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I. OVERVIEW

West Virginia state law provides no protection for public employees against job discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity. Recent legislative attempts to amend West Virginia’s Human Rights Act to prohibit such discrimination have failed.1 At the local level, two cities and two universities in West Virginia have included sexual orientation in their codes or policies related to employment discrimination.

Documented examples of employment discrimination by state and local government employers against LGBT people in West Virginia include:

- A police officer for the Pineville City Police Department whose harassment, physical assault, and termination were reported in a 1996 book. When the officer’s coworkers became suspicious about his sexual orientation, he was sent on calls without any backup. After he was tricked into disclosing his sexual orientation to a coworker, the coworker proceeded to hit him across the face with a night stick, breaking his glasses and cutting his eye. When the officer asked him why he was being attacked, the co-worker responded, "You're a faggot." The next day, the officer was asked for his resignation, and when he refused, he was fired. The officer then filed a grievance against the city, which he won.2

- A state employee who was not allowed to use his sick leave to attend his partner’s surgery because they were not legally married.3 The West Virginia Public Employees Grievance Board denied his claim of sexual orientation discrimination, citing the “very specific” personnel regulations that provide that sick leave cannot be approved for an employee to attend to another person’s medical care except for those family members listed in the policy.4

---

4 Id.
In 1983, the West Virginia Attorney General issued an opinion\(^5\) that gay and lesbian teachers could be fired by their districts under a state law that authorized school districts to fire teachers for “immorality.”\(^6\) The Attorney General opined that homosexuality was immoral in West Virginia even though the state decriminalized same-sex sexual behavior in 1976. While the Attorney General said homosexuality must be shown to affect the person’s fitness to teach, that could be shown if the teacher was “publicly known to be homosexual” as opposed to engaging in “private, discreet, homosexuality.” He also noted that there were some jobs where “even such publicized sexual deviation” might not interfere with employment in the public sector, such as “university drama teacher(s)” and “custodians.”

A school teacher who brought a discrimination suit against her school board in 1986 after she resigned under duress. Her resignation came after years of public and internal scrutiny following a rumor that she had been romantically involved with another female teacher and complaints from the community that her manner of dress was "too masculine." The school board asked her to appear and explain her personal situation involving the other female teacher. She did, and assured them that she was not involved in any inappropriate behavior. Later, she was given an improvement plan that called for her to change her style of dress to something more feminine, something that the kindergarten students would "be comfortable with." Just prior to her resignation, approximately 400 people appeared to protest her continued presence in the classroom. According to the court, the public outcry arose because of the West Virginia Attorney General opinion which stated that a school board could use public reputation in the community to establish a teacher's homosexuality and could dismiss a “reputed homosexual teacher” for immorality. A trial jury was held and the jury returned a verdict for the board on Conway's claim of duress. The court of appeals affirmed. Conway v. Hampshire County Bd of Educ., 352 S.E.2d 739 (W. Va. 1986).

Part II of this memo discusses state and local legislation, executive orders, occupational licensing requirements, ordinances and polices involving employment discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity, and attempts to enact such laws and policies. Part III discusses case law, administrative complaints, and other documented examples of employment discrimination by state and local governments against LGBT people. Part IV discusses state laws and policies outside the employment context.


\(^6\) CODE OF W. VA., Ch. 18A, Art. 2, § 8 (1931).
II. SEXUAL ORIENTATION AND GENDER IDENTITY EMPLOYMENT LAW

A. State-Wide Employment Statutes

Currently, the state of West Virginia has not enacted laws to protect sexual orientation and gender identity from employment discrimination.  

B. Attempts to Enact State Legislation

In the most recently adjourned legislative session, supporters of S. B. 600 unsuccessfully sought to amend the Human Rights Act, the state’s existing non-discrimination law, and the Fair Housing Act to add sexual orientation and gender identity or expression as protected categories. The bill was introduced in the Senate, passed in the Senate, and passed in the House Judiciary Committee, but did not come up for a vote in the House and died when the legislature adjourned. The bill as passed in the Senate defined sexual orientation as “heterosexuality, bisexuality, homosexuality or gender identity or expression, whether actual or perceived.” However, the House Judiciary Committee passed an amended version of the bill which simply struck gender identity or expression from the language of the introduced version of the bill. The West Virginia Human Rights Commission, which is statutorily authorized to make recommendations on policies to the governor and Legislature in matters affecting human rights voted to recommend passage of SB 600.  

Similar bills were also introduced in the prior legislative session. H. B. 2860 was authored by House members Fleischauer, Doyle, Webster, Brown, Palumbo, Guthrie, and Wells. H. B. 2860 would have added only “sexual orientation” to the categories covered by the Human Rights Act, the state’s anti-discrimination statute. There is very little information available related to the legislative history of the bill or any commentary made by its supporters or detractors, except that the bill was introduced and sent to the House Judiciary Committee, wherein no further action was taken. H. B. 2860 died when the 78th Legislature adjourned sine die. Another bill from the same legislative session, H. B. 4164 would have prohibited sexual orientation and age

---

8 W. VA. CODE § 5-11-9(2).
9 W. VA. CODE § 5-11A-1.
12 Id. at H. Jud. Amendment.
13 ACLU of West Virginia, ACLU of WV Builds Senate Bill 600 Coalition, MOUNTAIN TORCH, Spring 2008, at 3 (citing W. VA CODE § 5-11-8(e)).
15 Id.
discrimination in the context of civil rights, government agencies and professional occupations, it also failed to make it out of committee.  

C.  Executive Orders, State Government Personnel Regulations, and Attorney General Opinions

1.  Executive Orders

The research, including non-exhaustive research into secondary sources, did not uncover any relevant executive orders.

2.  State Government Personnel Regulations

The West Virginia Public Employees Grievance Board (the “Board”) governs grievance issues relating to state employees. Covered employees include “any person hired for permanent employment . . . for a probationary, full- or part-time position.”

There are three levels to the grievance procedure, including an administrator review, alternative dispute resolution, and a hearing with an administrative law judge.

The West Virginia University Board of Governors policy on Affirmative Action and Equal Employment Opportunity is to “[r]ecruit, hire, train, promote, retain and compensate all individuals in [listed] job titles without regard to . . . sexual orientation,” among other protected categories.

The university also ensures that all personnel actions will be administered without regard to immutable classifications, including sexual orientation. Marshall University has a similar policy.

3.  Attorney General Opinions

The research, including non-exhaustive research into secondary sources, did not uncover any directly relevant attorney general opinions.

D.  Local Legislation

1.  City of Charleston

---

18 W. VA. CODE § 6C-2-2(e)(1).
19 Id. at §6C-2-4.
21 Id. at §§2-4.
The Charleston ordinance forbids discrimination in employment and housing based on an individual’s sexual orientation. 23 Charleston’s ordinance describes sexual orientation as “actual or perceived heterosexuality, bisexuality, or gender-related identity, appearance or behavior of an individual, with or without regard to the individual’s assigned sex at birth.” 24

2. City of Morgantown

The Morgantown ordinance states that the city shall strive to eliminate all discrimination in employment, housing, and places of public accommodations by virtue of sexual orientation. 25 Morgantown defines sexual orientation as “having a preference for heterosexuality, homosexuality, or bisexuality, having a history of such preference or being identified with such preference.” 26

26 Id. at § 153.02.
III. DOCUMENTED EXAMPLES OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION AGAINST LGBT PEOPLE BY STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

A. Case Law

1. Public Employees


In 1986, Linda Conway, an elementary school teacher, brought suit against the school board after she resigned under duress. Her resignation came after years of public and internal scrutiny following a rumor that she had been romantically involved with another female teacher and complaints from the community that her manner of dress was "too masculine." Just prior to her resignation, approximately 400 people appeared to protest Conway's continued presence in the classroom. The public outcry arose because of an Attorney General's opinion that a school board could use public reputation in the community to establish a teacher's homosexuality and that the board could dismiss a reputed homosexual teacher for immorality. A trial jury was held and the jury returned a verdict for the board on Conway's claim of duress. The court of appeals affirmed.27

2. Private Employees


In Wamsley v. Lab Corp.,28 the federal district court for the Northern District of West Virginia assessed whether a claim for sexual orientation discrimination was actionable under the West Virginia Human Rights Act. The district court held that since the West Virginia Supreme Court looks to the federal Title VII discrimination law in its interpretation of the Human Rights Act provisions, and since Title VII jurisprudence does not find sexual orientation discrimination to be actionable, the plaintiff's complaint did not state a claim.29 The plaintiff had alleged that her supervisor "made comments indicating that the Plaintiff is gay and treated her different [sic] because of that belief."30 The unpublished opinion does not contain any further facts which explore the sexual orientation discrimination.31

3. Administrative Complaints

Research into the database of prior grievances by public employees includes one file related to sexual orientation discrimination. In that grievance, an employee sought

29 Id. The court also stated that "there are no cases which cite discrimination based upon sexual orientation as an actionable [Title VII] claim." Id. at 7.
30 Id. at 5.
31 See id. at 4-5.
to use his sick leave to attend his partner’s surgery.\textsuperscript{32} The employee alleged that he was discriminated against because he is gay.\textsuperscript{33} Despite the fact that the employee had a certificate of union from a church, he did not have a marriage license, which the Board used as the justification for not allowing the employee to use his sick leave.\textsuperscript{34} The report cited the “very specific” personnel regulations that provide that sick leave cannot be approved for an employee to attend to another person’s medical issues except for those family members listed in the policy: such family members include spouses in marriages recognized by the state, which, in turn, do not include same-sex unions.\textsuperscript{35}

C. Other Documented Examples of Discrimination

Pineville City Police Department

Jim Blankenship, a gay man, worked as a police officer for the Pineville City Police Department. When his coworkers were suspicious about his sexual orientation, Blankenship was sent on calls without any backup. After Blankenship was tricked into disclosing his sexual orientation to a coworker, his coworker proceeded to hit Blankenship across the face with a night stick, breaking his glasses and cutting his eye. As Blankenship arrested his coworker, he asked him why he did this, he responded, "You're a faggot." The next day, Blankenship was asked for his resignation, and when he refused, he was fired. Blankenship proceeded to file a grievance against the city, which he won. However, even after leaving the police force, the city police continued to harass Blankenship. He decided that he needed to move away from Pineville, and he has decided not to work in law enforcement.\textsuperscript{36}

West Virginia Public Schools

In 1983, the West Virginia Attorney General issued an opinion\textsuperscript{37} that gay and lesbian teachers could be fired by their districts under a state law that authorized school districts to fire teachers for “immorality.”\textsuperscript{38} The Attorney General opined that homosexuality was immoral in West Virginia even though the state decriminalized same-sex sexual behavior in 1976. While the Attorney General said homosexuality must be shown to affect the person’s fitness to teach, that could be shown if the teacher was “publicly known to be homosexual” as opposed to “private, discreet, homosexuality.” He also noted that there were some jobs where “even such publicized

\textsuperscript{32} West Virginia Grievance Board Database, http://www.state.wv.us/admin/grievance/Database (last visited Sept. 3, 2009).
\textsuperscript{33} Id.
\textsuperscript{34} Id.
\textsuperscript{35} Id.
\textsuperscript{36} ROBIN A. BUHRKE, A MATTER OF JUSTICE: LESBIANS AND GAY MEN IN LAW ENFORCEMENT 93-97 (Routledge 1996).
\textsuperscript{38} CODE OF W. VA., Ch. 18A, Art. 2, § 8 (1931).
sexual deviation” might not interfere with employment in the public sector, such as “university drama teacher(s)” and “custodians.”
IV. NON-EMPLOYMENT SEXUAL ORIENTATION AND GENDER IDENTITY RELATED LAW

In addition to state employment law, the following areas of state law were searched for other examples of employment-related discrimination against LGBT people by state and local governments and indicia of animus against LGBT people by the state government, state officials, and employees. As such, this section is not intended to be a comprehensive overview of sexual orientation and gender identity law in these areas.

A. Criminalization of Same-Sex Sexual Behavior

The West Virginia sodomy law was repealed in 1976.39

B. Hate Crimes

West Virginia’s hate crimes law does not explicitly include crimes motivated by sexual orientation or gender identity bias.40 The protected classes under West Virginia’s hate crimes law include race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, political affiliation, and sex.41

C. Education

West Virginia’s safe schools law is intended to protect students and to promote the general welfare of the students in a non-threatening educational environment.42 It does not, however, specifically mention the bases of discrimination or harassment from which the law intends to protect students.43

Although not by a government actor, after the Supreme Court’s ruling in Boy Scouts of America v. Dale, an editorial critical of LGBT rights appeared in Charleston Daily Mail44 penned by the head of the West Virginia Family Foundation, a conservative organization seeking to promote “pro-family” values.45 The editorial rebuked United States Senator Jay Rockefeller for not supporting an amendment which would functionally prohibit public schools from denying the Boy Scouts the ability to meet on school grounds. The author stated that Rockefeller’s opposition to the amendment was “raising the banner of tolerance for a lifestyle that civilizations down through time have recognized as perverted and against nature itself. [Rockefeller has] the gall to bring it into the schools where we send our children to get an education.”46 The author further criticized the West Virginia Democratic Party Platform for 2000,

41 Id.
42 See id. at § 18-2C-1 et seq. (2001).
43 See id.
44 Kevin McCoy, Traditional Values are Being Attacked: There’s a Campaign to Marginalize the Boy Scouts, CHARLESTON DAILY MAIL, July 14, 2001, at 5A (hereinafter “Traditional Values”).
46 McCoy, Traditional Values, supra note 44.
which recognizes “homosexuality and other perverted sexual orientations as a civil right.”

D. Health Care

In West Virginia, except in certain circumstances, a same-sex partner is unable to make medical decisions for his or her partner without an advance directive. A same-sex partner is only authorized to make medical decisions for an incapacitated partner under certain circumstances and is only given such authority under the auspices of being a “close friend” to the incapacitated person. Even then, if the incapacitated person has adult children or parents, the partner is not permitted to exercise the rights of a similarly-situated person whose partner is severely ill. Moreover, if the same-sex partners do have an advance directive drafted, they must ensure that it conforms to certain statutory requirements.

E. Parenting

1. Adoption

As referenced above, West Virginia adoption laws permit any unmarried person, or a husband and wife jointly, to petition to adopt a child. There are no explicit prohibitions against same-sex couples jointly petitioning to adopt or a same-sex partner petitioning to adopt his or her partner’s child. However, there have been no cases to test these issues. Moreover, there are no statutes or regulations that address whether adoption proceedings may consider sexual orientation or gender identity as factors in the adoption petition.

2. Custody and Visitation

West Virginia case law suggests that courts will not consider a parent’s sexual orientation in custody and visitation hearings, unless one party provides evidence that the parent’s sexual orientation harms the minor child. It appears, however, that gay and lesbian parents have been treated differently than other parents when seeking custody and visitation of their children.

---

47 Id.
48 See W. VA. CODE §§ 16-30-4; 16-30-8.
49 See id. at § 16-30-8.
50 See id.
51 W. VA. CODE § 16-30-4.
52 Id. at § 48-22-201.
53 Id.
55 Id.
In the mid-1980s, two lower court decisions restricted biological parents’ custodial and visitation rights due to their relationships with people reputed to be gay.57 The state Supreme Court reversed these decisions on appeal and ruled that a relationship with a gay, lesbian, or bisexual individual does not qualify as a “substantial change” justifying a change in the custody arrangement between the two parents.58

Similarly, the West Virginia Supreme Court has allowed a widowed same-sex partner to petition for custody of her deceased partner’s child with whom the widow had no biological or legal relationship.59 As a result of the court finding that the widowed partner could be considered a “psychological parent,” she had the right to petition the court in the custodial proceedings for the infant son she and her partner had intended to raise together.60 The court limited its ruling, however, to emphasize that this type of adjudication should be exercised with discretion and only used in such unusual or extraordinary cases when intervention is likely to serve the best interests of the child.61 The West Virginia Supreme Court agreed with the family court finding that the best interests of the child would be served by awarding the widow custody of the child.62

F. Recognition of Same-Sex Couples

1. Marriage, Civil Unions & Domestic Partnership

West Virginia does not provide marriage licenses or any type of relationship recognition for same-sex couples,63 and also does not recognize any form of same-sex relationship that is treated as a marriage under the laws of another state.:64 However, the state does allow for a marriage license to be validly issued to a couple, composed of one person who has completed gender-reassignment surgery and a partner of the same birth sex.65 This marriage is allowable because the State Registrar of Vital Statistics is authorized to make amendments to birth certificates, including those of individuals with court orders and a physician’s notarized statement that the individual has completed gender-reassignment surgery.66

G. Other Non-Employment Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Related Laws

---

58 See Rowsey, 174 W. Va. at 692.
60 Id. at 143.
61 Id. at 140.
62 Id. at 161.
64 W. VA. CODE § 48-2-603.
65 Id. at § 16-5-25; W. VA. CODE R. § 64-32-6 (2006); See also Lambda Legal Defense Fund, Sources of Authority to Amend Sex Designation on Birth Certificates (2009), http://www.lambdalegal.org/our-work/issues/rights-of-transgender-people/sources-of-authority-to-amend.html
West Virginia Code of Judicial Conduct

The West Virginia Code of Judicial Conduct mandates performance of judicial duties to be free from bias or prejudice, including such proscribed conduct on the basis of sexual orientation.\textsuperscript{67}

\textsuperscript{67} W. VA. CODE OF JUD. CONDUCT, Canon 3(B)(5) (2008).