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ABSTRACT

Although the large-scale stratigraphy of many terrestrial foreland basins is punctuated by major episodes of gravel progradation, the relationships of such facies to hinterland tectonism and climate change are often unclear. Structural reentrants provide windows into older and more proximal parts of the foreland than are usually exposed, and thus provide key insights to earlier phases of foreland evolution. Our magnetostratigraphic studies show that, although the major lithofacies preserved within the Himalachal Pradeshs cstructural reentrant in northwestern India resemble Neogene facies in Pakistan, they have a much greater temporal and spatial variability. From 11.5 to 7 Ma, major facies boundaries in Himalachal Pradeshs vary by as much as 2–3 m.y. across distances of 20–30 km and are controlled by the interference between a major southeastward-flowing axial river and a major southwestward-flowing transverse river. A thick but highly confined middle to late Miocene conglomerate facies includes the oldest extensive Siwalik conglomerates yet dated (10 Ma) and implies the development of significant erosional topography along the Main Boundary thrust prior to 11 Ma. Our studies document extensive syntectonic gravel progradation with conglomerates extending tens of kilometers into the undeformed foreland during a period of increased subsidence rate and within 1–2 m.y. of major thrust initiation. Overall, gravel progradation is modulated by the interplay among subsidence, sediment supply, and the proportion of gravels in rivers entering the foreland.

Nicholas Brozovic* Douglass W. Burbank†

Department of Earth Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089

GEOLGIC FRAMEWORK OF THE HIMALAYAN FORELAND

The Himalayan foreland results primarily from subsidence driven by thrust loading. Sediment carried into the foreland by hinterland rivers can either be stored within the foreland or can bypass it and be transported by the Ganges or Indus Rivers to the sea, where it is stored in the Bengal fan or Indus cone. Sustained rapid Neogene accumulation within these deep-sea fans suggests that the Himalayan foreland was persistently filled to overflowing by sediment. Thus, the rate of sediment delivery from the hinterland is interpreted to have consistently exceeded the rate of creation of space to store the sediments within the foreland.
Over the past 20 yr, magnetostratigraphic studies have established a robust chronology for the Miocene–Pleistocene foreland in northwest India and Pakistan (Appel et al., 1991; Burbank and Beck, 1991; Burbank et al., 1986, 1988; G. D. Johnson et al., 1979, 1983; N. M. Johnson et al., 1982, 1985; Khan et al., 1988; Meigs et al., 1995; Mulder and Burbank, 1993; Raynolds and Johnson, 1985; Tauxe and Opdyke, 1982; and many others; for a complete review of Himalayan magnetostratigraphic studies, see Burbank, 1996). Nonetheless, there are still many unresolved questions concerning the evolution of the Himalayan foreland and the hinterland tectonic and clastic signals as recorded in its sedimentary rocks. The possible links between major climatic events such as Himalayan glaciation, the inferred strengthening of the Asian monsoon at 7–8 Ma, and the major alteration of atmospheric circulation patterns caused by uplift of the Tibetan Plateau are poorly understood, as are the impacts of these changes on denudation rates within the Himalaya and sediment flux to the foreland (Burbank, 1992; Burbank et al., 1993; Quade et al., 1989; Raymo and Ruddiman, 1992; Ruddiman and Kutzbach, 1989). The distribution of fluvial systems within the ancient foreland is poorly constrained, particularly the presence and downstream continuity of major axial drainages such as the paleo–Indus river (Burbank and Beck, 1991; Willis, 1993a, 1993b). The timing, partitioning, and magnitude of displacement along major Himalayan thrusts and the effect of irregularities in the evolving thrust load on basin subsidence and deposition has been defined in relatively few localities across this vast orogen (e.g., Macfarlane, 1993; Meigs et al., 1995; Srivastava and Mitra, 1994). The role of basement topography and preexisting faults in controlling depositional systems and structural style (i.e., thin- versus thick-skinned thrusting) has similarly been little studied (Karunakaran and Rao, 1979; Leathers, 1987; Lillie et al., 1987; Raiwerman et al., 1983; Yeats and Lillie, 1991; Yeats et al., 1992).

**Structural Setting and Characteristics of the Himalachal Pradesh Reentrant**

In northwest India, the Siwalik and Rawalpindi Groups are exposed within the Sub-Himalaya, an active thin-skinned fold-and-thrust belt between 30 and 80 km wide that accommodates some of the convergence between the Eurasian plate and the underthrusting Indian plate (Figs. 1 and 2; Lillie et al., 1987; Yeats and Lillie, 1991; Powers and Lillie, 1995). The northeast boundary of the Sub-Himalaya is the Main Boundary thrust, an intracontinental megathrust, which places the Lesser Himalayan igneous and metamorphic sequences over the molasse basin. Although the trace of the Main Boundary thrust generally follows the sweeping arc of the Himalaya, it is perturbed by several salients and reentrants. The largest of these, the Jhelum and Himalachal Pradesh reentrants, have several salients and reentrants. The largest of these, the Jhelum and Himalachal Pradesh reentrants, have dimensions of ~100 km by 50 km (Figs. 1 and 2). They preserve older, more proximal sedimentary rocks than those seen elsewhere in the foreland: They preserve older, more proximal sedimentary rocks than those seen elsewhere in the foreland.

Several previous studies have considered sedimentation within reentrants of the Main Boundary thrust: the Jhelum reentrant (Raynolds, 1980; Raynolds and Johnson, 1985; Visser and Johnson, 1978), the Himachal Pradesh reentrant (Johnson and Vondra, 1972; Johnson et al., 1983; Meigs et al., 1995), and the Ravi reentrant (Tandon and Rangaraj, 1979). Within the Jhelum reentrant, excellent magnetostratigraphic time control, a high density of measured sections, and detailed sedimentology have allowed several studies to consider the impact of both the Main Boundary thrust and more local structures on foreland depositional systems (Burbank et al., 1988; Raynolds, 1980; Raynolds and Johnson, 1985). These studies document gravel progradation and focusing of fluvial systems by increased subsidence along the axis of the reentrant, and the competition between coeval axial and transverse drainages.

We present new sedimentologic and chronostratigraphic data from Miocene foreland basin strata exposed within the Himachal Pradesh structural reentrant of the Main Boundary thrust in northwest India (Fig. 2). These represent more proximal Miocene deposits than have been studied elsewhere within the Himalayan foreland, and include the oldest extensive Siwalik conglomerates yet dated (10 Ma), suggesting that the Main Boundary thrust developed significant erosional topography prior to 10 Ma. Although there is no evidence for localized synsedimentary structural disruption, the study area shows extreme spatial and temporal variability in lithofacies, which may be linked to the longer term development of the reentrant. Moreover, this variability contrasts with the general uniformity of the more distal Miocene foreland preserved in Pakistan, and allows the possible impacts on foreland fluvial systems of the development of the Main Boundary thrust and the Himalachal Pradesh reentrant to be evaluated. Our studies highlight the uniformity and contemporaneous character of some of the major basal lithofacies as well as the diachrony of others over distances of kilometers to hundreds of kilometers.

**DATA SETS**

**Stratigraphy and Sedimentology**

Two new sections are described in this study, at Kangra and the Nalad Khad (Fig. 2). Together with the previously measured sections at Haritalyangar and Jawalamukhi (Johnson et al., 1983; Meigs et al., 1995), these are among the longest continuously exposed sections without major faulting that can be measured within the Siwalik sequence of the reentrant. The new sections at Kangra and the Nalad Khad were measured using a Jacob’s staff and Abney level. Lithostratigraphy, paleocurrent directions, conglomerate-clast counts and facies
variations were studied at all four sections within the reentrant. Measured paleocurrent indicators included trough and planar cross-stratification, furrows, scours, channel margins, parting current lineations, and conglomerate clast imbrications. Where necessary, paleocurrent measurements were corrected for the dip of strata and for postdepositional tectonic rotations as indicated by mean paleomagnetic vectors. Conglomerate-clast rock types were counted over a 1 m² area, more than 100 clasts per locality. Major lithofacies boundaries were traced along the front of the Jawalamukhi thrust sheet using exposures along rivers and road cuts wherever possible, and binoculars and local topography in areas with poor access.

Kangra Section

The Kangra section is located at lat 32°2′N, long 76°15′E, in the hanging wall of the Jawalamukhi thrust sheet (Figs. 2 and 3). The 2300-m-thick section is characterized by an overall coarsening upward with distinct sedimentological boundaries that allow three major lithofacies to be defined, corresponding to the traditional Lower, Middle, and Upper Siwalik lithofacies (Figs. 4, A and B, and 5). The major rock types present in the lower 500 m of the section are interbedded siltstones, mudstones, and thin fine-grained sandstones. Well developed red-brown soil horizons with rootlets are common, as are green finely laminated silts. Sandstone thickness is generally 5 m or less, though there are rare multistoried sandstones with an amalgamated thickness of 30–40 m (Fig. 4B). Paleocurrent indicators (mostly planar cross bedding) show flow to the southeast (Fig. 5). This facies is similar in appearance to the Lower Siwalik Chinji Formation in the Potwar Plateau (Fig. 1; Tauxe and Opdyke, 1982; N. M. Johnson et al., 1982, 1985).

The interval from 500 m to 2250 m is dominated by thicker sandstones separated by siltstone and clay intervals (Fig. 4, A and B). The sandstones are often multistoried with a thickness of as much as 45 m (though most are between 10 and 20 m), and show a “salt-and-pepper” compositional texture. This texture is characteristic of the Middle Siwalik Nagri Formation in Pakistan and the Nahan Sandstone in India and suggests that this predominantly sandy facies at Kangra is either part of, or corresponds to, the Nahan Sandstone (Fig. 5; N. M. Johnson et al., 1982, 1985). Planar and trough cross-stratification are common, and woody material is uncommonly preserved (Fig. 4B). With height, there is a concurrent increase in average grain size, sandstone thickness and proportion, and the frequency of conglomerate lags. The siltstone intervals are often laminated and have numerous thin (5–50 cm) laterally discontinuous fine sandstone beds; occasional channel geometries are preserved. Red-brown soil horizons with rootlets, bioturbation, and common carbonate concretions are well developed throughout

Figure 2. General location map of the Himachal Pradesh reentrant, modified from Raiverman et al. (1990). J—Jawalamukhi, S—Sarkaghat, NK—Nalad Khad, H—Haritalyangar, MBT—Main Boundary thrust, HFF—Himalayan Frontal fault, JT—Jawalamukhi thrust, SA—Sarkaghat anticline, PA—Paror anticline, JA—Janauri anticline, LS—Lambagraon syncline. The shading represents chronofacies and not lithofacies, and is based on detrital mineral assemblages and magnetostratigraphic time control (Johnson et al., 1983; Raiverman et al., 1990; Meigs et al., 1995; this study). The magnetic sections are named after adjacent towns or rivers.
the facies, except in the last 150 m, where green, gray, and lilac clays and laminated silts predomi-
inate. Paleocurrent directions within this facies are
generally to the south, with a progressive shift to
the southwest higher in the section (Fig. 5).

The change into laterally extensive and contin-
uous clast-supported conglomerate at 2250 m is
very abrupt. The conglomerates are massive with
no fine-grained intervals, although there are com-
mon clay rip-ups up to several meters in size near
the base of the unit (Fig. 4, A and B). The con-
glomerate clasts are well rounded and fairly well
sorted; quartzite accounts for 45% of the clasts.
Paleocurrent directions from imbricated clasts in-
dicate flow to the southwest (Fig. 5). This con-
glomeratic facies is analogous to the Upper
Siwalik conglomerate in Pakistan and is
known as the “Boulder Conglomerate” in north-
west India (N. M. Johnson et al., 1982).

Nalad Khad Section

The Nalad Khad section is located at lat
31°46'N, long 76°43'E, on the western limb of the
Sarkaghat anticline, and in the Jawalamukhi
thrust sheet (Figs. 2 and 6). There is no exposure
between the base of the 2200 m section and a ma-
jor fault that cuts across the Nalad Khad about
500 m downstream from the base. Four major
lithofacies occur within the section (Fig. 7, A
and B). The dominant lithology in the lower
1000 m of the section is coarse-grained sandstone.
These sandstones are multistoried and commonly
show “salt-and-pepper” texture, meter-scale
planar cross-beds, matrix- and clast-supported
conglomerate intervals, small channels (10–20 m
across), and commonly preserved logs. In the
lowest 300 m of the section, sandstone thickness
varies from <5 m to 40 m, with interbeds of green
laminated siltstone, gray clay, and occasional red-
brown silty soil horizons (Figs. 5 and 7, A and B).
From 300 m to 900 m, very few strata are finer
grounded than medium sand, with average sand-
stone thicknesses increasing to ~40 m, though
100-m-thick sandstones are present. The amount
of conglomerate also increases upsection, with
pebble lags and discontinuous bands in the lower
portion, as well as gravel-filled channels and
15–20-m-thick conglomerate beds in the upper
portion. In the uppermost 100 m of the facies,
there is a decrease in bed thickness and grain size,
and there are more common red-brown siltstone
interbeds. Paleocurrents in this facies are to the
south-southwest in the lower portion, swinging
westward with height (Fig. 5). The sedimento-
logical similarity between this lithofacies, the
Nagri Formation in Pakistan, and the Nahan Sand-
stone in northwest India suggests that it is part of
the Nahan Sandstone lithofacies (Fig. 5; N. M.

From 1100 to 1700 m, the section is composed
of thick, clast-supported, cobble-sized conglomer-
ates with thin interbeds of orange-yellow sand-
stone and red-brown to orange siltstone (Fig. 7, A
and B). Conglomerate thickness increases rapidly
at the base of the facies from about 10 m to
>100 m, and then decreases to 30–40 m in the up-
per portion of the facies (Fig. 5). White and pink
quartzites are the dominant clasts, although sand-
stone clasts are also numerous (Fig. 5). Paleocur-
rents, based on clast imbrications, are to the west-
southwest (Fig. 5). This facies is similar in appear-
ance to the ubiquitous Upper Siwalik
“Boulder Conglomerate” and to the “Middle Si-
walik conglomerate” described at Jawalamukhi
by Meigs et al. (1995). The magnetostratigraphic
correlation presented in the following suggests
that it is within the “traditional” Middle Siwalik
sequence and hence is part of the “Middle Siwa-
ilk conglomerate” lithofacies. This lithofacies is
recognized only at Jawalamukhi and Nalad Khad.

From 1700 to 2100 m, there is a finer grained
interval, with interbedded green and red-brown
siltstones, sands, and conglomerates generally
5–10 m thick (Fig. 7, A and B). Paleocurrents
indicate flow to the west (Fig. 5). We suggest that
this facies is part of the Middle Siwalik sandstone
sequence, though not necessarily related to the
other Middle Siwalik sandstones within the reen-
trant. At 2100 m, the lithology abruptly changes to
massive conglomerates, with occasional sandy
bands and no finer material (Fig. 7, A and B).
Once again, clast imbrications suggest a west-
ward flow and clast compositions are dominated
by quartzites (Fig. 5). This facies is part of the Up-
per Siwalik “Boulder Conglomerate,” which is
found over a large part of the Lambagraon syncline within the Himachal Pradesh reentrant (Fig. 2; Johnson and Vondra, 1972).

**Jawalamukhi Section**

The Jawalamukhi section was described by Meigs et al. (1995). It is located at lat 31°53′, long 76°19′E, in the hanging wall of the Jawalamukhi thrust sheet (Fig. 2). The thickness of the measured section is 3400 m (Fig. 8). From the base of the section to a height of 470 m, the section consists of interbedded sandstones and green and red-brown siltstones. Soil horizons are well developed and the siltstones are often bioturbated with rootlets preserved. The sandstones are generally thin (2–5 m); they have a maximum thickness of about 10 m, the thicker beds occasionally showing planar cross-stratification (Fig. 5). Paleocurrents indicate flow to the southeast (Fig. 5). This lithofacies is sedimentologically similar to the Lower Siwalik Chini Formation in the Potwar Plateau region (Tauxe and Opdyke, 1982; N. M. Johnson et al., 1982, 1985).

From 470 m to 1620 m, the section is dominated by much thicker multistoried sandstones with thicknesses averaging 20–30 m but reaching 100 m (Fig. 5). Pebble lags and thin conglomerate beds are common and composed of well-rounded quartzite and other metamorphic and igneous clasts. Trough cross-stratification and other paleocurrent indicators show directions to the south, with a shift to the southwest with height (Fig. 5). This lithofacies is part of the Middle Siwalik Nahan Sandstone, and corresponds to the Nagri Formation in the Potwar Plateau region (N. M. Johnson et al., 1982, 1985).

At 1620 m, thick extensive clast-supported conglomerates appear. These have broad scours and coarse stratification with individual stories generally 3–10 m thick (Fig. 5). There are occasional sandstone interbeds and sandy bands within the conglomerate, and rare silty bands. Clasts within the conglomerate include quartzite, igneous, and metamorphic rocks, and limestone (Fig. 5). Clast imbrications and cross-stratifications suggest flow to the southwest (Fig. 5). Extensive conglomerate facies such as these do not appear in any other Middle Siwalik sections except at Nalad Khad. This lithofacies has been labeled “Middle Siwalik conglomerate” by Meigs et al. (1995).

From 2100 m to 2400 m, there is a finer interval consisting of interbedded conglomerates, sandstones, siltstones, and clays (Fig. 5). These often occur in fining-upward sequences 15–20 m thick. Paleocurrent indicators are to the southwest (Fig. 5). At 2400 m, there is a return to the conglomerate-dominated facies, with very little sandstone and finer material; this lithofacies continues to the top of the measured section. Multi-storied conglomerate units between 10 and 150 m thick are separated by thin orange sandstones, siltstones, and clays (Fig. 5). Conglomerate clast imbrications suggest continued flow to the southwest (Fig. 5). This conglomerate facies is part of the Upper Siwalik “Boulder Conglomerate” described by Johnson and Vondra (1972).

**Haritalyangar Section**

The stratigraphy of the Haritalyangar area was described by Johnson and Vondra (1972). The 1600-m-thick section is located at lat 31°32′N, long 76°37′E (Fig. 2), and is the southeastermost section in the hanging wall of the Jawalamukhi thrust sheet. Johnson and Vondra (1972) defined four
“Boulder Conglomerate” (“Upper Siwalik”)

**GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS**

- **Conglomerate-clast imbrications**
- Mean direction = 237°
- N = 21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2275</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nahan Sandstone (“Middle Siwalik”)

- Red-brown siltstone, concretionary layer near base
- Friable green fine sandstone
- Poorly exposed interbedded silt and/or medium sandstone
- Gray-green sandstone with occasional “floating” pebbles
- Locally up to 60%–70% conglomerate in lower half
- Thick gray sandstone with conglomerate lags up to 2 m thick
- Interbedded red/brown/green siltstone
- Stacked fine sandstone channels, green

“Lower Siwalik”

- Poorly exposed red siltstone, local mottling and concretions
- Gray-green sandstone with mud flake and laminations near top
- Gritty red-brown silt
- Pale green fine sandstone, branching roots
- Pale green siltstone/mudstone, finely bedded, load structures, some bioturbation, slightly mottled red-brown at top
- Poorly exposed red-brown siltstone
- Fine gray cross-bedded sandstone with mud rip-ups. 8.5 m thick
- Very finely bedded mottled pale pink/green mudstone
- 1.5 m pale green/pink fine sandstone; mottled and bioturbated
- Red-brown gritty siltstone bands exposed above road
- Fine gray-brown laminated sandstone passes into speckled red-brown siltstone, completely homogenized by bioturbation; top 1 m is purple soil with concretions, rootlets and green mottling

**Grain size**

- planar cross bedding
- bedding trace
- coarsening upwards
- soil horizon
- carbonate concretions
- gravel lag in sandstone
- bioturbation
- rootlets
- lamination
- siltstone pocket in conglomerate

**Sedimentary features**

- Planar cross bedding
- Bedding trace
- Coarsening upwards
- Soil horizon
- Carbonate concretions
- Gravel lag in sandstone
- Bioturbation
- Rootlets
- Lamination
- Siltstone pocket in conglomerate

**Samples Height (m) Lithology Features**

- **Conglomerate-clast count at 2280 m**
  - Q—quartzite, L—limestone, IM—igneous and metamorphic, S—sandstone and siltstone, O—other

Figure 4. (B) Representative lithofacies for the Kangra section. Locations of each sedimentary log are shown as asterisks in A, and the interval in which the lithofacies is recognized is shown to the left of the stratigraphic column in A. Each log covers a stratigraphic interval of 50 m and shows stratigraphic height, lithology, grain-size variation, sedimentary features, and paleomagnetic sample locations. Conglomerate-clast counts and paleocurrent data are given where measured. Conglomerate-clast rock types: Q—quartzite, L—limestone, IM—igneous and metamorphic, S—sandstone and siltstone, O—other.
The lowermost 500 m consists of interbedded sandstones and siltstones of the Nahan Sandstone. Multistoried sandstones with average thicknesses of 15–25 m dominate the section, and have a “salt-and-pepper” texture, with common trough cross-bedding, basal scours, and occasional pebble lags (Fig. 5). Orange to red-brown siltstones and clays are often mottled and soil horizons are generally well developed. Paleocurrents in the Nahan Sandstone indicate flow to the southeast (Fig. 5).

The Nahan Sandstone is transitional with the overlying “Lower Alternations,” which are characterized by a decrease in average sandstone thickness to 10–15 m, with an increase in the proportion of siltstone (Fig. 5). The “Lower Alternations” occur from 500 to 1170 m in the measured section, and are similar in appearance to the coeval Dhok Pathan lithofacies in the Potwar Plateau region of Pakistan (G. D. Johnson et al., 1982; N. M. Johnson et al., 1982). They are overlain by the “Upper Alternations,” which are similar, though marked by a slight fining in sandstone thicknesses and a change in the composition of pebble lags toward an increased proportion of granitic and gneissic material (Johnson et al., 1983). The “Upper Alternations” have been correlated with the upper part of the Dhok Pathan lithofacies of the Potwar Plateau region (G. D. Johnson et al., 1982; N. M. Johnson et al., 1982). Paleocurrents in the “Lower” and “Upper Alternations” at Haritalyangar show a shift from the southeast toward the southwest (Fig. 5). The top of the “Upper Alternations” is
marked by a rapid increase in the amount of gravelly lag material and an abrupt transition into thick, extensive conglomerates of the Upper Siwalik “Boulder Conglomerate” lithofacies (Johnson and Vondra, 1972).

**PALEOMAGNETIC RESULTS AND CORRELATIONS**

New magnetic chronologies were established for the Kangra and Nalad Khad sections (Figs. 4A and 7A). Sampling for magnetic polarity stratigraphies (MPSs) focused on mudstones and siltstones, as other rock types are usually either too weakly magnetized or too coarse grained to yield a primary depositional remanent magnetism. Oriented hand samples of suitable strata were collected, with four to six samples per site (stratigraphic level). The natural remanent magnetism (NRM) of the samples was measured on a cryogenic magnetometer at the University of Southern California. For each of the sections, representative pairs of samples were chosen from 20 stratigraphic levels, so that the full range of sample rock types and magnetic behavior was represented. Stepwise thermal demagnetization (measurements at 100, 200, 300, 400, 450, 500, 525, 550, 575, 600, 625, and 650 °C) was conducted on these subsets to determine suitable demagnetization levels for the entire sample population. Magnetic susceptibilities were measured after each heating step to determine whether new magnetic minerals were growing at elevated temperatures (this would invalidate the sample data for steps of that temperature and higher).

The results indicate that there is a viscous overprint that is easily removed by thermal demagnetization at temperatures of 100–200 °C (Fig. 9). Some of the samples lost all of their NRM by 600°C, while others retained relatively high magnetic intensities, suggesting that both magnetite and hematite are magnetic carriers. Above 200 °C, most samples revealed a clear normal or reverse polarity, although samples with weaker intensities showed conversion to new minerals, as evidenced by increased susceptibilities at temperatures above 300 °C. The more strongly magnetized samples generally showed a decline in intensity with a steady magnetization direction throughout thermal demagnetization. On the basis of these results, the remainder of the samples were divided into initially “strong” and initially “weak” samples. A stepwise thermal demagnetization was chosen with temperature steps of 400, 450, and 500 °C for initially “strong” samples ($J_0 > 0.025$ A/m). Initially “weak” samples ($J_0 < 0.025$ A/m) were thermally demagnetized first at 200, 250, and 300 °C and then at the three higher temperature steps; magnetic susceptibility measurements were made at each higher temperature step to determine whether new mineral growth was taking place. If magnetic susceptibilities changed significantly, indicating mineral growth, data from that temperature step were discarded and no higher temperature steps were measured.

After thermal demagnetization, magnetic vectors were corrected for sample orientation and bedding, and the dispersion between the sample magnetic vectors at each site, at each measured temperature step, was calculated using Fisher statistics (Fisher, 1953). For each site, data from the temperature step yielding the highest Fisher $k$ value were used in site classification and further calculations. Following the classification described in Burbank and Johnson (1983), sites that showed a good agreement between all of the samples ($Fisher k > 10$) were termed class I (Fig. 10). Sites with unambiguous polarities but with Fisher $k < 10$ or sites with fewer than three surviving samples were classified as class II and unambiguous polarity. Sites for which no Fisher $k$ value could be calculated because the sample vectors were too dispersed and ambiguous data were termed class III, and discarded from further analysis. The total number of sites in this study was 244, with 1100 samples. These yielded 209 class I sites (86%), 34 class II sites, and 1 class III site.

**Figure 7.** (A) Nalad Khad stratigraphic section including the virtual geomagnetic pole (VGP latitude) determined for each site, the local magnetopolarity stratigraphy (MPS), and the preferred correlation to the global magnetic polarity time scale (MPTS) of Cande and Kent (1995). L.S.—Lower Siwalik lithofacies, similar to Chinji lithofacies; M.S. 1—Middle Siwalik lithofacies, here interpreted as the Nahan Sandstone; C—Middle Siwalik conglomerate lithofacies; M.S. 2—sandstone of Middle Siwalik age but interpreted as unrelated to the Nahan Sandstone; U.S.—Upper Siwalik lithofacies (“Boulder Conglomerate”). An asterisk to the right of the stratigraphic column shows the location of the more detailed representative lithofacies logs shown in B. For other symbols, refer to Figure 4A. The Nalad Khad MPS is interpreted to span 11.8 Ma to 8.3 Ma.
Reversal and fold tests were applied to the paleomagnetic data following the procedures described in McFadden (1990) and McFadden and McElhinny (1990). Normal and reverse polarity limbs, each composed of the mean of class I and II site means with the same tectonic correction (McFadden, 1990), were used in the statistical analyses. Unfolded data for both the Nalad Khad and Kangra sections pass the reversal test of McFadden and McElhinny (1990) at the 95% confidence limit (Tables 1A and 2A). Using their classification scheme, the Nalad Khad section has a ‘C’ classification with a critical angle of 10.55°, and the Kangra section has a ‘B’ classification with a critical angle of 8.45°.

Both measured stratigraphic sections are within one dip panel on a major fold structure. However, for the Nalad Khad section it was possible to collect samples from a correlated stratigraphic level on the other side of the fold axis of the Sarkaghat anticline. Thus, it was possible to perform the fold test on an isolated observation (McFadden, 1990). The in situ Nalad Khad data fail the fold test at the 95% confidence level, whereas the unfolded data pass the fold test at the same confidence level (Table 1B). For the Kangra section, all paleomagnetic sites were located on a single dip panel. The correlation test of McFadden (1990) was applied to these data (Table 2B). Results of this statistical test are indeterminate for normal polarity limbs, although the value of the test statistic, $z_{95}$, is less for unfolded than in situ data. However, results from the reverse polarity limbs suggest that the magnetization was acquired before folding occurred. Taken together, these results, as well as the presence of both normal and reverse sites grouped in magnetozones, suggest that the magnetic vectors measured represent depositional remanence rather than an overprint.

Virtual geomagnetic poles (VGP) and 95% confidence intervals ($\alpha_{95}$) for the paleolatitude were calculated for site means of the class I and II data. The VGP latitude allows magnetozones and a magnetic polarity stratigraphy to be established for each section. The $\alpha_{95}$ value is a further measure of the uncertainty in site polarity. The magnetic polarity stratigraphies can then be correlated with the global magnetic polarity time scale (MPTS). This study uses the MPTS of Cande and Kent (1995), and recorrelates preexisting magnetic polarity stratigraphies where older time scales have been used.

Several rigorous discussions of the uncertainties involved in using magnetostratigraphic studies for absolute age determinations have been published (Johnson and McGee, 1983; Talling and Burbank, 1993; Burbank, 1996). Johnson and McGee (1983) recommended a sample density of 20–25 sites/m.y. within Neogene strata to have a high likelihood of including all of the recorded reversals with a few sites in each. Even though large portions of the measured sections were dominated by conglomerates, an adequate sample density was maintained, with ~25 sites/m.y. at Kangra and 35 sites/m.y. at Nalad Khad (Figs. 4A and 7A). Resampling of single site reversals was undertaken wherever possible to reinforce polarity determinations and minimize the risk of sampling errors or lightning strikes affecting the magnetic polarity stratigraphy; the Kangra section...
Figure 8. (A) Stratigraphic sections and local magnetic polarity stratigraphies (MPSs) for the Jawalamukhi and Haritalyangar sections, after Meigs et al. (1995) and Johnson et al. (1983), respectively. Both MPSs have been re correlated to the global magnetic polarity time scale (MPTS) of Cande and Kent (1995). These recorrelations produce similar sediment accumulation rates in all four sections within the reentrant, as well as bringing fauna at Haritalyangar (Johnson et al., 1983) into concurrence with similar fauna in Pakistan (e.g., Tauxe, 1979). Note that the vertical scales are different for the Jawalamukhi and Haritalyangar sections, but that the global magnetic polarity time scale used in the correlations has the same vertical scale. For the Jawalamukhi section, lithofacies are: L.S.—Lower Siwalik, similar to Chinjli lithofacies; M1—Middle Siwalik, here interpreted as the Nahar Sandstone; C—Middle Siwalik conglomerate; M2—sandstone of Middle Siwalik age but interpreted as unrelated to the Nahar Sandstone; U.S.—Upper Siwalik (“Boulder Conglomerate”). For the Haritalyangar section, lithofacies are: L.S.—Lower Siwalik, similar to Chinjli lithofacies; M.S.—Middle Siwalik, here interpreted as the Nahar Sandstone; L.A.—“Lower Alternations” of Johnson et al. (1983); U.A.—“Upper Alternations” of Johnson et al. (1983); U.S.—Upper Siwalik (“Boulder Conglomerate”). (B) Correlation of the Himachal Pradesh local magnetic polarity stratigraphies with the global magnetic polarity time scale (MPTS; Cande and Kent, 1995). The vertical scale is stratigraphic thickness, so that nonparallelism of time lines (shown in gray) represents varying sediment accumulation rates between sections. The thick horizontal time line is the top of chron 5n.2n (9.92 Ma), and is used as a datum for the magnetic sections. Note that the Kangra, Jawalamukhi, and Haritalyangar sections are along strike from each other, whereas the Nahar Khad section is located in a more proximal position in the foreland (Fig. 2). The magnetozones denoted by a black diamond in the Kangra and Haritalyangar MPSs (N7 and N6, respectively) have been correlated with a cryptochron immediately above the top of chron 4An (8.699 Ma) described in Cande and Kent (1992). The magnetozones denoted by a white diamond in the Kangra and Haritalyangar MPSs (N5 and N4, respectively) have been correlated with a very short cryptochron, which does not appear in the MPTS but has been described in several magnetic sections in Pakistan (Tauxe, 1979; Tauxe and Opdyke, 1982).

contains no single site reversals and the Nahad Khad section contains one. The data quality of both the Himachal Pradesh magnetic polarity stratigraphies is excellent, and gives us confidence in the correlations chosen and in the interpretations of stratigraphic and sedimentologic data we present based on this time control.

The key to correlation for many of the magnetic polarity stratigraphies established within the Siwalik foreland to the MPTS (Cande and Kent, 1995) is the presence of a long normal polarity interval in each section that coincides with the thick Nagri Formation sandstone in Pakistan and to the Nahar Sandstone in northwest India (G. D. Johnson et al., 1982; N. M. Johnson et al., 1982, 1985; Meigs et al., 1995). This interval has been correlated with chron 5n.2n (10.949–9.92 Ma; Cande and Kent, 1992) on the basis of faunal assemblages and fission-track ages from ash horizons (G. D. Johnson et al., 1982).

In the Kangra section, 500 paleomagnetic samples were collected at 113 sites, which on measurement yielded 97 class I sites (86%), 16 class II sites, and no class III sites (Fig. 4A). This defines a local magnetic polarity stratigraphy with 17 reversals, 18 magnetozones, and no single site reversals (Fig. 4A). Magnetzone N2 is a normal polarity magnetzone that includes the base of the Nahar lithofacies and has been correlated with chron 5n.2n (Fig. 4A). The remaining magnetozones have been correlated to the MPTS with the assumption that most chronus will have been detected due to the high sample density; hence the Kangra section spans 11.3 to 6.9 Ma. The slightly lower sample density near the top of the section leads to some uncertainty in the correlation, which could yield variations of 0.1–0.2 m.y. in the age of the top of the section. Magnetzone N7 of the Kangra magnetic polarity stratigraphy has been correlated with a cryptochron immediately above the top of chron 4An (8.699 Ma), which is described in the MPTS of Cande and Kent (1992); this cryptochron also occurs in the Haritalyangar magnetic polarity stratigraphy (see the re correlation of Meigs et al., 1995). Magnetzone N5 of the Kangra magnetic polarity stratigraphy is a short normal polarity magnetzone (2 class I sites at a height of 1340 m) that is not repre

Figure 9. Zijderveld plots of vertical plane (open circles) and horizontal plane projections (filled circles) from representative thermally demagnetized samples, and plots of relative intensity (filled diamonds) and magnetic susceptibility (open diamonds) vs. temperature. The square data points on the Zijderveld plots represent initial measurements. The scale for the Zijderveld plots is A/m. Relative intensity is calculated as initial sample intensity/sample intensity for that temperature step. Both relative intensity and magnetic susceptibility are dimensionless. (A) The site mean direction indicates normal polarity for sample KAN021A (north declination, down inclination), with progressive removal of an overprint at temperatures from 100 to 300 °C. (B) Sample NAL019A shows a reverse polarity (south declination, up inclination) and a low-temperature overprint, which is removed by 100°C. (C) Sample NAL043B is a weak sample that shows a normal polarity at temperatures up to 300°C, with random inclinations and declinations at higher temperatures. The increasing intensity and magnetic susceptibility above 300°C suggest the growth of new minerals and obliteration of the original magnetic vector. Measurements from temperature steps higher than 300°C were discarded from the calculation of the polarity of this sample, and are shown joined with a dashed line and shaded gray.
At Jawalamukhi, the study of Meigs et al. (1995) defined a magnetic polarity stratigraphy with 27 reversals, 28 magnetozones, and one single site reversal (Fig. 8); of the 134 sites, 70% yielded class I data. Magnetozone N5 is a long normal polarity interval that coincides with deposition of the Nahan Sandstone at Jawalamukhi and has been correlated with chron 5n (Burbank, 1996). Correlation with the MPTS (Cande and Kent, 1995) dates the section as spanning 12.3 Ma to 4.7 Ma (Fig. 8; Meigs et al., 1995).

The upper 1200 m of the section at Haritalyangar were sampled for magnetostratigraphy by Johnson et al. (1983); samples in the lower 400 m of the section were collected by R. A. Beck (described in Meigs et al., 1995). A total of 91 paleomagnetic sites through the Haritalyangar section define a magnetic polarity stratigraphy with 14 reversals, 15 magnetozones, and 3 single site reversals (Johnson et al., 1983; Burbank, 1996; Fig. 8). Our preferred correlation with the MPTS dates the section as spanning 10.5 Ma to 7.6 Ma (Meigs et al., 1995; Burbank et al., 1996; Fig. 8). This represents a re-correlation of the original Haritalyangar magnetic polarity stratigraphy, and implies that the top of the measured section dates from about 7.6 Ma (Meigs et al., 1995; Burbank, 1996) rather than about 5 Ma, as originally suggested by Johnson et al. (1983). A re-correlation of the original data was undertaken for three reasons: (1) newer MPTSs have a more detailed reverse structure during late Miocene time, changing the most likely correlation (Cande and Kent, 1992, 1995); (2) re-correlation of the Haritalyangar section produces sediment accumulation rates that are similar to those along strike at Jawalamukhi and Kangra (Fig. 8); and (3) re-correlation brings the Haritalyangar fauna into con-

and has been correlated with chron 5n (Burbank, 1996). Correlation with the MPTS (Cande and Kent, 1995) dates the section as spanning 12.3 Ma to 4.7 Ma (Fig. 8; Meigs et al., 1995).

TABLE 1A. NALAD KHAD SECTION REVERSAL TEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unfolded limbs</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>Declination</th>
<th>Inclination</th>
<th>N_i</th>
<th>R_i</th>
<th>k_i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal polarity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>005.7°</td>
<td>25.2°</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.58</td>
<td>33.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse polarity (inverted)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>000.1°</td>
<td>33.7°</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>30.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The number of limbs (sensu McFadden, 1990) for each polarity, i, is given by N_i, R_i is the length of the vector sum of unit vectors for each polarity, and k_i is the estimate of the distribution precision, k_i is defined as k_i = (N_i−1)/(N_i−R_i). See McFadden and McElhinny (1990) for details. Hence, k_i /k_2 < 2 is assumed and the reversal test with several observations per polarity and a common precision can be performed (McFadden and McElhinny, 1990). Thus, N = N_1 + N_2 = 25, and the relevant angles are g_1 = 9.80° and g_2 = 10.55°; where g_i is the observed angle between the two mean directions and g_c is the critical angle between the two mean directions at which the null hypothesis of a common mean direction would be rejected with 95% confidence. Since g_1 < g_c and 10° ≤ g_2 ≤ 20°, the data pass the reversal test at the 95% confidence level, with a ‘C’ classification.

TABLE 1B. NALAD KHAD SECTION FOLD TEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limbs</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>μ_m</th>
<th>FT</th>
<th>R_m</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>Prob(γ &gt; g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In situ</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>019.8°, 20.8°</td>
<td>292.7°, 74.9°</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>51.38°</td>
<td>0.000236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfolded</td>
<td>358.7°, 33.7°</td>
<td>018.6°, 32.3°</td>
<td>13.62</td>
<td>16.64°</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The number of limbs (sensu McFadden, 1990) for each polarity, i, is given by N_i, R_i is the length of the vector sum of unit vectors for each polarity, and k_i is the estimate of the distribution precision, k_i is defined as k_i = (N_i−1)/(N_i−R_i). See McFadden and McElhinny (1990) for details. Hence, k_i /k_2 < 2 is assumed and the reversal test with several observations per polarity and a common precision can be performed (McFadden and McElhinny, 1990). Thus, N = N_1 + N_2 = 25, and the relevant angles are g_1 = 9.80° and g_2 = 10.55°; where g_i is the observed angle between the two mean directions and g_c is the critical angle between the two mean directions at which the null hypothesis of a common mean direction would be rejected with 95% confidence. Since g_1 < g_c and 10° ≤ g_2 ≤ 20°, the data pass the reversal test at the 95% confidence level, with a ‘C’ classification.

TABLE 2A. KANGRA SECTION REVERSAL TEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unfolded limbs</th>
<th>i</th>
<th>Declination</th>
<th>Inclination</th>
<th>N_i</th>
<th>R_i</th>
<th>k_i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal polarity</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>015.6°</td>
<td>29.6°</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>42.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse polarity (inverted)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>021.1°</td>
<td>28.9°</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>21.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The number of limbs (sensu McFadden, 1990) for each polarity, i, is given by N_i, R_i is the length of the vector sum of unit vectors for each polarity, and k_i is the estimate of the distribution precision, k_i is defined as k_i = (N_i−1)/(N_i−R_i). See McFadden and McElhinny (1990) for details. Hence, k_i /k_2 < 2 is assumed and the reversal test with several observations per polarity and a common precision can be performed (McFadden and McElhinny, 1990). Thus, N = N_1 + N_2 = 41, and the relevant angles are γ_1 = 4.79° and γ_2 = 8.45°; where γ_i is the observed angle between the two mean directions, and γ_c is the critical angle between the two mean directions at which the null hypothesis of a common mean direction would be rejected with 95% confidence. Since γ_1 < γ_c and 5° ≤ γ_2 ≤ 10°, the data pass the reversal test at the 95% confidence level, with a ‘B’ classification.

TABLE 2B. KANGRA SECTION FOLD TEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polarity</th>
<th>Limbs</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>μ_m</th>
<th>ε_i</th>
<th>Critical value of ε_i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>In situ</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>000.5°, 48.5°</td>
<td>4.939</td>
<td>5.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Unfolded</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>015.6°, 29.6°</td>
<td>3.909</td>
<td>5.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>In situ</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>190.1°, 47.9°</td>
<td>5.608</td>
<td>4.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>Unfolded</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>201.0°, 30.3°</td>
<td>1.751</td>
<td>4.940</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The number of limbs (sensu McFadden, 1990) for each polarity, i, is given by N_i, μ_m is the mean vector of limbs with normal sites within one dip panel, and FT is the unit vector for the isolated observation on the second limb. R_m is the length of the vector sum of the N unit vectors, and g_i is the observed angle between μ_m and FT. The final column is the probability of obtaining an angle greater than g_i, and is a test of whether the isolated observation is discordant with the other observations (McFadden, 1990). Thus, the in situ data fail the test at the 95% confidence level, whereas the unfolded data pass the fold test at the 95% confidence level. *The values given for μ_m are the declination and inclination, in degrees, respectively. † The test statistic x_m is the declination and inclination, respectively.
currence with similar fauna in Pakistan rather than being 1–2 m.y. younger (Barry et al., 1982; Opdyke et al., 1979).

Magnetozone N6 is a single site reversal with normal polarity (Fig. 8). As at Kangra, this has been correlated with a cryptochron immediately above the top of chron 4An (8.699 Ma) described in the MPTS of Cande and Kent (1992). Magnetozone N4 of the Haritalyangar magnetic polarity stratigraphy is a short normal polarity magnetozone at about 9.2 Ma (2 class I sites at 970–980 m). We interpret this as the same very short normal polarity event as described at Kangra (magnetozone N5) and at several other sections in the Himalayan foreland (Tauxe, 1979; Tauxe and Opdyke, 1982).

**DISCUSSION AND SYNTHESIS**

Synthesis of data from the Himachal Pradesh reentrant and the remainder of the Siwalik foreland allows us to address several key problems concerning the evolution of both the Himalayan foreland and terrestrial foreland basins in general. In particular, the importance of hinterland tectonism and climate change in initiating and controlling gravel progradation into forelands is poorly understood (Heller and Paola, 1989, 1992; Paola et al., 1992; Burbank et al., 1988; DeCelles et al., 1987; DeCelles, 1994). Moreover, the role of three-dimensional variations in local to regional scale subsidence in localizing depositional systems has been little studied (Visser and Johnson, 1978; Burbank et al., 1988; Stern et al., 1992; Whiting and Thomas, 1994). The sedimentary rocks that most clearly record the interplay between tectonism, subsidence, and sediment supply are commonly deposited in the proximal foreland adjacent to the deforming hinterland. With continued shortening, such proximal deposits are usually overthrust or eroded. When such rocks are preserved and exposed within reentrants, such as in Himachal Pradesh, they can permit valuable insights into facets of basin evolution that are typically obscured in more distal settings.

**Evolution of Depositional Systems**

Prior to ca. 11.5 Ma, the sedimentary rocks in Himachal Pradesh were dominated by thick sequences of overbank deposits with occasional channel sandstones having southeasterly paleocurrent directions (Fig. 5). This facies is similar to the Lower Siwalik Chinji lithofacies in Pakistan and is thought to represent deposits of either the paleo-Indus river or a similar axial fluvial system (N. M. Johnson et al., 1982; Willis, 1993b; Burbank et al., 1996). The overlying Nahan lithofacies represents an abrupt coarsening upward (Fig. 5) and a change to fluvial systems with a much increased discharge compared to the Chinji Formation (Willis, 1993a). This transition is roughly coeval across the entire northwest Himalayan foreland, and the Nahan and Nagri lithofacies are thought to represent the sediments of southeast-flowing axial rivers, probably the paleo-Indus (Burbank, 1996; N. M. Johnson et al., 1982; Johnson et al., 1983; Willis, 1993a). Within the reentrant, sedimentary rocks deposited since 11 Ma document the encroachment on the axial river system of a large southwestward-flowing transverse river system (Figs. 5, 11, and 12). Paleocurrent directions suggest that the paleo-Indus was progressively deflected westward by the prograding gravel front, which was confined to a narrow belt ~40 km wide (Figs. 5, 11, and 12). Southwest of the prograding gravel front, small rivers developed with catchments entirely within the foreland (Fig. 12). In the interval from 10 to 7 Ma, major lithofacies boundaries vary in age by as much as 2–3 m.y. across distances of only 20–30 km (Figs. 11 and 12). Progradation rates for the conglomerate facies are similar to those reported for Pliocene conglomerates in the Jhelum reentrant (3 cm/yr; Raynolds and Johnson, 1985). By ca. 7.2 Ma, any facies variability was obliterated by widespread deposition of the typical Upper Siwalik “Boulder Conglomerate” lithofacies throughout the reentrant. The age of this widespread blanket of conglomerate (>7 Ma) is much older than either the late Pliocene gravels in the Jhelum reentrant or the formerly assumed Pleistocene age for “Boulder Conglomerate” in the foreland (Raynolds and Johnson, 1985; Burbank et al., 1988; Johnson et al., 1988). The age discrepancy may be partly due to the preservation of more proximal sedimentary rocks within the reentrant than elsewhere. In any case, in the con-

![Figure 10. Equal-area projections of in situ and bedding-corrected class I data for the Kangra and Nalad Khad sections. Closed circles are samples that show a positive inclination; open circles are samples that show a negative inclination. Mean directions of normal and reverse populations are indicated by shaded boxes encircled by their respective $\alpha_{95}$ confidence intervals. The data pass the reversal test and fail the fold test at a 95% confidence interval. Mean declinations and inclinations for the total class I bedding-corrected data for each section (calculated using the normal samples and projecting the reverse samples through the origin) are 014.3°, 31.3° for the Kangra section and 002.4°, 29.8° for the Nalad Khad section. Note that the Kangra section shows an apparent clockwise vertical axis rotation and that the Nalad Khad section shows no apparent rotation.](https://example.com/figure10.png)
Figure 11. Temporal and spatial variability of the Nahan Sandstone and conglomerate lithofacies within the Himachal Pradesh reentrant. The figure shows two fence diagrams where the vertical axis is age (Ma). The magnetic sections measured are shown by the following abbreviations (and see Fig. 2): H—Haritalyangar, J—Jawalamukhi, K—Kangra, NK—Nalad Khad. The thick black vertical lines beneath each section name show the temporal range of the measured sections (e.g., the Kangra section spans 11.3 Ma to 6.9 Ma). The spatial and temporal distribution of the Nahan Sandstone and conglomerate lithofacies is shown by the gray pattern. Arrows show the paleoflow directions for the time line on which they are positioned, and for the section to which they are adjacent. Paleoflow direction arrows use the same data and symbols as in Figure 5. Note the orientation of the north arrow: Its top is toward the southwest. Note the progradation of the Middle Siwalik conglomerate shown on the fence portion between Nalad Khad and Jawalamukhi, and also the abrupt boundary of the Middle Siwalik conglomerate between Jawalamukhi and Kangra.

text of the entire foreland, conglomerate influx is highly diachronous, varying by nearly 7 m.y. between Nalad Khad and the Jhelum reentrant.

Gravel Progradation and Sediment Accumulation

The conglomerates that have been dated in Himachal Pradesh (10 Ma at Nalad Khad and 8.7 Ma at Jawalamukhi) are several million years older than the oldest dated extensive conglomerates found outside of the bounds of the reentrant (4–5 Ma; Burbank and Raynolds, 1988). These conglomerates all contain significant proportions of pink quartzite (Fig. 5). An identical quartzite, the Deoban Quartzite, is exposed today in the hanging wall of the Main Boundary thrust (e.g., near Bilaspur), but not elsewhere within the hinterland (Fig. 2). The abrupt appearance of these clasts in the foreland strata suggests that the Deoban source area was first exposed in the hanging wall of the Main Boundary thrust prior to ca. 11 Ma. Three hypotheses may be suggested to account for the Miocene appearance and progradation of such extensive hinterland-sourced conglomerates. (1) Climate change increased the discharge and sediment flux of transverse rivers, causing gravel progradation. (2) Gradual hinterland erosion without major tectonism led to a decrease in subsidence rates within the foreland and allowed the gravels to prograde post-tectonically. (3) Initiation of the Main Boundary thrust led to significant erosional relief developing above it, and gravels prograded into the foreland syntectonically. In order to evaluate these hypotheses, it is necessary to look at any changes in subsidence rates during this time interval within the reentrant and across the foreland.

At long time scales, sediment-accumulation rates (Fig. 13) may be taken as a proxy for subsidence rates in large, externally drained alluvial basins where sediment supply rates are high (Burbank and Beck, 1989). Compacted rather than decompacted accumulation rates are used because differential compaction rates are poorly documented in terrestrial basins. Moreover, undeformed clastic dikes, undissolved clast contacts in conglomerates, and evidence for early cementation (Tauxe and Badgley, 1988) suggest little or no compaction. Beginning at around 11.5 to 11 Ma, an accumulation rate increase is seen in sections across the entire Himalayan foreland from Pakistan to Nepal (Meigs et al., 1995; Burbank, 1996). An increase of 35%–75% in accumulation rate is common for sections within the Pakistani foreland, although sections within the Himachal Pradesh reentrant show much smaller increases of 10%–25% from 0.4–0.5 km/m.y. to 0.5–0.6 km/m.y. (Fig. 13). This may be a reflection of the contrast in flexural rigidities between the Indian and Pakistani foreland, with the rigidity estimated in Pakistan (~0.4 × 10^23 Nm; Duroy et al., 1989) about an order of magnitude lower than that in India (~0.7 × 10^23 Nm; Molnar, 1988). The increase in accumulation rates observed corresponds to an increase in subsidence rate and may be produced either by increasing the thrust load by ~50%, without a change in load locus, or by shifting loading to a more basinward position (Turcotte and Schubert, 1982). This latter alternative can be achieved by initiating a major new system of basement-involved thrusts. Meigs et al. (1995) suggested that this acceleration in subsidence was caused by middle-late Miocene initiation of the Main Boundary thrust (hypothesis 3). The transition from Chinji to Nagra lithofacies in Pakistan and from the Kasauli Formation (equivalent to the Chinji Formation) to the Nahan Sandstone in northwest India also occurred between 11.5 and 10.4 Ma (Burbank, 1996; N. M. Johnson et al., 1982; Johnson et al., 1983), and implies an increased paleodischarge (Willis, 1993a) of the axial river system (Figs. 4A, 5, 7A, and 11). In the Potwar Plateau region, this lithofacies and discharge change is accompanied by a threefold increase in the abundance of blue-green hornblende derived from the Kohistan terrane in northern Pakistan (Tahirkheli, 1979; Cerveny et al., 1989; Mulder, 1991). Apatite fission-track ages from the hanging wall of the Main Boundary thrust in northwest Pakistan are interpreted to suggest bedrock thrusting, uplift, and erosion commencing ca. 11 Ma (Meigs et al., 1995) and constitute further independent evidence for the initiation of the Main Boundary thrust at this time over a large portion of the western Himalaya.

Key controls on the limits of gravel progradation also come from drill holes that penetrate through the Siwalik section within the Himachal Pradesh reentrant. Beginning with holes that are located along the trend of the gravel front, but ~35 km more basinward of the Jawalamukhi thrust and in all more distal holes, there is no significant gravel in the Miocene and Pliocene Siwalik strata (Raiverman et al., 1983). If the gravel progradation rates that we have documented in the proximal foreland had been sustained for several million more years, we would expect the appearance of gravels in these more distal drill holes by Pliocene time. Their absence suggests that the gravel front either stalled or retrograded as the balance between loading, sediment supply, and gravel fraction changed through time. One
potential mechanism to achieve this is by shifting the locus of deformation and the production of both topographic relief and gravel production to a more distal position. Such a possibility is supported by recent studies farther east in Nepal, which indicate a major reactivation of the Main Central thrust in late Miocene–early Pliocene time (Macfarlane, 1993; Harrison et al., 1998).

Several studies have discussed the effects of thrust belt reentrants on patterns of sedimentation (Visser and Johnson, 1978; Burbank et al., 1988; Whiting and Thomas, 1994; Lawton et al., 1994). Theoretically, an irregular load on an elastic plate will produce a complex three-dimensional subsidence pattern, in which local differences in subsi-

Figure 12. Depositional synthesis for the late Miocene Himachal Pradesh reentrant. The figure shows the position of the major transverse and axial fluvial systems and their flow directions for the following five time periods: (A) 12–11 Ma, (B) 11–10 Ma, (C) 10–9 Ma, (D) 9–8 Ma, and (E) 8–7 Ma. K—Kangra, J—Jawalamukhi, H—Haritalyangar, N—Nalad Khad. Mean paleocurrent directions are shown by arrows; data and symbols are the same as in Figure 5. Large uncertainties in the position of the depositional systems are shown with dashed lines. The modern-day position of the Main Boundary thrust is shown as a geographical marker only; its position in late Miocene time was probably tens of kilometers farther east.
dence are determined by the planform wavelength and amplitude of the load in comparison to the flexural rigidity of the lower plate (Timoshenko, 1940; Stern et al., 1992; Wessel, 1996). For an arcuate thrust load on a homogenous lower plate, an axis of relatively increased subsidence may co-incide with the axis of the reentrant. The magnitude of lateral subsidence variation will decrease as the wavelength of the reentrant diminishes with respect to flexural rigidity. There is a striking association between Miocene facies distributions and the axis of the modern Himachal Pradesh reentrant, with the extensive upper-late Miocene conglomerates clearly confinned to a zone about 40 km wide at Jawalamukhi (Figs. 2 and 12). Although increased axial subsidence within the bounds of reentrants has been reported elsewhere (Whiting and Thomas, 1994; Raynolds and Johnson, 1985; Burbank et al., 1988), all four sections in Himachal Pradesh show very similar accumulation rates (Fig. 13), providing no evidence for enhanced axial subsidence. Thus, the reason for the axial conglomeratic distribution remains obscure.

If the evidence for Main Boundary thrust initiation ca. 11.5 Ma is accepted, then gravel progradation in Himachal Pradesh was syntectonic. Although no exact progradation rate can be measured in the Himachal Pradesh reentrant because the Jawalamukhi section is not directly downstream from the Nalad Khad section, projection of lithofacies data on to a transect parallel to the paleocurrent directions suggests a progradation rate of 2–3 cm/yr (Fig. 11). The total displacement along the Main Boundary thrust is unconstrained, but is likely to have been tens of kilometers (Srivastava and Mitra, 1994; Harrison et al., 1998). Thus, within 1–2 m.y. from the time of initiation of the Main Boundary thrust, an extensive gravel front had prograded tens of kilometers away from the active thrust front.

Gravel progradation has also been documented in Pliocene sedimentary rocks within the Jhelum reentrant, where a progradation rate of 3 cm/yr has been determined (Raynolds, 1980; Raynolds and Johnson, 1985; Burbank et al., 1988). Although there are similarities between the rates and geometry of gravel progradation in the Jhelum reentrant and the Himachal Pradesh reentrant, there are also major differences. The Jhelum sites are >60 km from the Main Boundary thrust and may have been in the medial part of the foreland when the conglomerate was deposited. Gravel progradation in the Jhelum reentrant is documented between 3 and 1 Ma (Burbank et al., 1988); if the Main Boundary thrust began motion at 11.5–11 Ma, then the Jhelum reentrant conglomerate postdates the initiation of thrusting by 8 m.y. Moreover, gravel progradation in the Jhelum reentrant is suggested to have occurred during a time of decreasing subsidence (Burbank et al., 1988; Heller and Paola, 1992).

In foreland basins, gravel progradation is commonly controlled by the ratio of subsidence to sediment supply and by the fraction of gravel in the sediment. For a fixed sediment supply and gravel fraction, the increase in accommodation space caused by thrust-induced subsidence will confine syntectonic conglomerates close to the mountain front (Heller et al., 1988; Paola et al., 1992; DeCelles et al., 1987; DeCelles, 1994). Long-lived syntectonic gravel progradation, in spite of accelerated subsidence, may occur if there is a sufficient increase in either the sediment flux or the fraction of gravel carried by rivers entering the foreland (Paola et al., 1992). For any given load, the flexural rigidity of the underlying plate determines the spatial distribution of subsidence, such that a broader, shallower deflection will characterize a more rigid plate. Thus a homogeneous thrust load that generates a constant sediment supply and gravel fraction along its length will produce spatially variable gravel progradation or retrogradation that reflects differences in the flexural rigidity. We predict that during thrust loading, the increase in sediment supply or gravel fraction necessary to increase the rate of gravel progradation in the proximal part of the basin would be larger for the less rigid Jhelum reentrant than for Himachal Pradesh. Thus, along-strike variations in flexural rigidity, in addition to changes in climate, source-area size, erodibility, and rock strength, will combine to modulate gravel progradation into foreland basins.

**SUMMARY**

Structural reentrants can provide windows into proximal parts of foreland basins that are commonly not exposed. In the northwest Indian foreland, much of the medial part of the Miocene foreland has been overthrust. However, within the Himachal Pradesh reentrant, both the medial foreland and parts of the proximal foreland are exposed, giving key insights to the history of the Himalaya (Fig. 2).

The boundaries between major Siwalik lithofacies in Pakistan are commonly not time transgressive. Although similar lithofacies are present in Himachal Pradesh, their boundaries display extensive temporal and spatial variability (Figs. 5, 11, and 12). Magnetostratigraphic time control has played a critical role in understanding this variability and its relationship to hinterland evolution: In the absence of such control, the extensive Middle Siwalik conglomerates at Jawalamukhi and Nalad Khad were previously assigned to the Upper Siwalik “Boulder Conglomerate” of Quaternary age.

The 10 Ma conglomerates at Nalad Khad are the oldest extensive conglomerates that have...
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