Searches for nonminimal Higgs bosons from a virtual Z decaying into a muon pair at the SLAC $e^+e^-$ storage ring PEP
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Nonminimal neutral Higgs bosons decaying into a muon pair were searched for using the Mark II detector at the SLAC $e^+e^-$ collider PEP at $\sqrt{s} = 29$ GeV. A neutral scalar Higgs boson $H_0$ can be produced accompanied by a pseudoscalar neutral Higgs boson $H^0_\rho$ via a virtual $Z^0$. If the mass of one of the Higgs bosons is between the muon pair threshold and the kaon pair threshold, it may decay predominantly into a muon pair. We looked for muon pair $+jet(s)$ $[e^+e^- \rightarrow H_0^0H_\rho^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- + q\bar{q}(\tau^+\tau^-)]$ and three-muon pair $[e^+e^- \rightarrow H_0^0H_\rho^0 \rightarrow 3(H_0^0) \rightarrow 3(\mu^+\mu^-)]$ topologies. We found no evidence for these signals above the known background level, and we obtained limits on $\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow H_0^0H_\rho^0)$ as a function of the Higgs-boson masses.

I. INTRODUCTION: TWO-HIGGS-DOUBLET MODELS

We have searched for the production of light Higgs bosons decaying into muon pairs for a Higgs sector consisting of more than one doublet.

In the standard model, the Higgs sector is necessary to give mass to the weak gauge bosons as well as to the quarks and leptons. The Higgs boson is also necessary in order to prevent the cross section from violating unitarity for some fundamental processes (e.g., $W^+W^- \rightarrow W^+W^-, e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-, etc.$).

Only one physical scalar Higgs boson is expected to exist in the minimal Higgs sector. If the Higgs sector is nonminimal, there will be additional physical neutral and charged Higgs bosons. Since the $\rho$ parameter ($\rho = M_W^2/M_Z^2\cos^2\theta_W$) is experimentally consistent with unity, the structure of the Higgs multiplet is probably an SU(2) doublet (or singlet). At least two Higgs doublets
are necessary for most supersymmetric models\textsuperscript{3} and visible axion models.\textsuperscript{4} Such an extension of the standard Higgs sector adds another SU(2) Higgs doublet:

\[
\phi_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^+ \\ \phi_1^0 \\ \phi_2^- \\ \phi_2^0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_2^+ \\ \phi_2^0 \end{bmatrix},
\]

where \(\phi_1^+, \phi_1^0, \phi_2^-, \phi_2^0\) are complex fields. For these models, there are three physical neutral Higgs bosons \((H_1^0, H_2^0, H_3^0)\) and two charged Higgs bosons \((H^+ \text{ and } H^-)\). In the case of the neutral nonminimal Higgs bosons, \(H_3^0\) is a pseudoscalar and the other two are scalars, if their parity is defined through their couplings with fermions. To be more precise, \(H_3^0\) is a CP-odd state and the other neutrals \((H_1^0 \text{ and } H_2^0)\) are CP-even states, if CP is conserved at the tree level.\textsuperscript{5,6}

In this paper \(H_0^0\) denotes a scalar Higgs boson and \(H_0^\prime\) denotes a pseudoscalar. We also use the notation \(H_0^0\) and \(H_0^\prime\) for the two Higgs bosons, where \(H_0^0\) is assumed to decay into \(\mu^+\mu^-\) regardless of its CP state, and \(H_0^\prime\) has opposite CP to \(H_0^0\).

II. ASSOCIATED PRODUCTION AND DECAY OF SCALAR AND PSEUDOSCALAR NEUTRAL HIGGS BOSONS

A scalar Higgs boson associated with a pseudoscalar can be produced from a virtual \(Z^0\) in \(e^+e^-\) annihilation via the process \(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^0 \rightarrow H_0^0H_0^\prime\) \((H_0^0=H_3^0 \text{ or } H_1^0, H_0^\prime=H_2^0)\) [see Fig. 1(a)]. The total cross section for this process is

\[
\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{\nu^\mu\nu^\mu}} = \frac{\beta^3 \cos^2(a-b)\sin^2\theta}{s},
\]

where

\[
\beta = \frac{2P_{\text{c.m.}}}{\sqrt{s}} = \left[\left(s-(M_H+M_\mu)^2\right)\left(s-(M_H-M_\mu)^2\right)\right]^{1/2}/s,
\]

\[
\sigma_{\nu^\mu\nu^\mu} \text{ is the cross section for the decay of the virtual } Z^0 \text{ into two muon neutrinos, and } a \text{ and } b \text{ are mixing angles.}^{7,8}
\]

The interactions of Higgs bosons with fermions can be determined from the fermion mass term in the Lagrangian. The couplings differ from model to model and depend on how each Higgs field contributes to each fermion mass. The important constraint on the Higgs-boson couplings is that flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNCs) cannot be induced by the neutral Higgs bosons. Therefore, the condition is imposed that each fermion type couple with only one of the two Higgs doublets.\textsuperscript{9}

The decay modes of the scalar and the pseudoscalar Higgs bosons depend on their masses and mixing angles. In principle, they will decay into the heaviest available fermion pair: \(H_0^0 \rightarrow f\bar{f}\) [Fig. 1(b)]. If the scalar mass is more than two times the pseudoscalar mass, \(H_0^\prime \rightarrow H_0^0H_0^\prime\) is the dominant decay mode [Fig. 1(c)] unless this is suppressed by the Higgs-boson mixing.\textsuperscript{10}

Limits on some nonminimal Higgs-boson masses and couplings already exist. Some parameter ranges are excluded by searches for the standard-model Higgs boson. Recently, CLEO has searched for \(B\)-meson decay into a \(K\) meson plus a neutral Higgs boson, for the Higgs-boson decay modes \(H_0^0 \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\) and \(\tau^+\tau^-\). The cross section for \(\gamma \rightarrow H_0^0\gamma\) and the standard-

FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams of production and decay of the two Higgs bosons. (a) Production of a scalar and a pseudoscalar Higgs bosons via a virtual \(Z\). (b) Decay of a scalar Higgs boson into a fermion-antifermion pair. (c) Decay of a scalar Higgs boson into two pseudoscalar Higgs bosons. (d) Decay of a pseudoscalar Higgs boson into a fermion-antifermion pair. (e) The main diagram for a background process \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\bar{q}q\) (or \(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\tau^+\tau^-\)).

Searches for nonminimal Higgs bosons from virtual \(Z^0\)s have been performed at SLAC and DESY \(e^+e^-\) colliders PEP and PETRA. Glashow and Manohar\textsuperscript{15} have suggested that the UA1 monojet events\textsuperscript{16} can result from an anomalous decay of the \(Z^0\) into two different Higgs bosons \((H_0^0H_0^\prime)\), where \(H_0^0\) is very light (<2\(M_\mu\)) and hence stable. In the beginning of 1985, negative results on these monojets were reported by HRS (Ref. 17), MAC (Ref. 18), and Mark II (Ref. 19) at PEP, and by CELLO (Ref. 20) and JADE (Ref. 21) at PETRA.
Another topology was studied by JADE (Ref. 22), motivated by the 1.7-MeV axion interpretation\textsuperscript{23,24} of the correlated GSI positron and electron kinetic-energy peaks at about 0.3–0.4 MeV (Ref. 25). At PETRA, this axion ($H_0^0$) can be produced accompanied by a scalar Higgs boson via a virtual $Z^0$ (Ref. 26). Since the scalar is very much heavier than the axion, the $H_0^0$ decays immediately into $H_0^0 H_0^0$, and all three axions decay into $e^+ e^-$ with long decay length. For the model by Pececi, Wu, and Yanagida,\textsuperscript{25} scalar masses up to about 5 GeV are excluded with 90% C.L. (Ref. 22). Note, however, that these models are now excluded by the combined experimental results from rare pion decays\textsuperscript{27} and beam-dump experiments,\textsuperscript{28} independent of the scalar mass.

The analysis described in this paper is an extension of the above searches for the nonminimal Higgs boson to the mass region above the muon-pair threshold. If the mass of the lighter neutral Higgs boson ($H_0^0$) is between $2M_{\mu}$ and $2M_K$, it could decay into a muon pair with a large branching fraction. Even above the kaon-pair threshold and below the $\tau$ pair threshold, the branching fraction into a muon pair can be fairly large, since the Higgs boson couples to the current-quark masses, and the lightest Higgs boson might not couple to quarks. Scalar Higgs bosons also decay into $\pi^+ \pi^-$ through two gluons via a heavy quark loop. For the standard Higgs boson this branching fraction is estimated to be large;\textsuperscript{29} therefore, the branching fraction for $H^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ would be small for $2M_\pi < M_{H^0} < 2M_K$. For nonminimal neutral Higgs bosons, the branching fraction depends on the couplings and can be larger. Particularly for pseudoscalar Higgs bosons, the $H_0^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ or $K^+ K^-$ mode is highly suppressed by parity, and the $H_0^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and $H_0^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ modes are suppressed for the same reason that $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decays occur only via the electromagnetic interaction.\textsuperscript{30}

We searched for a light nonminimal neutral Higgs boson decaying into a $\mu^+ \mu^-$ pair, accompanied by a heavier neutral Higgs boson. There are two cases which are characterized by the major decay mode of the heavier Higgs boson. If the heavier Higgs boson ($H_0^0$) decays into a heavy fermion pair, the final states are $\mu^+ \mu^- bb$, $\mu^+ \mu^- cc, \mu^+ \mu^- \tau^+ \tau^-$, etc. (case I). On the other hand, if the heavier scalar Higgs boson ($H_0^0$) decays into two $H_0^0$ and all three $H_0^0$ decay into muon pairs, the final state is six muons (case II). The detector used for the search is described in Sec. III; the analyses for the two cases are described in Secs. IV and V.

### III. APPARATUS

The data analyzed here were acquired by two different configurations of the Mark II detector at PEP. The center-of-mass energy for all of the data was 29 GeV. An integrated luminosity of 210 pb$^{-1}$ was taken with the first configuration of the detector, which has been described extensively elsewhere.\textsuperscript{31} The components of the detector most important to this analysis were the tracking chambers and the muon system. Charged-particle tracks were measured by a 16-layer cylindrical drift chamber and a high-resolution vertex drift chamber in a 2.3-kG axial magnetic field. This combination gave a vertex-constrained momentum resolution of $(\sigma_p/p)^2 = (0.025)^2 + (0.011p)^2$ (p in GeV). The drift chamber did not have multiple-hit readout capability.

The muon system consisted of planes at the top and bottom and both sides of the detector, each containing four layers of iron absorber followed by proportional tubes. The muon system covered 45% of the solid angle, and any muons outside that solid angle were not identified.

The electromagnetic calorimeters and time-of-flight (TOF) system were used in background elimination. The electromagnetic calorimeter system consisted of eight modules in an octagonal array outside the magnet coil. The modules consisted of 37 layers of 2-mm-thick lead planes and 3-mm-thick liquid-argon gaps. Details of the liquid-argon system are described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{32} The time-of-flight system consisted of 48 plastic scintillators at 1.51 m from the vertex; its rms timing resolution was 350 ps.

In preparation for the change to SLAC Linear Collider (SLC) running, the Mark II detector was upgraded.\textsuperscript{33} This upgraded detector was operated at PEP briefly and accumulated approximately 15 pb$^{-1}$ of data with the muon system on. The changes to the detector were a new 72-layer drift chamber,\textsuperscript{34} a new vertex chamber,\textsuperscript{35} a new time-of-flight system, new end-cap calorimeters,\textsuperscript{36} and a coil capable of fields up to 5.0 kG. The vertex-constrained momentum resolution improved to $(\sigma_p/p)^2 = (0.014)^2 +(0.003p)^2$. The new drift chamber has multiple-hit readout and pulse digitization capabilities, which improves its two-track separation over that of the old drift chamber. For the new time-of-flight system, $\sigma_{TOF} = 221$ ps.

### IV. ANALYSIS (CASE I: $e^+ e^- \rightarrow H_0^0 H_0^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- + f f$)

#### A. Event selection

The signature for these events is an isolated muon pair with small opening angle. The event selection was started from $5.85 \times 10^5$ events on the data-summary tapes (DST's). The data-reduction cuts made before writing to these tapes which affected this analysis were mainly the following two cuts.

(DST1) The visible energy (charged and photon) of an event was larger than $0.25\sqrt{s}$ ($0.15\sqrt{s}$ for data taken with the upgraded detector).

(DST2) The visible charged energy of an event was larger than $0.125\sqrt{s}$ ($0.075\sqrt{s}$ for data taken with the upgraded detector).

The integrated luminosity corresponding to the data we analyzed is 225 pb$^{-1}$. The experimental selection criteria for these events are the following.

1. The total charged multiplicity of the event is greater than or equal to four.
2. There is at least one oppositely charged pair $(i, j)$ of tracks with opening angle $\psi_{ij}$ satisfying $\psi_{ij} < 38^\circ$. This cut is optimized so that the muon pairs whose invariant mass is smaller than $2M_\pi$ can be detected efficiently. Hence, Higgs bosons with mass between $2M_K$ and $2M_\pi$ may also decay into muon pairs with a reasonably large
branching fraction and be detected.

(3) The polar angles ($\theta_i$ and $\theta_{ij}$) of both particles in the pair should satisfy the condition $|\cos \theta_i| < 0.8$ and $|\cos \theta_{ij}| < 0.8$. This cut naturally enriches the Higgs-boson signal since the angular distribution of the Higgs boson peaks at $\theta = 90^\circ$ ($d\sigma/d\cos \theta \propto \sin^2 \theta$).

(4) The momenta of the two charged particles are $|p_\mu| > 1.8$ GeV, $|p_\tau| > 1.8$ GeV.

(5) Except for the particles $i$ and $j$, no charged particles ($p > 0.2$ GeV) are within a 60 degree cone from the momentum sum $(p_i + p_j)$ of the pair. The total photon energy within the 60 degree cone is less than 1.0 GeV.

(6) Out-of-time cosmic muons, which are reconstructed as two parallel tracks in the chamber, are rejected by using the TOF counter information. The event is rejected if both tracks do not have a hit in a TOF counter or if the timing is far from nominal.

After these topology cuts, 945 events survived. Since these cuts alone were not enough to isolate the signal, the following lepton identification cuts were added.

(7) Both tracks must be identified as good muons: all four layers of the muon tube must have hits within 3$\sigma$ of the track extrapolation from the central drift chamber, taking into account fit and multiple-scattering errors. In order to hit the muon system, the polar angle of the track must satisfy $|\cos \theta| < 0.45$.

(8) Finally, $e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$ events due to pure QED processes are rejected by removing the following events.

(a) Events with charged multiplicity equal to four and with at least one identified electron. An identified electron was defined as a track in the liquid-argon fiducial volume which had $E_{min}/p > 0.8$, where $E_{min}$ is defined elsewhere. The electron cut was loose in order to eliminate as many QED events as possible.

(b) Events with at least one identified electron and with no reconstructed charged pions, which are defined as charged tracks which are neither identified electrons nor identified muons.

The detection efficiency for the events is obtained by running the analysis program on data generated by a Monte Carlo calculation with a full detector simulation. For opening angles greater than 10°, the detection efficiency is typically 15–20%. It does not depend much on the lighter-Higgs-boson mass unless the mass is very close to the muon-pair threshold. The efficiency for resolving the two muon tracks decreases with decreasing opening angle. If one considers angles less than 10°, for the old Mark II chamber, the efficiency decreases to 7% at $M_{\mu^\pm} = 0.22$ GeV; for the upgraded Mark II drift chamber, the efficiency is 13%, significantly better than for the old drift chamber.

After all the cuts (1)–(8) three events survive. Measured parameters for the three surviving events are listed in Table I, where $m^2_{\mu^+\mu^-}$ is the squared invariant mass for the muon pair, and $m^2_{\text{rec}}$ is the squared invariant mass of the particles recoiling against the muon pair:

$$
\begin{align*}
    m^2_{\text{rec}} &= \left\{ \sqrt{s} - [(p_{\mu 1})^2 + M_{\mu}^2]^{1/2} - [(p_{\mu 2})^2 + M_{\mu}^2]^{1/2} \right\}^2 \\
    &\quad - (p_{\mu 1} + p_{\mu 2})^2 
\end{align*}
$$

B. Background estimation

The most obvious sources of background are the two-photon processes, where one of the virtual photons is converted into a muon pair and the other into a quark or $\tau$ pair [see Fig. 1(e)]. The expected number of background events from these processes after the cuts is $0.92 \pm 0.14$, estimated using the Berends-Daverveldt-Kleiss Monte Carlo program and incorporating the hadronic fragmentation of the quark pair by the Lund scheme.

The number of background events due to multihadronic events containing two real muons is estimated by the Monte Carlo calculation. If a $B$ meson decays into $\mu \nu D$ and the $D$ into a $\mu \nu$ plus a $K_0^0$ while the $\bar{B}$ meson decays into hadrons, for example, the event has an isolated pair of oppositely charged muons and no charged hadrons within that hemisphere produced from fragmentation; it would pass the cuts. Monte Carlo $b\bar{b}$ events were passed through the same analysis chain as the real data. The number of background events thus estimated for this category is $0.72 \pm 0.28$.

We estimate the background due to fake muons, namely hadron punch-through or $K^\pm$ or $\pi^\pm$ decays into muons, by using the data and fake muon probabilities of hadrons. The expected number of background events for the case where only one of the isolated muon-pair tracks is a misidentified hadron is estimated from events satisfying all selection criteria except that only one of the pair tracks satisfied muon identification criteria while the other track is identified as a hadron. The number of background is calculated to be sum of the misidentification probabilities $P_{h \to \mu}(p_i)$ for the hadron track in each of the nine such events found in the data. The probability $P_{h \to \mu}(p_i)$ is given elsewhere. The estimated background is $0.08 \pm 0.03$. For the case where both muons are misidentified hadrons, the estimated background is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I. Parameters of the surviving three events.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m^2_{\mu^+\mu^-}$ (GeV$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m^2_{\text{rec}}$ (GeV$^2$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible tracks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{vis}/\sqrt{s}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ \sum P_{h\rightarrow \mu}(\mathbf{p}_i)P_{h\rightarrow \mu}(\mathbf{p}_j) \cdot \]

The sum is performed over the events surviving all the cuts except the muon identification cut (7); instead, both of the pair tracks are categorized as hadrons (each of the two identified neither as an electron nor as a muon). The estimated background is 0.015±0.004. The error is dominated by the systematic error in the misidentification probability. Therefore, the expected number of background events due to misidentified muons is small (about 0.10 events). The summary of the background is listed in Table II. The total number of background events is estimated to be 1.73±0.32, while the number of observed events is three. Therefore, all of the three events are consistent with background.

C. Limit on the decay width for the process \( Z^0 \rightarrow H^0_H^0 \)

From Eq. (1) the cross section for the process \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow H^0_H^0 \) via a virtual \( Z^0 \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 29 \) GeV is

\[ \sigma = (0.153 \text{ pb}) \beta^3 \cos^2(a - b) , \]

where \( \beta = 2p_H/\sqrt{s} = 2p_{H^0}/\sqrt{s} \), and \( a \) and \( b \) are the Higgs-boson mixing angles. The number of events expected for our measured luminosity is 34.4\( \beta^3 \cos^2(a - b) \).

Figure 2 shows the expected distribution of events for \( m_{\mu \mu}^2 \) vs \( m_{\text{recoil}}^2 \) when \( M_{H^0} = 0.3 \) GeV and \( M_{H^0} = 5 \) GeV.

The projection of the distribution onto each axis is also shown in the figure. The surviving three events are indicated in the figure with error bars.

The 90%-C.L. upper limit of the number of signal events \( s_{\text{lim}} \) is obtained by using a likelihood method, taking into account the number of expected background events and its estimated error, the background distribution \( m_{\text{recoil}}^2 \) vs \( m_{\mu \mu}^2 \) and the errors in the invariant mass squared \( (m_{\mu \mu}^2 \) and \( m_{\text{recoil}}^2 \) in the observed three events.

The details of the likelihood function are described in the Appendix.

In order to have a somewhat model-independent result, the limit is obtained for the partial width of the \( Z^0 \) decay into \( H^0_H^0 \) normalized to the \( Z^0 \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \mu^+ \mu^- \) width multiplied by the Higgs-boson branching fraction into a muon pair. Figure 3(a) shows the limit as a function of the heavier-Higgs-boson mass for the fixed lighter-Higgs-boson mass of 0.28 GeV. The excluded region of the relative width for a given mass combination is normalized to the value for a real \( Z^0 \):

![FIG. 2. Scatter plot of the invariant mass of isolated muon pairs vs squared invariant mass of the recoil particles after cuts (1)–(8). The three surviving events are indicated by crosses. The expected distribution (from Monte Carlo simulation) for \( H^0_H^0 \) production with \( H^0 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- \) and \( H^0 \) decaying into a muon pair, assuming \( M_{H^0} = 0.3 \) GeV and \( M_{H^0} = 5 \) GeV, is shown in the same figure by dots. The effective luminosity for the simulated Higgs-boson events is ten times larger than for the data. The projected distribution onto each axis is also shown.](image_url)

\[
\frac{\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow H^0_H^0)}{\Gamma(Z^0 \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \mu^+ \mu^-)} > \frac{s_{\text{lim}}}{\sigma_{\nu \bar{\nu} \mu^+ \mu^-}} \int \frac{L dt}{\beta_0^3},
\]

where \( \sigma_{\nu \bar{\nu} \mu^+ \mu^-} \) is the \( \nu \bar{\nu} \mu^+ \mu^- \) cross section at \( \sqrt{s} = 29 \) GeV, \( \epsilon \) is the detection efficiency, \( \beta_0 \) is the \( \beta \) value on the \( Z^0 \) peak. This method eliminates the \( \beta^3 \) threshold effect for heavy Higgs bosons at \( \sqrt{s} = 29 \) GeV so that the limit can be compared with future results at the \( Z^0 \) peak from SLC and the CERN collider LEP.

For the most optimistic case, shown by the “maximum-width” curve (no reduction of the cross section by the mixing) in Fig. 3(a), the heavier-Higgs-boson mass can be excluded up to about 12 GeV with 90% C.L. for a lighter-Higgs-boson mass of 0.28 GeV (just below the \( \pi^+ \pi^- \) threshold). Figure 4(a) shows the excluded region in the \( M_{H^0} - M_{H^0} \) plane if \( B(H^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = 100\% \) and the cross section is maximum (no suppression due to the Higgs-boson mixing). The three dips shown in the figure are due to the surviving three events.

V. ANALYSIS (CASE II: \( e^+ e^- \rightarrow H^0_H^0 \rightarrow H^0_P^0 H^0_P^0 \))

A. Event selection

The signature of the events we are looking for is three isolated muon pairs with a small opening angle for each pair. The event selection began with the data-summary tapes (DST's) as in Case I. We applied a set of simpler experimental cuts for this case: (1A) The total charged multiplicity of the event is between five and seven; (2A) the total visible charged particle energy of the event exceeds \( V_s/2 \); (3A) the event has three or more good muons: all four layers of the muon tubes have hits within

---

**TABLE II.** Comparison of background events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Expected number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- q\bar{q} )</td>
<td>0.76±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \tau^+ \tau^- )</td>
<td>0.15±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e^+ e^- \rightarrow b\bar{b} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \chi )</td>
<td>0.72±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake: ( \mu^+ h^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- )</td>
<td>0.08±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake: ( h^+ h^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- )</td>
<td>0.02±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.73±0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3σ of the track extrapolation from the central drift chamber, taking into account fit and multiple-scattering errors.

After all cuts (1A)–(3A) no events survived. The detection efficiency is about 25% for $M_{H^0}=0.3$ GeV almost independent of $M_{H^0}$ for $M_{H^0}<10$ GeV.

We also tried another set of cuts which do not depend on the muon detector system: (1B) The total charged multiplicity of the event is equal to six, with all six charged particles having $p > 0.7$ GeV; (2B) the visible charged-particle energy (assuming the pion mass for each particle) must exceed 26 GeV; (3B) the total missing momentum normalized to the scalar sum of the six momenta must be less than 0.3; (4B) there exists a combination of the six prongs such that three oppositely charged particle pairs have invariant masses which coincide to within 0.20 GeV when each pair is allowed to be $e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$, $\pi^+\pi^-$, or $K^+K^-$. This allows us to find combinations of $e^+e^- + \mu^+\mu^- + \mu^+\mu^-$, etc. The opening angle of the two particles for each pair is less than 90°.

After the cuts (1B)–(4B) no events survived. The detection efficiency depends on both Higgs-boson masses since both masses affect the opening angle between pairs. A small opening angle can cause tracks to be lost due to the limits of double track resolution. The detection efficiency is 22% for $M_{H^0}=0.3$ GeV and $M_{H^0}=5$ GeV, and increases to 25% when $M_{H^0}$ increases to 10 GeV. With $M_{H^0}$ fixed at 5 GeV, the efficiency increases to 34% for $M_{H^0}=0.5$ GeV and decreases to 11% for $M_{H^0}=0.25$ GeV.

For $M_{H^0}$ below about 10 GeV the detection efficiency for (1A)–(3A) is larger than for the cuts (1B)–(4B), while for higher masses cuts (1B)–(4B) have the larger efficiency. In setting limits on the Higgs-boson production, we use the set of cuts with larger efficiency for a given Higgs-boson-mass combination ($M_{H^0}, M_{H^0}$).

B. Limit on the decay width of $Z^0 \rightarrow H^0 H^0$

For the case of $H^0 \rightarrow H^0 H^0$, the limit is obtained using Poisson statistics based on no observed events assuming no expected background. Just as in Fig. 3(a), Fig. 3(b) shows the limit of the partial width of the $Z^0$ decay into
$H^0 + H^0$, normalized to the $Z^0 \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$ width, in the mass region from 106 to 108 GeV, for which the excluded region in the $M_{H^0} - M_{H^0}$ plane is $100\%$ and the cross section is maximal (no suppression due to Higgs-boson mixing).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We looked for evidence of the associated production of scalar and pseudoscalar neutral Higgs bosons from a virtual $Z^0$, where one of the Higgs bosons decays into a muon pair. For the case where $H^0 H^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, three events were found. Since the expected background from two-photon processes and from multihadronic events is not negligible, the events were found in the search for $H^0 H^0 \rightarrow H^0 H^0 H^0 \rightarrow 3 \mu^+ \mu^-$. Production of nonminimal neutral Higgs bosons and their decay into muon pairs is limited for a mass region between the muon-pair threshold and the $\tau$-pair threshold. The limits depend on the branching fractions and the degree of suppression of the cross section due to Higgs-boson mixing.
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APPENDIX

(QUANTIZATION FUNCTION FOR CASE I)

The likelihood function used to calculate the 90%-C.L. limit of number of signal events is a product of three probability functions:

$$ L(s, b) = \mathcal{P}_{\text{poisson}}(s, b) \mathcal{P}_{\text{bk}}(b) \prod_{n=1}^{3} \frac{s f_n + b g_n}{s + b} . $$

The parameters in the function are number of signal events $s$ and number of background events $b$ after the cuts.

The first function $\mathcal{P}_{\text{poisson}}(s, b)$ is the Poisson distribution of signal plus background events where the observed number is three events:

$$ \mathcal{P}_{\text{poisson}}(s, b) = \exp(-s - b)(s + b)^3 / 3! . $$

The second function $\mathcal{P}_{\text{bk}}(b)$ is the number of background events $b$ assuming a Gaussian distribution:

$$ \mathcal{P}_{\text{bk}}(b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma_b} \exp \left( \frac{-b - b_0}{2\sigma_b^2} \right) , $$

where $b_0$ is the estimated number of background events ($b_0 = 1.73$) and $\sigma_b$ is its error ($\sigma_b = 0.32$) as listed in Table II. This function restricts the number of background events $b$ to be close to the estimated value $b_0$.

The factor $\prod_{n} (s f_n + b g_n) / (s + b)$ is the likelihood, given $n$ observed events, that they will have particular measured values of $m_{\mu^+ \mu^-}$ and $m_{\text{recoll}}$. The functions $f$ and $g$ are the probability distribution of signal and background events in $m_{\mu^+ \mu^-}$ vs $m_{\text{recoll}}$:

$$ f_n = f(m_{\mu^+ \mu^-}, m_{\text{recoll}}) \, , $$

$$ g_n = g(m_{\mu^+ \mu^-}, m_{\text{recoll}}) \, . $$

The likelihood is the sum of events due to processes which contain a $\mu^+ \mu^-$ pair (such as $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- q \bar{q}$) and events due to fake muons. Monte Carlo–generated events are used to evaluate the former background distribution, and real events with isolated $\mu^+ h^+$ or $h^+ h^-$ pairs are used for the latter case. The function $g_n$ is a good description of the background distribution, which has limited statistics. Varying $\kappa$ and $\lambda$ has little effect on the resulting limits.

The combined factor $(s f_n + b g_n) / (s + b)$ is then the probability distribution for signal plus background. This probability is evaluated for the three events, and the results are multiplied together to get the likelihood.

The 90%-C.L. limit of the number of signal events $s_{\text{lim}}$ is defined by

$$ \frac{\int_0^{s_{\text{lim}}} ds \int_0^{b_{\text{lim}}} db L(s, b)}{\int_0^{s_{\text{lim}}} ds \int_0^{b_{\text{lim}}} db L(s, b)} = 0.90 . $$
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