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ABSTRACT: Crystal symmetry of two-dimensional (2D) materials plays an important role in their electronic and optical properties. Engineering symmetry in 2D materials has recently emerged as a promising way to achieve novel properties and functions. The noncentrosymmetric structure of monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), such as molybdenum disulphide (MoS₂), has allowed for valley control via circularly polarized optical excitation. In bilayer TMDCs, inversion symmetry can be controlled by varying the stacking sequence, thus providing a pathway to engineer valley selectivity. Here, we report the in situ integration of AA and AB stacked bilayer MoS₂ with different inversion symmetries by creating atomically sharp stacking boundaries between the differently stacked domains, via thermal stimulation and electron irradiation, inside an atomic-resolution scanning transmission electron microscope. The setup enables us to track the formation and atomic motion of the stacking boundaries in real time and with ultrahigh resolution which enables in-depth analysis on the atomic structure at the boundaries. In conjunction with density functional theory calculations, we establish the dynamics of the boundary nucleation and expansion and further identify metallic boundary states. Our approach provides a means to synthesize domain boundaries with intriguing transport properties and opens up a new avenue for controlling valleytronics in nanoscale domains via real-time patterning of domains with different symmetry properties.

Crystal symmetry in a material dictates its physical properties. Manipulating its symmetry provides a pathway to achieve novel and unusual functionalities. This is particularly relevant for two-dimensional (2D) materials, promising candidates for numerous applications, including next-generation flexible electronics. In bilayer graphene, for example, symmetry-inversion at a “soliton” stacking boundary between AB and BA stacked regions leads to robust, topologically protected 1D conducting channels at the domain walls. In other 2D materials such as transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs), the noncentrosymmetric crystal structure of the monolayer form leads to valley selectivity and holds promise for applications in spintronics and valleytronics.

One prototypical example of 2D TMDCs is monolayer H-phase MoS₂. Monolayer H-phase MoS₂ is noncentrosymmetric and has a lattice constant of a = 3.16 Å (Figure 1). The broken inversion symmetry, in addition to strong spin-orbit interactions, leads to the splitting of valence bands and spin-valley coupling, enabling valley selectivity when excited by circularly polarized light. However, inversion symmetry is restored in bilayer 2H-phase MoS₂ — a phase that is naturally found and most commonly observed (also known to adopt AA’ stacking sequence in Figure 1). To break the inversion symmetry in bilayer MoS₂, a vertical electrical field can be applied. Another way to introduce asymmetry in bilayer and multilayer MoS₂ is to engineer the stacking sequence by folding or transferring exfoliated single-layer MoS₂, or by using chemical synthesis methods such as chemical vapor transport (CVT) and chemical vapor deposition (CVD). Two commonly observed stacking sequences in CVD/CVT grown MoS₂ are AA’ stacking and AB stacking (a representative schematic of AB stacking is shown in Figure 1), which are nearly energetically degenerate. Repeated AB stacking forms the 3R phase of MoS₂ in which centrosymmetry is broken throughout the bulk. A recent study shows that valley-dependent spin polarization is realized in CVT synthesized 3R-phase bulk MoS₂.
Figure 1. Ball-and-stick models for monolayer H-phase MoS$_2$ and AB (R phase) and AA' (2H phase) stacked bilayer MoS$_2$, viewed from the top and the side, with red balls representing Mo atoms and blue balls representing S atoms. The lattice constant ($a$) for monolayer H-phase MoS$_2$ is 3.16 Å, and the Mo lattice distance in one layer MoS$_2$ ($a_1$) is 2.74 Å, as indicated by red shadings in the schematics for both AB and AA' bilayers.

Figure 2. Stitching of AB and AA' stacked regions with sharp stacking boundaries in bilayer MoS$_2$. (a) High-resolution STEM ADF image taken at time 0 s, showing AB stacked bilayer MoS$_2$. (b) After 14 s of scanning in the same area, rearrangement of atoms occurred, as highlighted by the orange ellipse at the center of this AB stacked region. (c) High-resolution STEM ADF image showing a triangular AA' stacked region (highlighted by an orange triangle) surrounded by AB stacked region. This image was taken 14 s after (b) and is from the same area as (b). (d, e) STEM ADF images from AB stacked region in (a) and AA' stacked region in (c), respectively, with the intensity averaged over more than 10 unit cells of the same stacking sequence. The experimental results are compared to the multislice simulation$^{26}$ of STEM ADF images of AB and AA' stacked bilayer MoS$_2$ under the same experimental imaging condition in (d) and (e), respectively. All images in (d) and (e) are plotted on the same absolute scale. Scale bars in (a)–(c) are 1 nm.
In this work, we demonstrate a novel way in which the symmetry of bilayer MoS$_2$ can be engineered via the creation of nanoscale stacking boundaries that separate domains with different inversion symmetries. We show that, in bilayer MoS$_2$, the transition from AB to AA’ stacking or from AA’ to AB stacking can be realized by heating and electron-irradiating the AA’ or AB stacked bilayer MoS$_2$ inside an aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM). By using in situ atomic-resolution STEM annular-dark field (ADF) imaging, we identify atomically sharp stacking boundaries between these two differently stacked domains. Combined with first-principles density functional theory (DFT) calculations, we discuss the atomic-scale dynamics of the domain nucleation and growth. DFT calculation also reveals the existence of highly localized metallic states at the domain boundaries.

Bilayer MoS$_2$ studied here is grown on SiO$_2$/Si substrates via a modified CVD method based on refs 20 and 21 (see the Supporting Information for details). The as-grown MoS$_2$ flakes are then transferred to a Protochip heating TEM grid via the PMMA transfer method.$^{22}$ The Protochip TEM grid holder has the ability to heat the sample up to 1000 °C with minimal local temperature variation. We use atomic-resolution STEM ADF imaging operated at 80 keV to track the stacking sequence change in bilayer MoS$_2$. STEM ADF images show the 2D projection of atomic positions of the layered material, and the intensity in STEM ADF images is closely related to the atomic number and thickness of the material. Since Mo and S have significantly different atomic numbers (Mo: 42 and S: 16), different lattice positions, thus revealing the atomic structure of single- and few-layer MoS$_2$. High-resolution STEM ADF imaging has been used to visualize the atomic arrangement in single- and few-layer MoS$_2$ including stacking sequences, grain boundaries, point defects, edge sites, and even phase transformation.$^{15,20,21,23,24}$ In our study, the electron beam serves two functions: (1) it facilitates in situ imaging of local atomic structure and changes thereof in bilayer MoS$_2$; (2) it provides energy (in addition to the high temperature thermal bath) to stimulate local atomic movement. To make this atomic movement slow enough for real-time STEM tracking, an electron dose as low as 7 × 10$^6$ electrons/\text{nm}^2 is applied. Although the electron energy of 80 keV is close to the threshold for S vacancy formation in monolayer MoS$_2$, we are still able to image the regions of interest in bilayer samples for over 3 min. Movies composed of continuous STEM ADF imaging allows the transition between different stacking sequences to be recorded.

In our in situ STEM study, we start from a CVD-grown pristine MoS$_2$ bilayer with a uniform stacking sequence of AB or AA’. Transitions between different stacking sequences are observed at 350 and 400 °C. Below, we focus on the representative case where the AB stacking is locally transformed into AA’ stacking at 400 °C. Different stacking sequences are identified by comparing the experimental STEM ADF image with multislice simulation results, as shown in Figure 2d,e (see the Supporting Information for details). There are three distinct lattice points in the original AB stacked bilayer MoS$_2$ (Figure 1): (1) the highest intensity corresponds to the 2D projection of the Mo-S-S column (with Mo from the top layer and S-S from the bottom layer); (2) the second highest intensity corresponds to the Mo column from the bottom layer; and (3) the weakest intensity corresponds to the S-S column projected from the top layer. When viewed from the top (Figure 1), the Mo-S-S columns and Mo columns are configured in a hexagonal lattice with 3-fold symmetry, while the hollow centers of the hexagonal lattice are occupied by the S-S columns. As the electron beam scans in the AB stacked region (as shown in Figure 2a,d) for 14 s, local atomic rearrangement occurs (marked by an orange ellipse in Figure 2b). Strikingly, after another 14 s of scanning in the same area, a triangular region with AA’ stacking appears as shown in Figure 2c,e. In this case, the three stacking boundaries between AA’ and AB stacked regions form extremely rapidly and stay stationary as the scan continues.

In contrast, stacking boundaries can also be induced more gradually by using a lower electron beam intensity. Here, to...
study the dynamics of the transition between stacking sequences, continuous STEM ADF images are recorded as shown in Figure 3. Figure 3a–d shows the evolution of a fixed area in the bilayer MoS2 sample in increments of 7 s. As a position reference between frames, red dotted circles mark the same atom from panel (a) to (d) in Figure 3, while dashed red triangles connect the same three lattice points from panel (a) to (h) in Figure 3. It is instructive to consider the nucleation of the stacking boundaries. Panels (a) and (e) in Figure 3 (Figure 3e is an enlarged image of the purple-squared area in Figure 3a) show the original AB stacked bilayer MoS2 at t = 0 s. At t = 7 s, local atomic rearrangement and a relative shift between the two MoS2 layers are observed (Figure 3b,f). The shift leads to the linear features as seen at the lower left corner of Figure 3b (enclosed in a pink box). The separation between these linear features (orange lines in Figure 3f) is 2.7 ± 0.05 Å, which is equivalent to the single-layer Mo lattice periodicity $a_1$ ($= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_2$, Figure 1). The simulated STEM ADF image (Supporting Information, Figure 3) shows that a relative in-plane displacement $u = \frac{1}{3}a_1$ agrees well with the experiment. The lateral shift between layers can be a source of large local strain, which may trigger other structural rearrangement or defects. For example, for a local region, we observe obvious shrinkage of the projected Mo–Mo distance (green lines in Figure 3f). This lattice distortion is most likely caused by S vacancy line defects which have also been observed in single-layer MoS2 samples under TEM$^{27,28}$ due to the “knock-on” effect by the electron beam, as the electron beam’s energy (80 keV) is close to the threshold for S vacancy formation in single-layer MoS2. Interstitial atoms and missing S–S columns are also observed in Figure 3f, as indicated by white arrows and blue arrows, respectively. These interstitial atoms and vacancies are highly mobile under combined electron irradiation and thermal heating, and eventually lead to the nucleation of AA′ stacked region shown in Figure 3g (blue hexagons). A straight stacking boundary (the orange line in Figure 3g) is formed between AA′ and AB stacked regions. We also notice that, once the AA′ stacked domain nucleates, the interlayer shift (which originally concentrates at the lower left corner in Figure 3b) significantly reduces. Therefore, it is likely that the nucleation and formation of the AA′ stacked region release the strain caused by the interlayer shift, and the decrease in elastic energy compensates for the energy gain due to the newly formed stacking boundaries.

The AA′ stacked region grows under continuous electron beam irradiation, from $\sim$2.88 nm$^2$ in Figure 3c to $\sim$4.75 nm$^2$ in Figure 3d after 7 s. At this point, a second straight stacking...
The atomic structure of the stacking boundaries between the AB and AA’ stacked regions (Figure 4a). The atomic structure shown in the STEM images of these boundaries has three main characteristics: (1) Mo lattices are continuous from the AA’ stacked region to the AB stacked region, which means that the Mo atoms in both regions remain roughly at their original positions; (2) the hollow centers of the hexagonal lattices at the boundary are occupied by fewer S atoms compared to the original AB stacked bilayer MoS₂ as shown in the experimental STEM ADF image in Figure 4d; (3) the Mo–Mo distance near the boundary has decreased. These observations are possible because Mo-S-S columns and Mo columns in AB stacked bilayer MoS₂ show different intensities in ADF images. We then can differentiate the Mo atoms in the top layer from those in the bottom layer based on this intensity difference. In Figure 4a, three solid lines connect the Mo lattice points at the boundaries in the top layer (labeled as B1, B₂, and B₃, respectively), while the dashed lines connect the Mo lattice points at the boundaries in the bottom layer. The Mo–Mo distances of both layers at these boundaries are shorter than that of bulk MoS₂, which has also been observed in monolayer MoS₂ with S line defects.²⁷,²⁸ Averaged over six boundaries (see the Supporting Information, Figure 5 for the boundary locations), the average Mo–Mo distance at the stacking boundaries is ~2.3 Å in the top layer, and ~2.5 Å in the bottom layer (Figure 4b). This indicates the top layer and bottom layer may experience different energetics due to electron–matter interaction.

To further quantify and understand the exact atomic structure at the stacking boundaries, we perform first-principles DFT calculations²⁹ on different boundary structures (see the Supporting Information for computational details). The boundary structure in Figure 4c best satisfies the three experimentally observed characteristics mentioned above, and is stabilized by having a T-phase-like structure. The simulated STEM ADF image of this boundary structure agrees very well with the experimental results (Figure 4d). Moreover, our calculations show that compressive strain due to Mo–Mo distance shrinkage favors the formation of S vacancies (see the Supporting Information). This indicates that the nucleation of this T-phase-like boundary probably originates from the formation of S line defects, which are commonly observed in single-layer MoS₂ under extensive electron beam irradiation.²⁷,²⁸ To understand each step of this boundary formation, we rely on DFT calculations to identify the minimum-energy path for the nucleation and growth of the AA’-stacked region from the original AB stacking (Figure 4f, only top MoS₂ layer is shown in color). Here, we use r to represent the reaction coordinate of domain growth, and the energy change associated with each step is plotted in Figure 4e. At r = 0.0, a domain wall
(shaded orange in Figure 4f) is formed by ejecting the top S atoms (blue balls) in the top MoS2 layer. This leaves the bottom S atoms (black balls) exposed. Subsequent domain growth is achieved by the migration of the nearest S atoms in the top MoS2 layer toward the domain wall. This process only involves S atoms in the top layer of bilayer MoS2, because the energy absorbed by the bottom MoS2 layer from the electron beam is significantly reduced due to the shielding effect by the top layer.30 The minimum-energy path for the S migration in the top MoS2 layer is composed of two steps: (1) the top S atoms of the top layer migrating first \((r = 0.25)\), followed by (2) the bottom S atoms of the same layer migrating \((r = 0.5)\), which is also a local energy minimum (Figure 4e). At this point, a unit cell of AA’ stacking is formed (Figure 4f). Subsequent expansion of the AA’ region involves the repetition of the above two migration steps (Figure 4f). Since the energy barrier for each migration step is small, ranging from 0.15 to 1.05 eV per atom (Figure 4e), this domain growth process can be easily activated by the 80 keV electron beam used in the experiment. This S migrating process has also been previously reported for the H-to-H’ transition in monolayer MoS2,24 which is also observed in our experiment (Supporting Information, Figure 2a,b). Notably, this inversion domain formation in monolayer MoS2 alone cannot explain our experimentally obtained atomically sharp stacking boundaries, which require both domain inversion in the top layer via S migrating and bonding modification in the bottom layer at the boundaries (Figure 4 and Supporting Information, Figure 2c). This indicates a strong interlayer interaction is the key to forming atomically sharp stacking boundaries in bilayer MoS2. We emphasize the novel integration of different inversion symmetries in the same bilayer MoS2 sample via creation of atomically sharp stacking boundaries between different stacking sequences.

Finally, we calculate the electronic band structure of the boundary and find in-gap states localized at the domain wall (see the Supporting Information for details). To identify states from the boundary, we project the band structure onto atomic wave functions of atoms near the stacking boundary (Figure 5a). In the band structure (Figure 5b), states with contributions from Mo and S atoms adjacent to the domain wall are colored red and blue, respectively, while contributions from atoms in the bulk are colored gray. We see that, importantly, the presence of the domain wall introduces metallic in-gap states that are absent in either AA’ or AB stacked MoS2 bilayer. Similar in-gap states have also been observed at the edges25,31 and mirror twin boundaries20,23,32 of monolayer MoS2. The in-gap states in our case are composed primarily of 4d-states from Mo atoms bordering the domain wall. From the contour lines of the integrated charge density in Figure 5a, we see that these in-gap states are exponentially localized at the domain wall. We note that DFT-LDA has a well-known tendency to underestimate band gaps and overestimate occupied bandwidths.33 Appropriate theory of higher levels such as the GW approximation34−36 must be used to obtain quantitatively accurate quasiparticle band structures. Here, LDA already provides a good description of qualitative features and wave function character.

In summary, we have demonstrated a novel way to engineer domains with different inversion symmetries in bilayer MoS2 through the synergy of thermal excitation and electron irradiation. Using our method, we are able to reversibly convert between regions of AA’ and AB stacking, thus switching between stacking sequences that result in different valley polarization. Through a first-principles study, we find that there are highly localized metallic states at the atomically sharp stacking boundaries. We propose that this approach may be used to reversibly pattern regions of different inversion symmetries, as well as controllably embed defect lines with different transport properties, within 2D semiconductors. This method of domain and symmetry engineering can be generalized to other TMDCs. We hope that this study will inspire greater interest in future studies of valleytronics control and defect state patterning in atomically thin MoS2 and other TMDCs via nanoscale symmetry engineering.
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