directions and compare the dispersions between Cu028, Cu043, and the parent compound [34] in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). We find that at lower energy transfers ($E \leq 50$ meV), the dispersions for Cu028 and Cu043 look similar to the dispersion for the parent compound, whereas at the higher energy transfers ($E > 50$ meV), the dispersion curve along the [H, 0] direction is stiffened. In addition, the dispersion for Cu028 is very similar to that for Cu043 at all energy transfers, indicating that a small amount of Cu ($x = 0.028$) stiffens the dispersion along the orthorhombic a direction and the stiffening remains unchanged with more Cu substitution.

In order to quantify the changes in the spin fluctuations for Ba(Fe$_{0.95}$Cu$_{0.05}$)$_2$As$_2$, we fit the data using the Heisenberg model [35]. The neutron cross section can be written as

$$
\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{k_f}{k_i} \left( \frac{\gamma_{0\pi}}{2} \right)^2 g^2 f^2(Q) \exp(-2W) \times \sum_{\alpha\beta} (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \hat{Q}_\alpha \hat{Q}_\beta) S^{\alpha\beta}(Q, E),
$$

where $\frac{\gamma_{0\pi}}{2} = 72.65$ mbarn sr$^{-1}$, g is the g factor, $f(Q)$ is the form factor of iron, $\exp(-2W)$ is the Debye-Waller factor, $\hat{Q}_\alpha$ is the $\alpha$ component of a unit vector, and the response function $S^{\alpha\beta}(Q, E)$ describes $\alpha\beta$ spin-spin correlations. Under the assumption that the transverse correlations only contribute to the spin-wave cross section, and finite excitation lifetimes can be described by a damped simple harmonic oscillator with the inverse lifetime $\Gamma$,

$$
S^{\alpha\beta}(Q, E) = S^{\alpha\beta}(Q, E_0) \exp\left(-\frac{\Gamma}{2} E \right) - S^{\alpha\beta}(Q, E_0) \left( E - E_0 \right) \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma}{2}} \left( E - E_0 \right) \frac{1}{E - E_0 - \left( E - E_0 \right) \frac{\Gamma}{2}},
$$

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $E_0$ is the spin-wave energy, and $S_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective spin. $A_q$ and $B_q$ are defined in the dispersion relations given by Refs. [35–39]: $E(q) = \sqrt{A_q^2 - B_q^2}$ with $A_q = 2S [J_{1a} \cos(\pi K) - 1] + J_{1a} + J_{1b} + 2J_2 + J_4$ and $B_q = 2S [J_{1a} \cos(\pi H) + 2J_2 \cos(\pi H) \cos(\pi K) + J_{1c} \cos(\pi L)]$, with the single-ion anisotropy constant $J_s$. We employed the RESLIB program [40] and the TOBYFIT program [41] for our fit. We find that fitting using only low-energy spectra ($E \leq 50$ meV) yields $S J_{1a} = 54.9 \pm 1.4$, $S J_{1b} = -5.9 \pm 2.7$, $S J_{1c} = 25 \pm 5$, $S J_{1b} = 75 \pm 5$, and $S J_{1c} = 100 \pm 10$ meV in Figs. 3(i)–3(l) and along the [H, 0] direction for $E = 55 \pm 5$ and $95 \pm 10$ meV in Figs. 3(m) and 3(n).
FIG. 3. (Color online) Two-dimensional images of spin fluctuations at (a) 45 ± 5, (b) 75 ± 5, (c) 100 ± 10, and (d) 130 ± 10 meV for Ba(Fe0.972Cu0.028)2As2, and (e) 45 ± 5, (f) 75 ± 5, (g) 100 ± 10, and (h) 130 ± 10 meV for Ba(Fe0.957Cu0.043)2As2. The images were measured at $T = 5$ K and obtained after the background subtraction. The color bars in (a)–(d) represent intensity in arbitrary units, while the color bars in (e)–(h) indicate intensity in absolute units of mbarn sr$^{-1}$ meV$^{-1}$ f.u.$^{-1}$. (i)–(l) Constant-energy cut for CuO43 along the $[1, K]$ direction at $E = 25$ ± 5, 55 ± 5, 95 ± 10, and 150 ± 20 meV, respectively. (m),(n) Constant-energy cut for CuO43 along the $[H, 0]$ direction at $E = 55$ ± 5 and 95 ± 10 meV, respectively. The solid lines and dashed lines in (i)–(n) represent the same cuts for the spin fluctuations of the parent BaFe2As2 ($T = 7$ K) [1] and superconducting Ba(Fe0.95Ni0.05)2As2 ($T = 5$ K) [2,3] in absolute units, respectively. The error bars indicate the statistical errors of one standard deviation.

$S_{J_z} = 17.3 ± 1.2$, and $S_{J_x} = 2.1 ± 0.2$ meV. These values are similar to those measured in the FeAs family compounds [34,42,43]. Fits for the entire energy range including $E > 50$ meV yield $S_{J_{1x}} = 73.9 ± 9.7$, $S_{J_{1y}} = 10.4 ± 2.5$, $S_{J_2} = 18.2 ± 2.2$, and $S_{J_z} = 0.7 ± 1.4$ meV. While only small changes are observed in $S_{J_2}$ and $S_{J_z}$, a drastic modification occurs in the balance between $J_{1x}$ and $J_{1y}$. This may reflect the limitations of a simple spin-wave model.

We normalize the time-of-flight data using a vanadium standard and plot them in absolute units of mbarn sr$^{-1}$ meV$^{-1}$ f.u.$^{-1}$ in Figs. 3(e)–3(n) and compare the data for CuO43 directly with those of the parent BaFe2As2 ($T_c ≈ T_N ≈ 137$ K, no SC) [1,34] and superconducting Ba(Fe0.95Ni0.05)2As2 (“Ni050”, $T_c ≈ T_N ≈ 30$ K, $T_c = 20$ K) [2,3,16], which are plotted in absolute units in Figs. 3(i)–3(n). We find that the spin fluctuations for CuO43 are significantly broader in momentum space than the fluctuations in the parent compound below ≈120 meV, but comparable to those in superconducting Ni050 for all energy transfers. We fit the cuts convolving the instrumental resolution...
FIG. 4. (Color online) Constant-energy cuts for Cu043 along the [H,0] and [1,K] directions at (a),(b) $E = 45 \pm 5$ meV and (c),(d) $110 \pm 10$ meV, respectively. Dashed lines are two Gaussian line shapes and red lines are the overall fits. Cut ranges were $-0.1 < H < 0.1$ and $-0.1 < K < 0.1$.

(Fig. 4) and present the dynamic spin-spin correlation lengths for the parent (solid line), Ni050 (dashed line), and Cu043 (circles for $T = 5$ K and diamonds for $T = 60$ K) in Fig. 6(a). By Fourier transform of the Gaussian peaks, the dynamic spin-correlation length is defined as

$$\xi = \frac{2b}{\pi \sqrt{W^2 - R^2}}$$

where $FWHM = W = \sqrt{2 \ln 2} \mu$ (w: the width of the peak), $R$ is the instrument resolution, and $b$ is the lattice parameter [44]. We find that the dynamic spin-correlation lengths are similar in Cu043 and Ni050 at all energies, in contrast to the parent compound where the dynamic correlation length decreases rapidly with increasing energy. By comparing the data at $T = 5$ K (circles) and 60 K (diamonds) in Fig. 6(a), we also find that the dynamic correlation length does not alter when Cu043 undergoes the AFM transition at $T_N \approx 26$ K, suggesting no influence of the AFM ordering on the spin fluctuations and, thus, implying paramagnetic spin fluctuations in Cu043.

From Figs. 3(i)–3(n), we find that the intensities of the spin fluctuations for Cu043 are stronger than those in Ni050 at $E < 150$ meV. This is in contrast to the result that the intensities of the spin fluctuations are indistinguishable for energies above 95 meV between the parent and Ni050. For quantitative comparison, Fig. 6(b) shows the momentum-integrated local dynamic susceptibility in absolute units, defined as

$$\chi''(\omega) = \int \chi''(q,\omega) dq / \int dq$$

where $\chi''(q,\omega) = (1/3) \text{tr} [\chi''(q,\omega)]$, for the parent (solid line, $T = 7$ K), Ni050 (dashed line, $T = 5$ K), and Cu043 (circles, $T = 5$ K). As reported in Refs. [2,3], the overall shape of $\chi''(\omega)$ in the antiferromagnetically ordered superconducting compounds [i.e., Ni050 at $T = 5$ K in Fig. 6(b)] is very similar to the AFM...
spin fluctuations in the parent compound at $T = 7$ K, except for the reduction of $\chi''(\omega)$ at $E \leq 70$ meV and the spin resonance below $T_c$ [Fig. 6(b)]. However, the local susceptibility of Cu043 [circles in Fig. 6(b)] is very different from that in both the parent at $T = 7$ K (solid line) and the Ni050 at $T = 5$ K (dashed line). To emphasize the difference, Fig. 6(c) shows the difference between the local susceptibility for Cu043 and the parent compound. We show the data at $T \leq 7$ K, the lowest measured temperature, and $T \approx 2T_N$, which are $T = 60$ K for Cu043 and $T = 290$ K for the parent compound from Ref. [1]. We find that the shapes of the local susceptibilities for Cu043 are very similar to the high-temperature spin fluctuations observed in the parent compound above its magnetic transition temperature ($T \geq T_N \approx 140$ K) and consistent with the paramagnetic spin fluctuations [the dotted line in Fig. 6(b)], captured in the previous dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) calculations (note the two different scales for experiments and the calculation) [2].

Unlike the local susceptibility for the parent BaFe$_2$As$_2$ compound which shows an evolution from paramagnetic to antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations through $T_N$ (Fig. 6(c), Ref. [1]), we find that the local susceptibility of Cu043 does not change through $T_N$, implying no effect of the AFM ordering on the spin fluctuations.

For further inspection, we estimate the total fluctuating moments, defined as $\langle m^2 \rangle = (3h/\pi) \int \chi''(\omega) d\omega/[1 - \exp(-\hbar\omega/kT)]$ [45]. Since our data are limited to energies below 160 meV in Cu043 follows the calculated form of the paramagnetic fluctuations from the DMFT calculation. We find $\langle m^2 \rangle = 3.48 \pm 0.17 \mu_B^2$ ($T = 5$ K) and $\langle m^2 \rangle = 3.62 \pm 0.15 \mu_B^2$ ($T = 60$ K) per Fe/Cu for Cu043. This is consistent with $S = 1/2$ for the magnetic moment of the spin $\langle m^2 \rangle = (g\mu_B)^2 S(S + 1)$ (where $g = 2$) as observed in the parent compound [1,2,42]. Despite the much reduced ordered moment by 4.3 % Cu substitution, the fluctuating moment remains similar to that in the parent compound, $\langle m^2 \rangle \approx 3.6 \mu_B^2$ [1].

### D. Antiferromagnetic quantum critical point

To investigate the character of the spin fluctuations near the putative AFM QCP, low-energy spin fluctuations were studied at several temperatures at the HB3 triple-axis spectrometer. We fit the paramagnon-like spin fluctuations in Cu043 using the nearly antiferromagnetic Fermi-liquid (NAFL) model as describe by [46]

$$\chi''(q, \omega) = \frac{\chi_0 \xi^2 \Gamma \omega}{\omega^2 + \Gamma^2 [1 + \xi^2 q^2]^2},$$

where $\xi$ is the AFM correlation length and $\Gamma$ is the relaxation width due to the decay of spin waves into electron-hole pairs (Landau damping). The results of the fits are shown with lines in Figs. 7(a)–7(d) for the data at selected temperature and energies; the NAFL model describes the low-energy spin fluctuations well in this compound.

We plot the fit values for the dynamic spin-spin correlation lengths $\xi$ in Fig. 8(a) and find that the spin-spin correlation length increases rapidly as $T \rightarrow 0$. In the theory for magnetic quantum phase transitions for spin-density wave transitions, the correlation length scales as $\xi \propto T^{-\frac{z}{2d-z}}$, where $d$ is the dimension of the system and $z$ is the dynamical critical exponent that provides the scaling factors of space and time.

![FIG. 7. (Color online) The imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility of BaFe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$As$_2$ at selected temperatures ($T = 4, 30, 120,$ and 250 K) and energies (3, 7, and 12 meV) for Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$. The data are obtained by subtracting the nonmagnetic and are corrected for the Bose factor. Lines are the results of fits using the NAFL model as described in the text.](214404-7)
interaction with the spin fluctuations. Superconductivity and magnetism compete for the same quasiparticles in the iron pnictides [15]; in other words, quasiparticles contribute to the magnetism as well as to the Cooper pairs. In the parent BaFe$_2$As$_2$ compound, the quasiparticle interaction with the magnetism, specifically the AFM spin fluctuations, yields a clear evolution from paramagnetic (at $T > T_N$) to antiferromagnetic (at $T < T_N$) fluctuations (see Fig. 6(c) and Ref. [1]). In superconducting Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Ni$_x$)$_2$As$_2$ compounds, the AFM-like local susceptibility and the reduced fluctuating moments (see Fig. 16 in Ref. [3]) reflect the strong quasiparticle interaction through the AFM transition [2,3]. In a similar vein, however, the paramagnon-like local susceptibility, the lack of temperature dependence of the local susceptibility, and the preserved fluctuating moment for Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$ provide evidence of a weak (or absent) quasiparticle interaction with the spin fluctuations. Two implications can be readily drawn from our observations. First, not only the ordered moments but also the fluctuating moments are necessarily reduced via the strong quasiparticle interaction for high $T_c$. While Cu substitution successfully decreases the ordered moment, the weak (or absent) quasiparticle interaction in Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$ does not suppress the fluctuating moment; the preserved fluctuating moments are detrimental to the SC, which is consistent with the conventional effect of magnetism on superconductivity. Second, in terms of the fluctuation-mediated pairing mechanism, the quasiparticle interaction with the spin fluctuations represents the pairing strength. The introduction of the Cu substituent rapidly reduces the quasiparticle interaction and, even when strong spin fluctuations exist at low temperature in this material, fluctuations can no longer act as the pairing medium. In this case, there may only be conventional electron-phonon interaction for the Cooper pairing, resulting in the possible existence of the bulk superconductivity at very low temperature ($T < 2$ K), which is consistent with the experiments and the prediction from the BCS theory for this compound [14,56]. We should note that our results do not prove whether the spin fluctuations are the dominant mechanism for the Cooper pairing because different sorts of fluctuations, for instance orbital fluctuations, may be intricately connected to each other. We also note that we cannot rule out a possibility that additional scattering processes exist and result in such paramagnon-like spin fluctuations, while the quasiparticle interaction remains strong in Cu043.

Now we turn to a discussion of the quantum critical behavior in Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$. We have shown the details of the spin fluctuations at several temperatures including a rapid increase of the dynamic spin-spin correlation length as $T \rightarrow 0$ together with $\omega/T$ scaling in Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$ as supporting evidence of an antiferromagnetic quantum critical point (AFM QCP). The dynamic spin-spin correlation length at the QCP is expected to diverge as $\xi \propto T^{-3/4}$ in Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$ [23,47–49]. However, our sample is not exactly at the QCP but proximal to it so that the dynamic correlation length should saturate at a finite value, resulting in a smaller effective exponent as we observe. While our results support the existence of the antiferromagnetic quantum critical point (AFM QCP), as mentioned earlier, the observed broad AFM order parameter in this compound suggests the possibility of a spin-glass state in Ba(Fe$_{0.957}$Cu$_{0.043}$)$_2$As$_2$. Further, broadening of the (1, 0, 3) magnetic peak in Cu043 compared to that in Cu028 may also support the emergence of a spin-glass state with increasing Cu substitution, while the ordering is still long ranged in the current sample. Therefore, we cannot exclude a possible spin-glass quantum critical point. Interestingly, neither the Fermi-liquid description of the AFM QCP [23,47–49] nor the theoretical models for the spin-glass QCP [57–59] predict $\omega/T$ scaling. In addition, the possible spin-glass state may be intrinsically associated with disorder that can, by itself, play an important role in the observed $\omega/T$ scaling. In some of the heavy-fermion materials, such as UCu$_5$-Pd$_x$ compounds, studies have attributed the non-Fermi-liquid behavior, including $\omega/T$ scaling, to disorder, which is unrelated to the quantum criticality [22,60–62]. In Cu-substituted BaFe$_2$As$_2$ compounds, recent DFT and
neutron-diffraction studies [24] and our observation of broad AFM peaks and order parameters suggest that the disorder introduced by Cu substitution may play an important role in the quantum critical behavior in Ba(Fe1−x,Cux)2As2. We note that the disorder is also expected to impact superconductivity and may explain the absence of superconductivity in this compound [24,63,64]. Although further studies on both theory and experiment are necessary to understand the character and mechanism of the QCP, our experimental observation nevertheless demonstrates the existence of a quantum critical point in Ba(Fe1−x,Cux)2As2.
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