Stem cells in plastic surgery: A review of current clinical and translational applications
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INTRODUCTION

Stem cells are a unique population of undifferentiated biological cells that have the capacity to self-renew and differentiate into different cell types. They play a central role in the field of regenerative medicine, aimed at the repair and replacement of diseased cells, tissues and organs through the transplantation of healthy cells and tissues; in particular, stem cells [1]. Plastic surgery shares several of the same principles with regenerative medicine, historically functioning on a more macroscopic level by using a patient's own tissue to restore and enhance the body. As our understanding of cellular regenerative therapies progresses, plastic surgeons may soon have the option of utilizing a single autologous cellular source for the regeneration of different...
ent tissue types.

There are several different types of stem cells that have been considered for clinical applications. Embryonic stem cells (ESCs) have the greatest regenerative “potential” being that they are naturally pluripotent and can differentiate into all adult cellular types. The successful isolation and culture of human ESCs has allowed investigators to gain a much better understanding of the capabilities of these cells to regenerate different tissue types [2]. ESC research, however, has been restricted by controversy surrounding the origin and isolation of these cells. Additional obstacles include safety concerns over potential tumorigenicity [3] and immunocompatibility [4]. These issues, as well as the ethical barriers have significantly limited the clinical applicability of ESCs at this time.

Adult stem cells such as mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) circumvent many of the ethical and technical issues associated with ESCs as they can be isolated from developed tissues including bone marrow, fat, and skin (bone marrow stromal cells [BMSCs], adipose tissue-derived stem cells [ADSCs], and adult skin stromal cells [ASSCs], respectively) [5]. However, these cells are multipotent, and are therefore restricted to the cell lineage in which they reside. Regardless, adult stem cells are a highly useful cell population in regenerative medicine as their ease of isolation, multilineage differentiation, and potential for autologous transplantation makes them a favorable candidate for clinical translation.

The creation of induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) lines, or adult somatic cells reprogrammed into pluripotent cells, has allowed researchers to utilize the differentiation capabilities of ESCs, while avoiding the ethical issues associated with ESC isolation. iPSCs share many similar properties with ESCs including expression of certain stem cells genes and proteins, chromatin methylation patterns, potency and differentiability [6]. Importantly, iPSCs can be created from several different, easily accessible cell types [7-10]. However, clinical translations of iPSC therapies still have noteworthy challenges. Generation of iPSCs has a low reprogramming efficiency [11] and requires the introduction of exogenous transcription factors with viral vectors [6] or through other significant ex vivo manipulations of cells [12,13]. This process has led to concerns over the stability of these cell lines [14] and the possibility of chromosomal aberrations [15], preventing safe use in human trials currently.

ADSCs have recently been investigated as a source of multilineage precursor cells [16], and are particularly promising for regenerative therapies as they can be easily harvested with minimal donor site morbidity [17]. In addition, ADSCs have a differentiation potential similar to other MSCs as well as a higher yield upon isolation and a greater proliferative rate in culture when compared to BMSCs [18-20]. The discovery that ADSCs are not only precursor to adipocytes, but are multipotent progenitors to a variety of cells [21] was a milestone that has allowed scientists to utilize the true potential of ADSCs to derive several additional cell types including osteoblasts, chondrocytes, myocytes, epithelial cells and neuronal cells [22]. For the plastic surgeon, they are an abundant source of multipotent stem cells that can be easily accessed during many routine procedures.

Stem cells are a promising therapeutic modality for the treatment of tissue defects, malformations and disease, and an attractive tool for the enhancement of aesthetic medicine. However, scientific evidence on clinical applications is still limited and much is unknown about the safety and efficacy of stem cell therapies [23]. Several key issues must be considered including the 1) source of stem cells, 2) efficiency of transplantation, 3) engraftment in host tissue, 4) interaction with the surrounding microenvironment, and 5) long term fate of transplanted cells. By further elucidating the current strategies for stem cell utilization, this review aims to provide a better understanding of the current state of cellular regenerative techniques in plastic surgery, the progress that remains to be made, and the appropriate direction for future research.

**SOFT TISSUE AUGMENTATION AND REGENERATION**

The regeneration and augmentation of soft tissue requires the restoration and enhancement of form as well as the continued long-term maintenance of aesthetic results. Current therapies are limited, and include biomaterials, which can be complicated by infection, surrounding fibrosis, and contracture and are also associated with high cost. Other viable options include composite tissue flaps as well as transplantation of autologous fat to fill defects, or fat grafting [24]. Fat grafting is a commonly performed procedure for soft tissue filling that can be used for several indications including facial lipodystrophy, lower limb atrophy, and breast augmentation and reconstruction [25]. Autologous fat utilized in fat grafting contains a variety of cells, including ADSCs [26], which are well suited to support regeneration of tissue as their ability to secrete angiogenic growth factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [27] promotes neo-vascularization of new tissues [28]. Fat is usually harvested and finely divided simultaneously, as in suction harvesting, or sequentially harvested and subsequently separated by mechanical means and/or enzymatic digestion to then be reintroduced by injection. Though this procedure is widely used among plastic surgeons, there remains a lack of standardization for harvesting, processing and reinjection protocols, and
the universal principles that underlie successful application of lipoinjection have yet to be determined.

Fat grafts, however, are restricted by varying rates of resorption [29] and complications of partial necrosis, resulting in unreliable long-term outcomes after transplantation [30]. Cell-assisted lipotransfer (CAL) is a technique that combines aspirated fat with concentrated ADSCs in the stromal vascular fraction (SVF) of liposapirate to create ADSC-rich fat grafts. This approach allows for marked improvements in the survival rate of transplanted fat as well as a decrease in adverse effects of lipoinjection such as fibrosis and cyst formation [31]. In 2008, Yoshimura et al. [32] used CAL for cosmetic breast augmentation in forty patients with reported increases in breast circumference in all patients at six months and no major complications. Other studies utilizing CAL for cosmetic breast augmentation have also reported increased breast volumes with improved contour and minimal complications [33-35]. CAL has also been used for facial lipoinatrophy [36,37], as well as for facial augmentation during face-lift and facial contouring surgeries, with similar noted subjective clinical improvements [38]. In addition, a study quantifying fat graft volumes with computed tomography scans showed that fat grafts with concentrated ADSCs underwent less reabsorption than fat grafts alone in ten patients with hemifacial atrophy [39]. These preliminary studies suggest that ADSCs might allow for improvements in the retention and volume-restoring capabilities of transplanted fat. However, a well-controlled clinical trial comparing these two modalities with respect to enhancement and retention of aesthetic results will ultimately be necessary to draw appropriate conclusions.

Alternative ADSC therapies have also been investigated for soft tissue regeneration. Kim et al. [40] use immature adipocytes differentiated from ADSCs in vitro for the treatment of depressed scars, with up to 75% recovery in volume of scars at twelve weeks. Other ADSC preparations include stem cell-enriched tissue (SET) injections [41], in which isolated autologous ADSCs are injected into the area of a patient’s body that received traditional fat grafts earlier that day [42]. Advantages of this model include reduced time spent in the operating room and therefore decreased procedure cost compared to CAL. Studies comparing the two techniques, however, are not available, and larger, randomized trials with both techniques will be necessary to determine efficacy.

Of note, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recently issued a statement declaring that autologous adipose stem cells from SVF are considered to be a “drug” due to the use of collagenase during component separation, and must therefore be completely regulated by the FDA [43,44]. In practical terms, any surgeon that wishes to use SVF must submit an investigational new drug (IND) application and have an approved Institutional Review Board (IRB), a costly and time-consuming process. Further investigation into other methods of separating cells and stroma such as mechanical separation by centrifugation and rapid isolation techniques may be warranted given the implications of these new regulations.

BONY RECONSTRUCTION

Autologous bone grafts have been the gold standard for reconstructing bony defects [45], but donor site morbidity [46] and complications associated with alternatives such as alloplastic implants [47] have led researchers to investigate cell-based therapies. Both BMSCs and ADSCs have proven to be favorable candidates based on their osteogenic capacity in in vitro and in vivo studies [21,48-50].

Current clinical stem cell therapies for bone regeneration have shown promising results for craniofacial defects [51-55]. Calvarial defects in particular have been a specific area of focus due to the unique challenges with repair as the calvarium is unable to ossify on its own in patients over two years old [46], and as the size of these defects is often greater than the amount of autologous bone available in the pediatric population [51]. ADSCs have been combined with milled autologous cancellous bone and fibrin glue to repair a large calvarial defect with resulting new bone formation and near complete ossification of the preoperative defect at three months [51]. However, the utilization of multiple concomitant treatments limits the ability to comprehend the degree of the therapeutic effect of ADSCs in this study. In a more recent study, Thesleff et al. [53] transplant ADSCs seeded in β-tricalcium phosphate (TCP) granules to successfully repair critical size calvarial defects (65–90 mm × 37−75 mm) in four patients without the use of autologous bone grafting. Using CT scans to quantify ossification, the authors demonstrate that the Hounsfield units of ADSC cranioplasties approach those of surrounding intact bone. These results suggest that ADSCs alone are capable of appropriately ossifying defects without the use of exogenous growth factors, and therefore provide a relatively simple method of autologous bony reconstruction with little donor site morbidity.

Stem cell treatments have also been used for repair of defects involving the maxilla and mandible. Certain approaches, with both ADSC [52] and BMSC [54,55] transplants, utilize a multi-step procedure in which harvested stem cells are combined with different growth factors (bone morphogenetic protein [BMP]-2 [52] and BMP-7 [54,55]) in a scaffold, and are then re-implanted into the patient’s muscle tissue to allow for ectopic bone formation. In a third procedure, occurring seven [54,55] to nine
months [52] after implantation, the titanium-enclosed ectopic bone is transplanted with the surrounding muscle and vascular pedicle as a composite microvascular flap to fill the bony defect. This technique has yielded excellent functional and aesthetic results; however, it is fairly complex and requires multiple different procedures staged over the period of several months. Sandor et al. [56] propose a 1-stage procedure in which harvested ADSCs seeded on a scaffold of β-TCP and BMP-2 are placed in a molded titanium mesh to fill a mandibular defect. This protocol, named \textit{in situ bone formation}, circumvents the need for ectopic bone formation and for a second surgical site, while producing favorable clinical outcomes as well as histologic signs of bone formation and remodeling at ten months after transplant. However, until the mechanisms behind osteogenic transformation can be further elucidated and the degree of ossification better quantified, it will be difficult to compare the efficacy of different cell-based treatments.

**CARTILAGE FORMATION**

Cartilage defects present a challenging reconstructive problem due to the tissue’s limited intrinsic capacity for self-repair. Currently, the only FDA-approved cellular-based therapy for cartilage defects involves autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI), in which chondrocytes harvested from low-contact areas are expanded in culture and then re-injected into a defect [57]. This technique has shown promising results in early clinical studies [57], but is restricted by limited expansion of chondrocytes \textit{ex vivo}, difficulty maintaining chondrocyte phenotype \textit{in vitro}, and donor site morbidity [58,59]. Alternative cellular therapies have turned to progenitor cell populations such as BMSCs, which have the ability to differentiate into several connective tissue cell types, including cartilage [60]. Clinically, autologous BMSCs have been used to repair articular cartilage defects by surgically transplanting collagen-embedded BMSCs [61-63] and by intra-articular injections of BMSCs [64]. Both techniques have yielded promising results with noted improvements in clinical symptoms such as pain and walking ability.

ADSCs have also been investigated as a less invasive source of chondrocyte progenitors that can be differentiated into chondrocytes \textit{in vitro} [16]. Important considerations in this process include the use of appropriate growth factors, primarily those in the TGF-β superfamily [65], as well culture in a 3-dimensional environment by utilizing cellular scaffolds [66]. These pre-conditioned ADSCs are then capable of forming cartilage tissue \textit{in vivo} [67]. In addition, uninduced ADSCs transplanted into hyaline cartilage defects in patellofemoral joints [68] and ear auricle defects [69] in animals have completely restored the native cartilage structure and fully repaired the defects at six months and three months, respectively. Limiting the \textit{ex vivo} manipulation of these cells provides a more favorable technique for future clinical applications and demonstrates the intrinsic ability of ADSCs to adapt to their environment \textit{in vivo} without the need for exogenous growth factors and substrates pre-transplantation.

**WOUND HEALING**

Wound healing is a highly coordinated process involving complex interactions among cells, growth factors and extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules to sequentially achieve hemostasis, cell proliferation, angiogenesis, re-epithelialization and remodeling of tissue. ADSCs have been promoted as favorable candidates for wound therapies as they secrete numerous growth factors and cytokines critical in wound healing [70,71] and also increase macrophage recruitment, enhance granulation tissue, and improve vascularization [72,73]. These reparative capabilities are illustrated in a study by Rigotti et al. [74], which examines the role of ADSCs in treating severe (LENT-SOMA grade 3) and irreversible (LENT-SOMA grade 4) radiation-induced lesions with atrophy, fibrosis, ulceration and retraction. Repeated transplants of purified autologous lipoaspirates into irradiated areas resulted in improvement of ultrastructural tissue characteristics with neovessel formation as well as significant clinical improvements with the majority of patients exhibiting a decrease in LENT-SOMA scores to 0 or 1. Similar results have been reported in animal models of radiation injury with increased vessel density in wounds treated with ADSCs [72,75]. These studies also elucidate possible reparative mechanisms of ADSCs such as the release of keratinocyte growth factor [72] and the differentiation of ADSCs toward endothelial and epithelial phenotypes [72,75]. Akita et al. report a case of an intractable sacro-coccygeal radiation ulcer treated with autologous ADSCs, artificial dermis, and basic fibroblast growth factor that healed uneventfully by 82 days after initial treatment. Similar mechanisms may have been responsible for improved healing in this case though it is difficult to determine due to the administration of multiple treatment modalities.

The angiogenic properties of ADSCs may also be beneficial in other wounds complicated by ischemia, such as in the setting of critical limb ischemia. Lee et al. [76] utilize intramuscular injections of ADSCs to treat patients with thromboangiitis obliterans and diabetic feet with improvement in pain rating scores in the majority of patients as well as improved walking distances measured in a subset of patients. Similar to observations of neovessel formation by Rigotti et al. [74], transplantation of ADSCs into ischemic limbs increased blood flow as seen by new collateral
SKIN REJUVENATION

Skin aging involves a number of different degenerative processes, notably a decrease in collagen production by fibroblasts. Several cytokines and growth factors are involved in stimulating fibroblast collagen synthesis for skin rejuvenation, and have also been shown to be part of the secretome of ADSCs [86], suggesting that these cells may be suitable for promoting repair of atrophic and photo-damaged skin. Animal studies have shown that subcutaneous ADSC injections increase dermal thickness and collagen density in aged mice [87], and reduce wrinkles induced by UVB-irradiation [88]. Suggested mechanisms include paracrine activation of dermal fibroblasts and dermal angiogenesis [87,89]. In a clinical pilot study, Park et al. [86] injected autologous lipoaspirate (PLA) cells containing approximately 20% to 30% ADSCs intradermally in the photo-aged aged skin of one patient. They reported an improvement in general skin texture and wrinkles after two months as well as an increase in dermal thickness by ultrasonography. These promising outcomes are similar to the results of translational studies, though further elucidation of the mechanisms behind these effects is necessary prior to further applying these therapies.

PERIPHERAL NERVE REGENERATION

The repair of peripheral nerve injuries (PNIs), particularly those with large defects, is limited by donor site morbidity and suboptimal functional recovery, prompting research for alternative treatments that have included a wide spectrum of regenerative therapies. A majority of experimental stem cell treatments for PNI focus on replacing host support cells, particularly the Schwann-cell population, as these cells are crucial in providing trophic, structural and directional support for regenerating axons. Neural stem cells are a logical choice as natural precursors to Schwann cells (SCs), and improve regeneration in animal models of PNI [90]. However, they are restricted by difficulties with isolation as well as ethical problems. ESCs have likewise been used to promote nerve repair in animals [91], but are currently limited by similar issues.

Adult stem cells such as BMSCs are a useful source of autologous cells that are multipotent, but can be trans-differentiated into SC-like cells [92]. ADSCs also have the capacity to replace host SCs [17] and can promote nerve regeneration when differentiated into neuronal-like lineages as well [93]. In addition, these cells are more easily accessible than BMSCs and are comparable to BMSCs in their capacity to promote peripheral nerve regeneration in animals [94]. The skin serves as another reliably accessible source of stem cells. A population of undifferentiated adult stem cells can be found in the hair follicle bulge, and has been differentiated into several cell types including neural-like [95] and SC-like cells [96]. The dermis also contains neural crest precursor cells that have been shown to improve nerve regeneration in the chronically denervated nerve [97].

Alternative approaches to stem cell-mediated peripheral nerve regeneration focus on modulating the nerve injury niche to provide trophic support for host cells. Transplants of undifferentiated ADSCs into peripheral nerve injuries have demonstrated that ADSCs can secrete several neurotrophic factors such as nerve growth factor, glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor in vivo [98,99]. In addition, ADSCs express genes that belong to the glial phenotype.
and are responsible for neuron metabolism and function [100]. These findings suggest that ADSCs may be particularly suited to create a favorable environment to support regenerating axons. However, the overall mechanism of action behind ADSCs’ influence on nerve regeneration is still relatively unknown. Moving forward with translational studies will require a better definition of the role of ADSCs as a paracrine influence that promotes regeneration in the surrounding tissue or as a progenitor cell that replaces host tissues.

CONCLUSIONS

Regenerative medicine has made significant progress over the last several years with regards to further understanding stem cell biology and the different applications of stem cells for the treatment of clinical problems. The field of plastic surgery is no exception, and stem cells have been reported to be effective in treating a variety of defects including bony and soft tissue defects, as well as non-healing wounds complicated by radiation and ischemia. Aesthetic procedures such as breast augmentation and skin rejuvenation have also shown positive outcomes with stem cell treatments. Importantly, these studies have noted minimal complications from these cell-based therapies. ADSCs have proven to be particularly useful as their ease of isolation and efficient ex vivo culture makes them favorable candidates for clinical applications. However, much remains unknown about the mechanisms of action behind the therapeutic effects of these cells. In this regard, it may be beneficial for future efforts to focus on further investigating the survival of transplanted cells in the injury niche, the controlled proliferation of stem cells after transplantation, and the appropriate integration of the transplanted cells into their surrounding environment.

In addition, the majority of the clinical literature is comprised of case reports and small case series. These cases are valuable studies for creating a foundation to direct future experiments; however, large scale, randomized trials will eventually be necessary to determine the true safety and efficacy of these novel treatments. Overall, the recent clinical advances in stem cell therapies suggest a promising future for regenerative medical therapies in plastic surgery. However, as the basic science of stem cell behavior continues to be revealed, cautious and controlled implementation of cell-based therapies will be crucial for the appropriate translation of this new technology to the clinical setting.
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