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Abstract
The intervertebral disc is a critical part of the intersegmental soft tissue of the spinal column, providing flexibility and mobility, while absorbing large complex loads. Spinal disease, including disc herniation and degeneration, may be a significant contributor to low back pain. Clinically, disc herniations are treated with both nonoperative and operative methods. Operative treatment for disc herniation includes removal of the herniated material when neural compression occurs. While this strategy may have short-term advantages over nonoperative methods, the remaining disc material is not addressed and surgery for mild degeneration may have limited long-term advantage over nonoperative methods. Furthermore, disc herniation and surgery significantly alter the mechanical function of the disc joint, which may contribute to progression of degeneration in surrounding tissues. We reviewed recent advances in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine strategies that may have a significant impact on disc herniation repair. Our review on tissue engineering strategies focuses on cell-based and inductive methods, each commonly combined with material-based approaches. An ideal clinically relevant biological repair strategy will significantly reduce pain and repair and restore flexibility and motion of the spine.
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Introduction
The human lumbar intervertebral disc (IVD) comprises three distinct components, including a nucleus pulposus (NP) surrounded by a lamellar annulus fibrosus (AF), both of which are sandwiched between the cartilaginous end plates on the vertebral bodies (Fig. 1).1 The three subcomponents comprise mostly water, proteoglycans, and collagen (Table 1).2–5 The AF is populated with fibroblast-like cells and stem cells.6–9 The AF collagen composition in the outer region comprises mostly collagen type I, which decreases toward the NP, while the collagen type II content increases from the outer to the inner AF (Table 1).10 The NP is derived from notochordal cells that either disappear or are replaced by chondrocyte-like NP cells during development.11 However, NP cells retain some notochordal molecular markers, which have increased interest in defining the cell phenotype (see Ref.12 for an in-depth analysis of work and challenges in the area). Extracellular matrix produced by NP cells comprises predominantly negatively charged proteoglycans and randomly aligned collagen type II fibers.13–15 Age-related changes are characterized by cellular apoptosis, a decrease in collagen and proteoglycan content that leads to water loss.2,4 These changes may lead to weakening of the AF, allowing the NP to bulge and potentially herniate through an annular fissure, causing neural compression and clinical symptoms (i.e., disc herniation).

Clinical issues causing back pain are the second leading cause for disability in Americans, accounting for 17% of disabled persons.16 The origin for low back pain can be difficult to diagnose, making long-term
treatment with improved clinical outcomes a significant challenge for surgeons and bioengineers. Two of the most common spinal issues associated with low back pain include disc herniation and degeneration. Understanding the root cause of pain from degeneration is difficult due to a high prevalence of asymptomatic individuals with disc degeneration. In contrast, pain caused by a herniated disc may be easier to identify as the protruding material impinges on spinal nerves, resulting in low back pain and/or sciatica (i.e., radiating leg pain).

The purpose of this review is to identify a critical need for biological repair strategies for disc herniation treatment. Future repair strategies can be greatly improved using recent advances in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine techniques. Designing repair or replacement strategies that better mimic the natural function of the healthy disc requires an understanding of the disc’s structure–function relationship. Therefore, this review evaluates the current knowledge in human IVD biomechanics and recent work that has applied tissue engineering techniques for disc repair.

Clinical Disc Herniation
Lumbar disc herniation (LDH) is one of the most common clinical diagnoses seen in spinal practice. Notable risk factors for disc herniation include manual labor, prolonged driving, and patients who work in positions of sustained lumbar flexion or rotation. Over 3 million people in the United States (1–2% of the population) have a herniated lumbar disc with associated symptoms, including lower back pain and/or sciatica.

Disc herniation is defined as localized NP material that protrudes beyond the margins of the disc space. The disc can exhibit different degrees of herniation from disc bulging to an extruded disc where NP material exits the disc space area (Fig. 2). The least severe condition is a protrusion of disc material where the herniation causes mild compression on the spinal nerves, but the disc material is contained within the disc space (Fig. 2A). A noncontained herniation is one in which the protruding NP material is no longer restrained by the AF (Fig. 2, dashed line). Noncontained herniations are classified as being either extruded or sequestered (Fig. 2B, C, respectively) and can be clearly visualized.
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Data were compiled from values reported for human discs in Refs. 2–5. AF, annulus fibrosus; Coll., Collagen; D, degenerate; DW, dry weight; GAG, glycosaminoglycan; ND, nondegenerate; NP, nucleus pulposus; WW, wet weight.
on magnetic resonance image (MRI; Fig. 3). Noncontained herniations can lead to both chemical and mechanical nerve compression, resulting in neurologic dysfunction, including pain, sensory deficits, and weakness in the lower back and leg (Fig. 2, gray tissue with red highlights in schematic).24

**FIG. 2.** Schematic of three types of disc herniations through the posterior-lateral AF, which is the most common location for disc herniations. (A) Disc protrusion of nuclear material through the intact AF. (B, C) Damage to the AF (dashed line) allows NP material to extrude from the disc (i.e., noncontained herniation; asterisks). (C) Represents sequestration of nuclear material, where NP material becomes loose from the disc space and may further impinge on spinal nerves (red highlights on gray nerves).

Nonoperative treatment
Nonoperative management remains the foundation of initial treatment for the majority of adult patients with LDH.22,25 Approximately 80% of patients achieve a good recovery from disc herniation using nonoperative treatments.26–29 One potential reason for high

**FIG. 3.** (A) Midsagittal and (B) axial sections of T2-weighted MRI from a 42-year-old female with lumbar radiculopathy. The white dashed lines indicate the plane of orientation in the axial and midsagittal views, respectively. The patient had a left-sided paracentral disc herniation with compression of the traversing S1 nerve root (represented by *). (C) Photograph of disc material successfully removed during a surgical procedure to treat painful disc herniation. Scale bar represents 20 mm.
successful outcomes is that the herniated nucleus pulposus often resorbs, which has been demonstrated through diagnostic imaging of tissue in the spinal canal. Even when the herniated tissue occupies 55–80% of the canal diameter (average = 66%), Cribb et al. reported that the herniated tissue volume decreased by 80% within 2 years without significant neurologic deterioration (14/15 patients). These findings, however, may be limited due to the small sample size. Furthermore, longitudinal studies have reported that up to 30% of patients continue to experience low back pain, inhibiting ~20% of patients from returning to work, which can have significant psychological and economical effects. Therefore, an effective repair strategy would provide long-term pain relief and restore spinal function.

Surgical treatment

Over a million surgical discectomy procedures occur in the United States each year to treat painful disc herniations and discectomy remains an important treatment option for patients who experience persistent pain following nonoperative treatment. Discectomy treatment involves removal of up to 2 g of NP material, altering disc mechanics, load distribution, and may increase the rate of disc degeneration. However, discectomy treatment may have a more profound benefit in early pain management for herniation, with significant improvement in outcome measures 1 year postsurgery. Unfortunately, the advantage for surgery fades over time, with no significant differences between pain outcome measures and return-to-work rates between operative and nonoperative strategies after 4 years. These studies suggest that while discectomy has significant short-term gains, patients who can be managed nonoperatively may have similar long-term outcomes to patients treated operatively.

Importantly, disc herniation and discectomy reduce NP material and cause annular damage. These changes significantly modify the tissue structure and load sharing between spinal components, including increased loading applied to the AF, adjacent discs, and facet joints. Recent work in developing a biological repair strategy for the IVD has focused on engineered NP tissues using cell-based approaches. Therefore, the ideal repair tissue should restore the biomechanical function of a healthy functional disc joint, which is the focus of the following section.

IVD Mechanical Properties

The primary function of the IVD is to absorb and distribute large loads placed on the spine during daily activities. The IVD joint allows for six degrees of rotation and displacement. Activities of daily living place large mechanical demands on the lumbar spine, including repetitive combined loading in bending, torsion, and compression. Primarily, the disc is loaded under axial compression due to gravitational and muscular forces. Bending, torsion, and lateral bending are also important loading conditions experienced by the disc during daily living. Understanding disc mechanobiology with injury and degeneration will be important for developing a biological repair strategy that mimics the healthy disc and its subcomponents.

Mechanical testing of NP or AF explants presents significant challenges due to altered boundary conditions, resulting in excessive NP swelling or limited AF fiber engagement during uniaxial tension. The negatively charged proteoglycans in the NP are crucial to the disc’s recovery behavior during bed rest by attracting water molecules into the tissue, thereby increasing internal pressure and disc height. In vitro testing of the NP under unconfined compression suggests low mechanical stiffness (Young’s modulus ~ 5 kPa). However, in situ boundary conditions act to increase the internal pressure, causing axial stresses to be distributed radially through the NP to the AF. Moreover, stresses are transferred from the disc to surrounding tissues, including the vertebral bodies, facet joints, and surrounding musculature. Therefore, to understand the IVD mechanical function, facet joints are often removed for testing, and that model is the focus of the findings reported here.

Axial compression

The nonlinear poroelastic behavior of the bone–disc–bone motion segment under compression has been the focus of extensive examination. Axial compression decreases disc height and increases intradiscal pressure. The NP is thought to be critical in supporting the disc at low stresses, and then loads are transferred radially to the AF, resulting in the AF directly supporting axial compressive loads at higher stresses. Compressive loads are also directly supported by the annulus through circumferential hoop tension. The compressive Young’s modulus, a measure of the disc’s material properties, of healthy nondegenerated discs ranges from 5 to 20 MPa and decreases with degeneration.
levels (~1 MPa stress) has demonstrated disc strains up to 15%, with degeneration resulting in larger disc strains partially due to a lower disc height.\textsuperscript{3,38,57,65–69}

The disc height decreases with age and degeneration, increasing axial strains and load distribution toward the facets.\textsuperscript{38,44} The decrease in disc joint compressive mechanics closely mirrors changes observed for NP explants with degeneration,\textsuperscript{55} supporting the notion that the NP is crucial for absorbing and transferring disc joint loads under moderate levels of physiological compression. However, it is important to note that there are relatively few experimental studies that have reported the effect of degeneration on disc and tissue mechanics\textsuperscript{38,70,71} due to complete disc collapse in severely degenerated discs and the limited use of grading schemes until the 1990s.\textsuperscript{72,73}

Functional mechanical properties, measured under dynamic compression, are dependent on preload and loading rate, making comparison of mechanical properties across studies challenging. Based on multiple reports in the literature, dynamic stiffness is strongly correlated with the axial compression preload (e.g., mid-cycle compressive load; Fig. 4).\textsuperscript{3,64,74} Table 2 provides a summary of human disc mechanical properties, with properties separated for the effects of degeneration (nondegenerate [ND] vs. degenerate [D]) and discectomy.

![FIG. 4. Dynamic stiffness plotted with respect to the mid-cycle axial compression load demonstrates a strong linear relationship. Data were compiled from reported values from Refs. \textsuperscript{3,64,74} (black, white, and gray).](image)

### Table 2. Mechanical Properties of Intact and Discectomy Intervertebral Discs Under Axial Compression, Axial Tension, Bending (i.e., Flexion, Extension, and Lateral Bending), Axial Torsion, and Shear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Discectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compressive modulus (MPa)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>7–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5–12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension modulus (MPa)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>2.6–3.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bending stiffness (N (\times) mm/deg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>500–2200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1100–2500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>1800–3800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torsional stiffness (N (\times) mm/deg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>700–1100</td>
<td>200–1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>600–1800</td>
<td>500–1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear stiffness (N/mm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>40–300</td>
<td>200–265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior–posterior</td>
<td>20–300</td>
<td>165–500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values for ND and D discs are separated when noted in the respective studies. “—” Represents pooled data for ND and D discs reported. Values were compiled from data reported in Refs.\textsuperscript{3,38,57,66–71,91,151} O’Connell, et al.; BioResearch Open Access 2015, 4.1.

**Bending and torsion**

The natural curvature of the IVD provides some inherent degree of bending at each disc level. Furthermore, the collagen fiber orientation in the AF suggests that the tissue provides strong tensile support in axial rotation or torsion. However, experimental methods used to apply a moment arm can vary widely across studies, creating differences in the axis of rotation, which accounts for some variability in value reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{38,75,76} For example, flexion or extension can be applied by using an offset compressive load or a follower load applied about the disc centroid.\textsuperscript{38,43,75,76}

Based on MRI during flexion and extension, individual discs experience up to 8° of bending pre-disc level in situ.\textsuperscript{77,78} Internal disc mechanics under flexion and extension reveal significant stress distribution between the anterior and posterior AF (Fig. 5, first and third columns).\textsuperscript{38,66,79} More specifically, the healthy NP migrates posteriorly during flexion and anteriorly during extension, increasing stresses applied to the AF.\textsuperscript{46,80–82} The load distribution under bending and compression results in high tensile strains in the axial, radial, and circumferential directions (5–10%) due to the Poisson’s ratio for NP and AF material being greater than 0.5 (NP: ~ 0.6; AF: 0.6–2.1).\textsuperscript{49,54}

Interestingly, physiological levels of flexion and extension can cause radial tensile strains in the AF, which suggests some amount of separation between the fibril
That is, in flexion, the anterior AF experiences tensile strains, while the posterior AF experiences tensile strains under extension (Fig. 5, first and third columns, first row). The decrease in water content and intradiscal pressure with degeneration and discectomy results in even greater tensile radial strains in the AF that may lead to annular tears or microfractures, which frequently originate at the NP-AF boundary.

Torsional loading from axial rotation is an important loading modality to understand the disc’s function during daily activities, especially in combination with axial compression or bending. Torsional strength of the disc joint is provided in equal parts by vertebral bodies and the IVD. Axial rotation of individual discs ranges from 0° to 5° under physiological loading conditions and increases throughout the lumbar spine (i.e., L1/L2 vs. L4/L5). Collagen fibers in the AF are oriented at ±30°–45° with respect to the horizontal plane, providing the disc with a unique ability to withstand large rotational deformations before failure (>10° of rotation at failure). In contrast to the highly nonlinear behavior observed under compression and bending, torque in the disc increases linearly with rotation, which may be due to preloading the collagen fibers during axial compression preload. However, the coupled compression–torsion mechanical response is not well understood.

Haughton et al. reported an increase in axial rotation in patients with abnormal or painful discs. Recent work by Bisschop et al. demonstrated an increase in torsional stiffness with degeneration. Findings from these studies suggest that degenerated or injured discs are likely to experience more rotation and higher torque, which may contribute to increased load transferred to surrounding tissues and warrant further research.

Effect of treatment on mechanics

Invasive treatment for painful herniation includes removing NP material and additional damage to the posterior-lateral AF, altering the disc’s composition.
and mechanical properties. In general, removing NP material, increases disc strains and decreases the Young’s modulus in tension and compression (Table 2). Significant changes in compressive mechanical function may advance the degenerative cascade in the affected joint and surrounding tissues or disc levels.

Recent clinical strategies for spine repair have moved toward maintaining disc joint mechanics through total disc arthroplasty using metal and plastic components, which have a limited life span in the body. More recently, research has focused on using tissue engineering or regenerative medicine strategies to develop biological repair strategies for injured or degenerated discs. Successful application of biological repair strategies will need to recapitulate the biochemical composition and act to distribute and absorb large complex loads similar to the healthy native joint. Tissue engineering approaches under investigation to regenerate damaged NP tissue toward healthy tissue, including cell- and material-based approaches, are described in the following sections (Fig. 6).

### Tissue Engineering Approaches to Repair or Replace Disc Tissue

#### Cell-based strategies to disc repair

The IVD is poorly cellularized with an average cell density of $4 \times 10^3$ cells/mm$^3$ in the NP and $9 \times 10^3$ cells/mm$^3$ in the AF, decreasing significantly with age. The NP cell phenotype has not been firmly established, but the adult NP is populated with chondrocyte-like cells. Cell delivery to moderately degenerated discs has had some therapeutic potential for enhancing tissue regeneration by repopulating damaged disc tissue with cells that can restore structural and functional properties or delay degeneration. The vast majority of pre-clinical studies are performed using cells isolated from the NP without further characterization. This strategy provides a sufficient quantity of cells to conduct pre-clinical studies to ascertain benefits of delivery protocols and biomaterials. However, there are some limitations to consider in using NP cells, including limited extracellular matrix deposition, NP cell population heterogeneity, and autologous availability from patients in need. Taken together, clinical application of tissue-engineered or regenerative medicine strategies for disc repair may require alternative cell sources, such as mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs).

There are reports that the disc contains endogenous stem and progenitor cells. Risbud et al. reported that cells isolated from degenerated human tissues expressed CD105, CD166, CD63, CD49a, CD90, CD73, and CD133/1, and negative for CD34; proteins that are characteristic of marrow MSCs. When stimulated with lineage-specific induction media, these cells

---

**FIG. 6.** Schematic demonstrating the most common factors applied in tissue engineering approaches for treating herniated and degenerated discs.
differentiate toward osteoblastic, chondrogenic, and adipogenic phenotypes. However, the disc pathology itself diminishes the cell’s proliferation rate and differentiation potential, motivating research to identify and optimize exogenous cell populations for use in cell-based therapies for injured or degenerated discs.

Stem and progenitor cells represent an obvious candidate population for use in cell-based therapies for IVD repair. To date, most stem cells used for disc regeneration experiments are from nondisc tissues, such as bone marrow, adipose, umbilical cord blood, and synovium, due to the capacity to achieve large cell numbers from a single donor that can be used as needed (Fig. 6). A recent review of the literature reporting the use of MSCs in disc regeneration revealed that bone marrow-derived MSCs were the most commonly studied cell source, were largely safe and effective, and yielded superior quality of repair tissue compared with non-MSC treatments, evidenced by an increase in disc height.

The shortcomings of a single cell population, such as tissue availability, dedifferentiation, or insufficient matrix production, are being addressed by cotransplantation of a primary and secondary cell population. Several studies have reported that the capacity of MSCs to differentiate and contribute to the formation of cartilaginous tissues is improved when used in conjunction with NP cells. However, improvements in tissue formation were only seen when MSCs were in direct contact with NP cells or disc tissue. Collectively, these studies suggest that synergy of MSCs, which are more readily available and attainable in higher numbers, together with NP cells or chondrocytes, offers a promising strategy for cell therapies for treating damaged discs. Furthermore, there is mounting evidence suggesting that disc degeneration is predominantly a function of genetics and not environmental risk factors (reviewed in Kepler et al.). Therefore, it is imperative that successful treatments include a regenerative component that stimulates endogenous cells or deploys reparative cells into the disc.

Natural materials

Hydrogels derived from natural polymers, including hyaluronic acid (HA), collagen, and fibrin, are the most widely used materials for NP regeneration. The fibrous morphology of these materials is comparable with native extracellular matrix. However, biomechanical properties achievable with many natural polymers are limited, constrained by available cross-linking methods and sites on the polymer backbones. Thus, there is extensive effort expended to modulate the biomechanical properties of natural materials to increase their efficacy when used as an NP implant.

HA is a major component of NP extracellular matrix that provides resistance to compression and enables cyclical loading. Various cell populations deployed in HA-based materials can survive and contribute to NP regeneration with no impairment in the endogenous healing processes. However, in vivo assessment of high-molecular-weight HA (2400–3600 kDa) alone or with cells has shown limited ability to restore disc height, which may be, in part, due to hydrogel leakage during implantation. These data suggest that HA has promise as a cell carrier, but the advantages may be
FIG. 7. Representative tissue engineering approaches to intervertebral disc tissue engineering. (A) Inductive approach for treating disc degeneration through dual release of dexamethasone (DEX) and transforming growth factor-β3 (TGF-β3) from polylactic co-glycolic acid (PLGA) microspheres. (B) Immunostaining for aggregan in rodent disc samples up to 24 weeks (W) postimplantation. NC, nonoperated control; DC, degeneration control; PM, injection of DEX/TGF-β3 microspheres into disc; PMA, injection of DEX/TGF-β3 microspheres coated with adipose-derived stromal cells. (C) Biomaterial approach to promote survival and retention of NP cells postinjection within polyethylene glycol (PEG)–laminin-111 (LM111) biomaterial carrier (top) or phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; bottom) (30 min and 7 days postinjection). (D) Bioreactor-based strategy to promote the maturation of engineered discs. (i) Cell-laden nanofibrous strips were rolled to make a concentric ring for AF repair; (ii) empty core space of the concentric ring was filled with a biomaterial encapsulating human NP cells and mesenchymal stem cells to form the engineered NP; (iii) disc composite constructs were cultured in a bioreactor and (iv) stimulated by direct contact compressive loading. (A, B) Reprinted from Liang et al.,108 reprinted from Francisco et al.,110 and (D) reprinted from Tsai et al.148 with permission from Elsevier.
limited without an annulus sealant to impede hydrogel extrusion from the injection site.117,118

Collagen is one of the most widely used materials for tissue regeneration, with numerous adhesion sites, limited immunogenicity, and injectability. Despite these advantages, collagen has not been widely used for disc repair due to poor degradation profiles and poor mechanical properties. Composite collagen hydrogels are under investigation to improve scaffold compressive mechanical properties and to control scaffold degradation rates. For example, collagen hydrogels, stabilized with a polyethylene glycol (PEG)-based crosslinker and enriched with HA, support NP cell viability in vitro, providing improved control over gel degradation.119 Others have synthesized composite collagen hydrogels supplemented with HA and proteoglycan components to improve mechanical properties, and these scaffolds have successfully maintained disc height and signal intensity on T2-weighted MRI scans.120

Fibrin is a naturally occurring biomaterial that provides endogenous physical and soluble cues to initiate tissue repair.121 Biodegradable fibrin hydrogels can be fabricated as injectable cell carriers and can be tuned by modulating clotting protein concentrations (e.g., fibrinogen and thrombin)121 or by altering the ionic strength of the system.117,122 However, fibrin-only hydrogels remain vulnerable to cell-mediated remodeling, and mechanical properties are linked to the gelation rate, which impacts survival of entrapped cells. Fibrin-HA composite hydrogels exhibit improved stability with increased glycosaminoglycan synthesis in vitro compared with fibrin-only gels, and NP cells deployed in this composite system demonstrated better integration with native NP tissue.123 The addition of silk to fibrin-HA gels significantly increased mechanical properties and enhanced chondrogenesis.124 Thus, the synergistic contribution of fibrin and other biomaterials represents a promising approach for use in NP repair.

**Synthetic materials**

The development of synthetic materials as injectable fillers or cell carriers represents a promising strategy to combat the biomechanical limitations of natural polymer-based hydrogels. The advantages of using synthetic polymers include availability, lot-to-lot reproducibility, and elimination of contaminating biomolecules harbored within natural polymers. A number of synthetic biomaterials are under investigation for use as drug delivery vehicles and cell carriers for nuclear replacement, including PEG, poly(lactic co-glycolic acid (PLGA), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), and hydroxyethyl methacrylate (HEMA) (Fig. 7).125,126

PEG is a commonly used synthetic polymer due to its hydrophilic nature and otherwise nonfouling surface, which prevents protein adhesion. This imparts a blank slate characteristic to PEG and allows the incorporation of specific moieties that enable cell adhesion and cell-mediated degradation. Numerous chemistries are available to engineer these properties into PEG, and gelation of this polymer into a three-dimensional (3D) hydrogel can be achieved by photoinitiation or Michael-type addition reactions.127 Francisco et al. recently described the formation of a laminin-containing PEG hydrogel without the need for a photoinitiator in clinically relevant gelation times (10–20 min).110 The dynamic modulus of this hydrogel reached 1.5 kPa after gelation, which is on the same order of magnitude as previously reported values for dynamic shear modulus of human NP (7.4–19.8 kPa; Table 2).128 In vivo studies that have delivered NP cells into a degenerated disc using a PEG-laminin hydrogel showed improved initial cell retention and survival compared with an uncross-linked suspension (Fig. 7C). However, long-term cell retention was not maintained, further motivating optimization in cell delivery methods or the use of self-sealing materials to close the injection site and prevent extrusion of implanted cells.

Polyhydroxyethyl methacrylate (pHEMA) is under extensive investigation as an NP implant and can be formed to modulate mechanical properties, enable photopolymerization, and can be grafted to promote cell adhesion.126 Culturing pHEMA constructs under hypoxic conditions induces differentiation of MSCs toward an NP phenotype. However, matrix production of MSC-encapsulated gels was lower than the composite degradation rate, resulting in a decrease in dynamic stiffness compared with acellular gels.126 These studies further motivate the need for greater control over the scaffold degradation rate, which could be accomplished by adjusting the polymer concentration.

**Inductive approaches**

The shortage of NP cells in affected patients is a primary driver for strategies to induce progenitor cells toward an NP phenotype. Recombinant inductive proteins are widely used to induce stem and progenitor cells toward the chondrogenic lineage. In particular, members of the transforming growth factor superfamily, such as TGFβ1, TGFβ3, and bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2), are potent chondroinductive
molecules to induce MSCs toward the NP phenotype (Fig. 6). The sustained delivery of dexamethasone and TGFβ1 from PLGA microspheres has been shown to increase aggregan deposition (Fig. 7A, B). This response was enhanced when the carrier was combined with adipose-derived stromal cells, resulting in appreciable aggregan staining after 8 weeks. These data provide evidence that local presentation of inductive factors to responsive cells can stimulate matrix deposition in damaged discs.

The mitogenic potential of human NP and AF cells can be stimulated by platelet-derived growth factor, basic fibroblast growth factor, and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1). Delivering BMP-7 with IGF-1 synergistically stimulated proteoglycan synthesis and cell proliferation from bovine NP cells. Injecting BMP-7 alone into degenerated rabbit discs provides an initial benefit by restoring disc height. However, no beneficial effects were detected by histology, suggesting that a single injection may result in transient effects that should be further optimized.

Despite their efficacy, there are abundant concerns surrounding the clinical use of recombinant growth factors due to their short half-life, instability, increased cost, and challenges associated with binding the large molecules to polymers. Peptides can have similar efficacy while resolving the aforementioned issues with growth factors. Link-N peptide, the N-terminal part of link protein, stabilizes the link between hyaluronate and aggregan, and the local delivery of Link-N peptide promoted proteoglycan synthesis. Unfortunately, no comparison was made between Link-N and more commonly used growth factors to compare relative efficacy. Analogs for glycosaminoglycan such as pentosan polysulfate (PPS), a semisynthetic polysaccharide, can be delivered in culture media, suspended in hydrogels for local short-term stimulation, or incorporated into the backbone of hydrogels for sustained presentation. When incorporated into PEG/HA-based hydrogels, matrix deposition from MSCs was higher with bound PPS than unbound PPS.

Mechanical loading of engineered tissues for the IVD represents the fourth critical factor in a 3D culture system (Fig. 6). Dynamic loading during de novo tissue development improves nutrient diffusion, cell proliferation, and matrix production. Furthermore, loading can be used to control tissue structure development, such as collagen orientation along the direction of applied loads. For disc tissue engineering, loading may be used for the above reasons or for integrating engineered NP and AF tissues to cultivate a fully formed IVD replacement.

Current clinical applications for disc repair
The promising results in pre-clinical models have motivated the progression to several human clinical trials using cell-based approaches for disc degeneration. Of the three open trials (www.clinicaltrials.gov), two studies use autologous adipose stem/stromal cells (NCT02097862, NCT01643681), while the third study is testing autologous chondrocytes to promote repair (NCT01640457). Other active trials deploy allogeneic MSCs (NCT01290367, NCT01860417) to support disc height, yet the outcome of these studies has not been reported. There is no consensus on the use of a biomaterial as a carrier, although the majority of active studies employ an injectable hydrogel to deliver cells into the disc space. To date, these studies have produced mixed results with respect to increasing disc height, patient symptoms, and signal intensity on MRI, which is a measure of the tissue’s water content.

Compared with pre-clinical studies, the lack of a strong therapeutic effect suggests the need for an additional study to optimize the number of cells deployed, the use of a carrier, and the need for an annulus sealant to assist in retention of cells in the disc.

Conclusion
Disc herniation and resultant disc degeneration remain significant clinical problems. Current effective strategies involve nonoperative management and surgical excision of the diseased fragment. Unfortunately, neither treatment addresses the underlying problem of disc injury and instability. Recent efforts to develop engineered tissues for partial or total disc repair and regenerative medicine strategies have resulted in promising outcomes in vitro and through small animal models. However, these strategies have significant challenges in scaling to a clinically relevant solution. Importantly, an ideal clinically relevant biological repair strategy is necessary to significantly reduce pain, restore flexibility, recapitulate the mechanical function of the healthy disc, and maintain motion of the spine.
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Abbreviations Used

AF = annulus fibrosus
BMP-2 = bone morphogenetic protein-2
D = degenerate
DEX = dexamethasone
HA = hyaluronic acid
HEMA = hydroxethyl methacrylate
IGF-1 = insulin-like growth factor-1
IVD = intervertebral disc
LDH = lumbar disc herniation
MRI = magnetic resonance image
MSCs = mesenchymal stem cells
ND = nondegenerate
NP = nucleus pulposus
PBS = phosphate-buffered saline
PEG = polyethylene glycol
pHEMA = polyhydroxyethyl methacrylate
PLGA = polylactic co-glycolic acid
PPS = pentosan polysulfate
PVA = polyvinyl alcohol
PVP = polyvinylpyrrolidone
TGF = transforming growth factor