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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Å</td>
<td>Angstrom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>Chemical shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µM</td>
<td>Micromolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>Degrees, Celcius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^1$H</td>
<td>Proton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abi</td>
<td>Azabenzimidazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACN</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AcOH</td>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ar</td>
<td>Argon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bi</td>
<td>Benzimidazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc</td>
<td>tert-Butyloxycarbonyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boc$_2$O</td>
<td>Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bt</td>
<td>Benzotriazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$-But</td>
<td>tert-Butyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cbz</td>
<td>Benzyloxycarbonyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDCl$_3$</td>
<td>Deuterated Chloroform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>Cesium carbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBF</td>
<td>Dibenzofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCHA</td>
<td>Dicyclohexylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM</td>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPBT</td>
<td>3-(Diethoxyphosphoryloxy)-1,2,3-benzotriazin-4-(3H)-one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>Diisopropylcarbodiimide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIEA</td>
<td>N,N'-Diisopropylethylamine (Hünig’s base)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAP</td>
<td>Dimethylaminopyridine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>N,N'-Dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMSO-d\textsubscript{6}</td>
<td>Deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECD</td>
<td>Extracellular matrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>1-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq.</td>
<td>Equivalents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>Diethyl ether</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOAc</td>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fmoc</td>
<td>9-Fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>Gram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCMS</td>
<td>Gas chromatography mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>Hydrogen gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{2}O</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HATU</td>
<td>O-(7-Azabenzotriazole-1-yl)-N,N',N'-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>Hydrochloric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hex</td>
<td>Hexanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Chemical/Physical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>Hydrofluoric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hz</td>
<td>Hertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ind</td>
<td>Indazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$</td>
<td>Potassium carbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_i$</td>
<td>Dissociation constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOBt</td>
<td>1-Hydroxybenzotriazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>Concentration which produces half-maximal inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiCl</td>
<td>Lithium chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiOH</td>
<td>Lithium hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mg</td>
<td>Milligram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO$_4$</td>
<td>Magnesium sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>Megahertz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mL</td>
<td>Milliliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mmol</td>
<td>Millimole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mol %</td>
<td>Mole percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaBH$_4$</td>
<td>Sodium borohydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaH</td>
<td>Sodium hydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaHCO$_3$</td>
<td>Sodium bicarbonate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaHSO$_3$</td>
<td>Sodium bisulfite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaHSO₄</td>
<td>Sodium bisulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>Sodium hydroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂SO₃</td>
<td>Sodium sulfite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂S₂O₃</td>
<td>Sodium thiosulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nm</td>
<td>Nanometers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nM</td>
<td>Nanomolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OcHₓ</td>
<td>Cyclohexyl ester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pal</td>
<td>3-(3-Pyridyl)-Alanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/ C</td>
<td>Palladium on carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pmp</td>
<td>Pentamethylphenylalanine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppt</td>
<td>Precipitate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psi</td>
<td>Pounds per square inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pur</td>
<td>Purine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-HPLC</td>
<td>Reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rᵣ</td>
<td>Retention time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r.t.</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAR</td>
<td>Structure activity relationship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCl₂</td>
<td>Thionyl chloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRIF</td>
<td>Somatotropin release inhibiting factor (somatostatin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA</td>
<td>Triethylamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFA</td>
<td>Trifluoroacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMPO</td>
<td>2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidinyl-1-oxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THF</td>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC</td>
<td>Thin layer chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trt</td>
<td>Trityl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-TsOH·H₂O</td>
<td>para-Toluenesulfonic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uv</td>
<td>ultra violet light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Benzyloxy carbonyl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Design and Syntheses of Nonnatural Amino Acids and Their Incorporation into Somatostatin and RGD Analogs
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The peptide hormone somatostatin has been the focus of ongoing research in our laboratories. In an effort to constrain the tetrapeptide pharmacophore of somatostatin in a rigid type II' β-turn, we synthesized the 4,6-substituted dibenzofuran amino acid, 4-(2-aminoethyl)-6-(2-carboxyethyl)-dibenzoferan (DBFaa). Two sets of dipeptides, Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-OH and H-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe, and Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-OH and H-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe were synthesized and then coupled to the dibenzofuran amino acid scaffold to provide c[Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr-DBFaa] and c[Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val-DBFaa]. 2D-NMR spectroscopy of both compounds provided ROEs which supported the presence of a type II' β-turn spanning the D-Trp-Lys residues. The binding affinities of both
scaffolded somatostatin analogs were evaluated for potency and selectivity for each subtype of the hss1 receptors in binding assays. Neither compound showed any affinity for hss1 or hss4. The c[Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr-DBFaa] compound was slightly selective for hss2 (2 µM) over hss3 (4.2 µM) and hss5 (3.5 µM). The c[Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val-DBFaa] analog did not display any affinity for hss5, but was selective for hss2 (2.2 µM) over hss3 (5.5 µM).

In an effort to incorporate analogs of naturally occurring tryptophan into somatostatin compounds, we designed and synthesized eight unnatural nitrogen-containing, fused 5,6-heterocyclic, aromatic α-amino acids. The target compounds include Z-D-1H-benzimidazole-yl alanine, Z-D-1H- and Z-D-2H-benzotriazole-yl alanine, Z-D-1H-indazole-yl alanine, Z-D-9H- and Z-D-7H-purine-yl alanine, and Z-D-3H- and Z-D-1H-(4-azabenzimidazole-yl) alanine. The key step of the syntheses of the amino acids involved the nucleophilic displacement of the tosylate of Garner’s alcohol, which enabled us obtain the desired D-amino acids in good yields. Three of the amino acids, Z-D-1H-benzimidazole-yl alanine, Z-D-1H-benzotriazole-yl alanine, and Z-D-1H-indazole-yl alanine were incorporated into the pentapeptide, H-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe, cyclized, and were obtained cleanly and in good yields.

Another area of research in our laboratories involved the synthesis of RGD-containing integrin ligands. We designed and synthesized a family of unnatural thioether amino acids; Fmoc-S-(t-butoxycarbonylmethyl)-cysteine, Fmoc-S-(t-butoxycarbonylethyl)-cysteine, Fmoc-S-(t-butoxycarbonylmethyl)-homocysteine, and Fmoc-S-(t-butoxycarbonylethyl)-homocysteine. These compounds
were designed to replace the mercaptopropionic acid-cysteine disulfide bridge of the integrin $\alpha v \beta 3$-specific compound $c[(\text{Mpa})\text{RGDD}(t\text{BuG})\text{C}]\text{-NH}_2$. The syntheses of the thioether building blocks were scalable and produced the desired products in good yields. Of the series, the peptide $c[\text{NH-Arg-Gly-Asp-Asp-(tBuG)-(S-Ac-C)}]\text{-NH}_2$ demonstrated potent affinity for the $\alpha v \beta 3$ and $\alpha 5 \beta 1$ receptors while having reduced affinity for integrin $\alpha I I b \beta 3$. 
CHAPTER 1

1. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW
1.1 Introduction

During the past 60 years, a large number of biologically active peptides have been discovered and knowledge of the structures, properties, and functions of these peptides has grown considerably. These compounds are important in normal and disease physiology acting as hormones, neurotransmitters, and modulators of cell surface receptors and numerous other biological activities. All of these functions imply important therapeutic applications. In some cases, such as insulin and oxytocin, native peptides have proven to be useful as therapeutic agents. However, in many cases, peptidic structures are not suitable for use as drugs. Poor oral availability is a major limitation and problems arise from short durations of action. Enzymes rapidly degrade peptides by cleaving peptide bonds which ultimately leads to a loss of biological activity. Peptides can also often interact with more than one receptor or subtype which results in unsatisfactorily low selectivity and associated side effects.

Recently, researchers have overcome many of the above limitations of peptides as therapeutics through the development of mimetics of natural amino acid building blocks. This research led to new structures known as peptidomimetics. Theoretically, the scope of peptidomimetics extends from peptides where single amino acid residues or peptide bonds have been altered, all the way to the transformation of a peptide into a small, non-peptidic molecule. In the development of peptidomimetics, the search for initial leads can be entirely empirical, simply involving deletion, addition, or replacement of one or more amino acid residues of the endogenous ligands. Once an initial structure-activity relationship (SAR) is established, more sophisticated
structural changes can be made, such as the use of unusual amino acids, modification of the peptide backbone, cyclization of peptides, and mimicry of secondary structural features such as helices or reverse turns, through the inclusion of heterocyclic structures which act as templates or scaffolds. The ultimate goal of the incorporation of these mimetic structures into peptides is to prepare more metabolically stable, less-peptidic molecules which hold the pharmacophore in the proper conformational array and result in analogs which exhibit increased potency, receptor selectivity, and improved pharmacological properties.

Synthetic building blocks can be considered conformational probes which provide insight into the bioactive conformations of parent peptides and of the topospace within the binding pocket of the receptor. This information helps to ultimately identify the required pharmacophoric groups to discover potent and receptor specific ligands. Such tools can also protect the global molecular structures from metabolic degradation by introducing structures which the enzymes can not recognize.

Unnatural amino acids utilized as building blocks, conformational constraints, or molecular scaffolds, represent an array of diverse structural elements for the development of new peptidic and non-peptidic lead compounds. The major focus of this dissertation is the design and synthesis of nonnaturally occurring amino acid building blocks which, when incorporated into peptides, could provide additional information about the topological requirements for receptor binding and specificity. In our laboratories, we were interested in a number of bioactive peptides including
somatostatins and RGD-containing ligands of the integrin receptors. Both classes of compounds have potential therapeutic value in cancer treatment, diabetes, and other areas of disease. We hoped that incorporating our rationally designed building blocks into these classes of biological ligands would provide us with additional structure-activity relationships to aid in the design of clinically useful compounds.

Over the years, the Goodman laboratories developed an interdisciplinary approach to study biologically active molecules. We have synthesized families of target molecules involving systematic compositional modifications. We then examined the conformational effects of these structural alterations using high resolution NMR spectroscopy and molecular modeling simulations. In collaborating laboratories, bioassays were carried out to determine the bioactivity profiles of our candidate molecules. Collectively, this information has enabled us to refine our understanding of structure-activity relationships of peptides and to design and synthesize new target molecules.

The research in this dissertation focuses on the synthetic aspects of the research programs in our laboratories. Our efforts in the design and synthesis of novel unnatural amino acids will be discussed, as well as our incorporation of these compounds into bioactive molecules in the areas of somatostatin and RGD-containing integrin ligands.
1.2 **Unnatural amino acids as conformational scaffolds**

The secondary structure of peptides is crucial for receptor binding and biological activity. Incorporation of peptidomimetic building blocks, such as conformationally constrained amino acids, into the sequences of biologically active peptides restricts the rotation of the bonds and/or side chain conformations. This approach can minimize the number of possible conformations of a particular peptide and provide insight into the receptor bound conformation of a receptor ligand.

One of the most important secondary structural features of peptides is the β-turn. This feature often plays a key role in molecular recognition in biological systems. Great efforts have been undertaken to design scaffolds or templates which can be used as β-turn mimetics.

Chapter 2 describes our efforts to design somatostatin pharmacophore tetrapeptides constrained on an aromatic amino acid scaffold capable of inducing a rigid type II' β-turn about the peptide. Molecular dynamics simulations of a variety of candidates lead us to a dibenzofuran-based amino acid, which constrained the peptide in a conformation closest to that exhibited by a known potent compound. We synthesized the scaffold and incorporated it into two somatostatin pharmacophoric tetrapeptides. The conformation was then studied in solution by 2D-NMR techniques and the somatostatin receptor subtype binding affinities were measured.
1.3 **Unnatural α-amino acid building blocks**

The incorporation of unnaturally occurring α-amino acids into a peptide sequence may add or reduce conformational constraints within molecules, while others may affect hydrogen-bonding capacities and change electronic interactions. Nonnaturally occurring amino acid side chain moieties can provide information about receptor interactions and specificities. They may also increase the metabolic stability of an analog by introducing groups that can not be recognized by enzymes. The study of structure-activity relationships make it possible to design peptidomimetics based on native peptide structures.

Chapter 3 describes our design and synthesis of a class of nitrogen-containing, fused 5,6-heterocyclic, aromatic α-amino acids. The eight novel α-amino acids were synthesized from a scalable common intermediate and are based on the heterocycles benzimidazole, benzotriazole, azabenzimidazole, indazole, and purine. These compounds were designed to replace the D-Trp residue of a potent somatostatin analog in order to gain further insight into the requirements of the somatostatin receptor for the side chain of the position 8 residue for binding affinity and subsequent biological activity.

1.4 **Cyclic peptides incorporating unnatural amino acid bridges**

Naturally occurring cyclic structures exist in many native peptides such as somatostatin, oxytocin, and insulin. There are several different routes to obtain cyclic peptides including: cyclization from N-terminus to C-terminus of a peptide chain,
cyclization from main chain to side chain, or side chain to side chain, such as the formation of a disulfide bridge between the side-chains of two cysteine residues. An advancement made in our laboratories involves the incorporation of lanthionine and thioether bridges in place of disulfides because they are more stable toward enzymatic degradation.

Chapter 4 discusses our design and synthesis of four unnatural thioether-containing amino acids based on cysteine and homocysteine. We incorporated these amino acids into a small, cyclic RGD-containing integrin peptide ligand which bound potently and selectively for an integrin involved in angiogenesis. The parent compound was cyclized via a disulfide bridge between two cysteine residues. The thioether amino acids were designed to replace the disulfide in order to decrease the susceptibility of the compounds to enzymatic degradation, as well as explore the structure-activity relationships of chain length in the bridging region. The synthesized thioether-bridged peptides were evaluated for receptor binding and selectivity against four related integrins.
CHAPTER 2

2. DIBENZOFURAN-SCAFFOLDED SOMATOSTATIN ANALOGS
2.1 Introduction

2.1.1 Somatostatin: Discovery of a peptide hormone

Somatostatin (also known as somatotropin release-inhibiting factor, SRIF) is a regulatory peptide-hormone which was first isolated, purified, and characterized from ovine hypothalamus in 1973.\(^1\) Somatostatin occurs systemically in two native forms, a 14- and a 28-amino acid peptide, which are similar in biological activity but display slightly different potencies depending on the tissue in question.\(^2\) The sequence of somatostatin-28 (SRIF-28) [2-2] is identical to that of somatostatin [2-1], but is extended by fourteen amino acids at the N-terminus,\(^3\) as depicted in Figure 2-1. Both forms of somatostatin are cyclized through a disulfide bridge formed between the sulfurs of the two cysteine side chains.

![Figure 2-1: Structures of the two native forms of somatostatin; SRIF-14 and SRIF-28.](image-url)
Both forms of somatostatin are generated in mammals from prosomatostatin (pro-SRIF) [2-3] (Figure 2-2), a 92-amino acid peptide which is identical in humans, pigs, and sheep. Somatostatin comprises the COOH-terminal 14 residues. Proteolytic processing of pro-SRIF between di- and monobasic amino acid residues generates somatostatin and SRIF-28, respectively.\(^5,6\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H-Ala}^1-\text{Pro-Ser-Asp-Pro-Arg-Leu-Arg-Gln-Phe-Leu-Gln-Lys-} \\
\text{Ser-Leu-Ala-Ala-Ala-Ala-Gly-Lys-Gln-Glu-Leu-Ala-Lys-} \\
\text{Tyr-Phe-Leu-Ala-Glu-Leu-Leu-Ser-Glu-Pro-Asn-Gln-Thr-} \\
\text{Glu-Asn-Asp-Ala-Leu-Glu-Pro-Glu-Asp-Leu-Ser-Gln-Ala-} \\
\text{Ala-Glu-Gln-Asp-Glu-Met-Arg-Leu-Glu-Leu-Gln-Arg-} \\
\text{Ser-Ala-Asn-Ser-Asn-Pro-Ala-Met-Ala-Pro-Arg-Glu-Arg-Lys-} \\
\text{Ala-Gly-Cys-Lys-Asn-Phe-Phe-Trp-Lys-Thr-Phe-Thr-Ser-Cys}^{92}\text{-OH} \\
\underbrace{\text{S-S}} \\
\text{Prosomatostatin (Pro-SS)} \tag{2-3}
\end{align*}
\]

**Figure 2-2:** The primary amino acid sequence of prosomatostatin.

Extensive structure-activity relationship studies on somatostatin and its derivatives have shown that the tetrapeptide fragment, Phe\(^7\)-Trp\(^8\)-Lys\(^9\)-Thr\(^{10}\) (the superscript numbers refer to the sequence of SRIF-14 [2-1], Figure 2-1), is the pharmacophore essential for biological activity.\(^7\) NMR studies also indicated that those four residues adopt a type II' \(\beta\)-turn conformation.\(^8\)
Somatostatin was originally isolated as an inhibitor of growth hormone (GH) release from the hypothalamus.¹ Subsequent studies revealed that it has very broad activities.¹⁰,¹¹ As depicted in Figure 2-3, somatostatin acts on multiple targets including the brain, intestine, pituitary, endocrine and exocrine pancreas, adrenals, thyroid, and kidneys.⁹ Somatostatin is widely distributed in the brain and spinal cord where it is thought to act as a neurotransmitter¹² or a modulator of cognitive functions.¹³ The hormone is also found in areas of the gastrointestinal tract¹⁰ where it regulates the release of glucagon as well as insulin from the pancreas,¹⁴ as well as the secretion of gastrin and gastric acid by the gut.¹⁵ These actions are mediated through
high-affinity membrane-bound protein receptors that occur in varying densities in all target tissues. The wide physiological roles of somatostatin make it of considerable importance in the pathology of diseases such as GH related tumors, acromegaly, diabetes mellitus, Alzheimer’s and Huntington’s disease, and epilepsy.\textsuperscript{10,16-18}

The early evaluation of somatostatin analogs \textit{in vitro} was carried out utilizing isolated tissue which elicited a biological response. For example: The pituitary cells of rats were isolated and used to analyze the inhibitory effects of somatostatin on the secretion of growth hormone.\textsuperscript{19} The advantage of this approach was that biological responses could be measured directly. Unfortunately, not all of the biological effects of somatostatin and its analogs could be measured so easily using \textit{in vitro} methods. Studies of the inhibition of insulin and glucagon secretion were carried out \textit{in vivo} by measuring levels in rat blood serum\textsuperscript{20,21} while inhibition of gastric acid secretion was carried out in dogs.\textsuperscript{22}

\subsection*{2.1.2 Somatostatin receptors and their function}

While it was originally noted that somatostatin triggered a variety of biological responses, the idea that somatostatin interacted with more than one receptor in the cellular membrane (receptor heterogeneity) did not evolve until the 1980s. Isolated rat cortex was treated with \textsuperscript{125I}-somatostatin whose specific binding was then displaced with octreotide (an octapeptide analog of SRIF-14). The results were bimodal, indicating that two different receptors were involved. The sites with nanomolar affinity for octreotide were named SRIF-1 and those with micromolar affinity for
octreotide were named SRIF-2. Additional pharmacological and binding studies with other synthetic somatostatin analogs confirmed that there were more than one type of somatostatin receptor. The final proof for somatostatin receptor heterogeneity came with the cloning of five somatostatin receptor genes which coded for the five subtypes, sst1-5. Based on amino acid sequences and bonding similarities, it has been proposed that the cloned somatostatin receptors can be viewed as subfamilies, with sst2, 3, and 5 comprising family SRIF-1 and sst1 and sst4 comprising family SRIF-2.

All five human somatostatin (hsst) receptors belong to the super family of guanine nucleotide binding protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). Because GPCRs are membrane bound, it is difficult to determine their structure by either NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography. Therefore, the model of the organization of the membrane spanning α-helical segments is based on rhodopsin, a structurally related protein whose structure was obtained by two-dimensional crystallography to a resolution of 2.5 Å. A model of human somatostatin receptor hsst2 orientated within the cell membrane is shown in Figure 2-4. All five hsst receptor subtypes are speculated to have similar structures.
From the primary amino acid sequence it was concluded that, like other GPCRs, the sst receptors are defined by seven transmembrane segments.\textsuperscript{36} The transmembrane regions consist of $\alpha$-helices which connect four intracellular and three extracellular loops. The inset in Figure 2-4 shows the possible arrangement of the seven transmembrane domains (TM1-TM7), based on the structure of rhodopsin.\textsuperscript{35}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{./figure2-4.png}
\caption{Model of the orientation of GPCR hsst2 within the cellular membrane, including the possible arrangement of the seven transmembrane domains (TM1-TM7). Both models are based on the known structure of rhodopsin.\textsuperscript{35}}
\end{figure}

Intracellular Cys\textsuperscript{328} is theorized to be palmitoylated, as in the $\beta_2$-adrenergic receptor,\textsuperscript{37} which would anchor the region to the membrane and create the fourth intracellular loop. A disulfide bond is predicted to link Cys\textsuperscript{115} to Cys\textsuperscript{193}. The darkened circles indicate the amino acids which are invariant among all five subtypes. The four
extracellular asparagine residues labeled with ‘CHO’ denote potential sites of glycosylation which is implied in molecular recognition.

Human, mouse, and rat somatostatin receptors possess a remarkable sequence homology among the species. Receptors sst1 and sst2 have a homology of greater than 93% among the species, whereas receptors sst3-5 are slightly less conserved with a homology of 82-88%. Among each other, however, receptors sst1-5 only show a homology of 39-57%. This low homology can be utilized for the design of ligands which can bind selectively to one receptor subtype over the others.

In the 1990s, all five human somatostatin (hsst) receptors became available through molecular cloning techniques, along with the receptor subtypes of the rat (rsst) and the mouse (msst). Of the five sst receptors, only sst2 occurs in two isoforms, which differ in length at the C-terminus of the protein. Receptor sst2A contains 369 amino acids, while sst2B, with 356 amino acids, is slightly shorter. The two isoforms of sst2 have similar ligand selectivities and cannot be distinguished by pharmacological agents.

Each receptor subtype can be expressed in the membranes of cell lines such as Chinese hamster ovaries (CHO cells), Chinese hamster lung fibroblast cells (CCL39), monkey kidney cells (COS-1), or mouse fibroblast (Ltk-cells). The availability of separate receptor subtypes made it an attractive research goal to design and synthesize subtype selective analogs and to study their effects on biological function. The correlation between each receptor subtype and biological response has
not been entirely elucidated, although certain correlations based on *in vivo* and *in vitro* binding assays have been established.

The somatostatin receptors are critical for the biological effects of somatostatin to be elicited. As with other hormone receptors, the somatostatin receptors have two functions; to recognize the ligand and bind it with high affinity and specificity, and to generate a transmembrane signal that evokes a biological response. In order to explore the function of each of the receptors, molecular cloning techniques were employed to express individual receptors in host cells. The binding of somatostatin analogs to each of the receptors was then determined. The study of receptor subtype-selective ligands could also be carried out in the presence of different receptor subtypes. Subtype specific compounds were subjected to functional assays and *in vivo* studies to determine the biological response garnered from the binding of that compound to a specific receptor while in the presence of other receptor subtypes.

Native somatostatin binds to all five sst receptors with nanomolar affinity while most of the synthetic analogs, such as L-363,301 [2-4] (Figure 2-5) and octreotide [2-6] (Figure 2-7) bind to sst2, 3, and 5.\(^5\) Few synthetic analogs bind selectively to only one of the receptor subtypes. The relationship of ligand binding at a given receptor to specific biological activity has not been totally elucidated because of the lack of subtype-selective analogs.\(^5,5\) Table 2-1 summarizes some of the reported findings.
Table 2-1: Established sst receptor-activity correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sst1</td>
<td>Inhibition of Actin Stress Fiber Assembly</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst1</td>
<td>Inhibition of Cell Migration</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst2</td>
<td>Inhibition of Growth Hormone Release</td>
<td>58, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst2</td>
<td>Inhibition of Glucagon</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst2</td>
<td>Gastrin and Gastric Acid Inhibition</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst3</td>
<td>Induction of Apoptosis</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst3</td>
<td>Regulation of Gastric Smooth Muscle Contraction</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst4</td>
<td>Reduction of Intraocular Pressure (?)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst5</td>
<td>Inhibition if Insulin Release</td>
<td>55, 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sst5</td>
<td>Amylase Inhibition</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Receptor sst1 has been shown to regulate the inhibition of actin stress fiber assembly. Cells expressing sst1 and sst2 were each treated with thrombin and then incubated with SRIF-14. Only the cells expressing sst1 receptors inhibited fiber formation. The study also demonstrated that thrombin-stimulated cell migration is inhibited through sst1.57

Both peptides58 and non-peptides59 possessing a high degree of selectivity for sst2 have been utilized to demonstrate that sst2 is involved in the regulation of growth hormone release. Non-peptidic ligands which selectively bound to sst2 inhibited the
release of glucagon from pancreatic cells. A cyclic octapeptide which was selective for sst2 inhibited the release of gastrin and gastric acid in dogs.

By expressing sst receptors individually in CHO cells and treating them with octreotide [2-6] (Figure 2-7) it was shown that apoptosis is signaled via sst3, suggesting that sst3 selective analogs could be of great value in treating tumors. Utilizing 125I-labeled SRIF-14, it was shown that sst3 is involved in the relaxation of gastric smooth muscle cells as well.

Very little information is available about the significance of sst4. Its RNA was detected in the rat eye and it was speculated that the activation of sst4 could reduce intraocular pressure, rendering sst4 an interesting target receptor for anti-glaucoma drugs.

Utilizing the sst5 selective linear peptide, D-Phe-Phe-Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr-Phe-Thr-NH₂, it was concluded that sst5 is involved in insulin and amylase inhibition. Receptor sst5 selective non-peptide somatostatin analogs were also employed to confirm the inhibition of glucagon through sst5. It has also been proposed that sst5 is related to the anti-tumor activities of somatostatin.

Several review articles have been published over the last decade which summarize the structural, physiological, and molecular-biological background of the somatostatin receptor families in detail.
2.1.3 Early structure-activity relationship studies of somatostatin

The biological characterization of somatostatin began with structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies. These studies included the systematic deletion of single residues, the progressive shortening of the somatostatin peptide from the N- and C-termini, and the replacement of single residues by alanine (an alanine scan).\(^{19}\) A first conclusion from the studies was that the loss of the disulfide bond between Cys\(^3\) and Cys\(^{14}\) by replacement of either residue with alanine resulted in a linear peptide with only 0.5% of the activity of somatostatin. Incorporation of methionine in either position also prevented disulfide cyclization and resulted in complete loss of biological activity. These findings suggested that the cyclic structure of somatostatin was mandatory for biological activity and probably arrayed the essential residues into a biologically active conformation.

The alanine scan also established the importance of the Trp\(^8\) residue, as well as the surrounding aromatic residues, for biological activity. Further analysis of the tryptophan revealed that incorporation of the enantiomeric D-Trp at position 8 led to an analog which was 6-8 times more active \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} compared to the parent hormone.\(^{20}\) The enhanced \textit{in vivo} activity was attributed to an optimization of the conformation of the peptide as well as a reduction in the susceptibility to enzymatic degradation because of the D-configuration. The majority of somatostatin analogs synthesized since have incorporated D-Trp\(^8\).

The importance of Phe\(^6\), Phe\(^7\), Lys\(^9\), and Phe\(^{11}\) was also demonstrated with the alanine scan. Replacement of any of those residues led to compounds without any
activity with respect to inhibition of growth hormone. Replacement of Asn\(^5\) and Thr\(^{10}\) with alanine led to mixed results in bioassays.\(^{19,69}\)

An additional SAR study revealed the importance of the Trp\(^8\)-Lys\(^9\) portion of the hormone. The Lys\(^9\) residue was replaced with S-β-(aminoethyl)-cysteine, ornithine, arginine, histidine, \(p\)-amino-phenylalanine, and \(γ\)- and \(δ\)-fluoro lysine. In all cases, the synthesized analogs were significantly less potent than L-363-301 [2-4] (Figure 2-5), a potent cyclic somatostatin analog, underlining the importance of the lysine side chain.\(^{70}\)

Replacement of Cys\(^{14}\) with D-Cys\(^{14}\) resulted in a compound which was 2-3 times more potent \textit{in vivo} than somatostatin and had a 10-fold increase in selectivity for inhibition of growth hormone (GH) and glucagon over insulin.\(^{21}\) Incorporation of D-Trp\(^8\) into that compound decreased selectivity 5-7-fold, but increased inhibition of growth hormone and glucagon 6-9 times more than somatostatin.\(^{71}\) These two compounds raised the expectations of finding clinically significant analogs for the treatment of diabetes.\(^{72}\) Many more somatostatin analogs were synthesized and studied, several of which exhibited impressive biological profiles with regard to increased affinity and selectivity.\(^{69}\) A selection of the most important compounds will be discussed in the next section.
2.2 Synthetic analogs of somatostatin

2.2.1 Peptidic somatostatin analogs

The variety of biological functions regulated by somatostatin makes it a highly attractive drug target. Unfortunately, several factors have prevented the direct use of somatostatin for therapeutic purposes. The half-life of somatostatin in the bloodstream is about three minutes; not long enough to be very useful as a therapeutic agent. The liver and kidneys rapidly inactivate circulating somatostatin. The peptide is also not orally available and must be administered intravenously. Orally available somatostatin analogs would be advantageous for clinical applications.

The earliest reported SRIF-14 analogs displayed only agonist activity, (the analogs resulted in the same biological effects as the native peptide), but were generally less biologically effective than the native peptide. Both agonists and antagonists (which bind to the receptor and block a specific response) were desirable compounds. While an enormous amount of research has been devoted to the design, synthesis, and evaluation of somatostatin analogs, the majority of compounds that have been synthesized are agonists. Potent and selective antagonists for each of the receptors remain elusive.

The initial search for a clinically useful somatostatin analog began when Veber and coworkers at Merck published their first synthetic analog. Peptide (2-4), known as L-363,301 (Figure 2-5) is a hexapeptide containing the SRIF-14 pharmacophore cyclized by a Phe-Pro dipeptide. Several dipeptide sequences were investigated for the cyclization, but the Phe-Pro sequence provided the compound with the best binding
properties and was twice as effective as somatostatin at inhibiting growth hormone release. Compound [2-4] was shown to be quite resistant to enzymatic hydrolysis with trypsin. NMR studies confirmed the structure to be rigid and to array the pharmacophore about a type II' β-turn, while the Phe¹¹-Pro⁶ dipeptide spans a type VI β-turn and includes a cis peptide bond between the two residues. This dipeptide unit, known as the bridging region, is important in maintaining the proper orientation of the tetrapeptide pharmacophore.

Figure 2-5: The cyclic hexapeptide L-363,301 developed by Veber and coworkers.

Further derivatization of L-363,301 led Merck researchers to develop somatostatin analog [2-5], known as MK678 or seglitide (Figure 2-6). MK678 is also a cyclic hexapeptide, but replaces Pro⁶ with N-methyl-Ala, Phe⁷ with Tyr, and Thr¹⁰ with Val. Analog [2-5] is 50-100 times more potent than SRIF-14 in inhibiting
insulin, glucagon, and growth hormone release in vivo and showed at least 10-fold greater potency than the parent compound, L-363,301 [2-4], in all biological tests.

During the same time period, scientists at Sandoz also carried out research in the area of small, cyclic, peptidic somatostatin analogs and developed octreotide [2-6] (also known as SMS 201-995 and Sandostatin, Figure 2-7). The octapeptide was reported to be selective for inhibition of growth hormone and glucagon secretion over insulin secretion and was 20 times more potent than somatostatin in rats and monkeys. In octreotide, the somatostatin pharmacophore is conformationally restricted by a disulfide bridge formed between the side-chains of the flanking cysteine residues. The reduced C-terminus of the α-hydroxymethyl Threonine residue decreases the likelihood of enzymatic degradation. Octreotide is remarkable in its high resistance
to enzymatic degradation, with a plasma half-life of 1.5 hours, making it orally available and resulting in its investigation in clinical trials.

![H-D-Phe$^5$- c[Cys$^6$-Phe$^7$-d-Trp$^8$-Lys$^9$-Thr$^{10}$-Cys$^{11}$]-Thr(ol)$^{12}$](image)

**Figure 2-7:** The structure of disulfide-bridged octreotide, a somatostatin analog which is used in the clinic.

The biological profile of octreotide encouraged researchers to optimize the binding affinity and selectivity of the octapeptide. The synthesis and biological evaluation of two octreotide analogs, named RC-121 [2-7] and RC-160 [2-8] (also known as octastatin, Figure 2-8) were reported. Both compounds differ from octreotide through the substitution of Tyr for Phe$^7$ and Val for Thr$^{10}$, making them somewhat analogous to MK678. The compounds differ from octreotide and each other in the C-terminus where RC-121 [2-7] contains a threonine amide and RC-160 [2-8] contains a tryptophan amide. RC-121 [2-7] was found to be 177 times more potent than SRIF-14 in inhibiting growth hormone secretion in rats, while RC-160 was
113 times more potent. Both analogs were determined to be 4-10 times more potent than SRIF-14 in inhibiting insulin, glucagon, and gastric acid release in dogs.\textsuperscript{78}

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2-8}
\caption{The structures of RC-121 and RC-160 (octastatin), cyclic octapeptide analogs of octreotide.}
\end{figure}
Further derivatization of RC-121 [2-7] by scientists at Biomeasure allowed them to develop the cyclic octapeptide, lanreotide [2-9] (also known as BIM23014, Figure 2-9). Lanreotide [2-9] differs from RC-121 [2-7] only in the N-terminal residue through incorporation of a D-naphthylalanine. This small change produced a compound which inhibited growth hormone secretion in rats 10,000 times more effectively than SRIF-14. Lanreotide was shown to be half as effective as SRIF-14 in the inhibition of glucagon release and comparable in the inhibition of insulin release.\textsuperscript{79} Mice implanted with a tumor cell line were treated with lanreotide which retarded the tumor growth \textit{in vivo}. Lanreotide also inhibited tumor growth \textit{in vitro}, presumably through an antiproliferative effect, rather than through cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{80} These exciting results led to the investigation of lanreotide in clinical trials.

\textbf{Figure 2-9:} The structure of disulfide-bridged lanreotide which contains a D-naphthylalanine at the N-terminus.
Table 2-2 summarizes the binding affinities of the previously mentioned peptidic somatostatin analogs for all five of the receptor subtypes. These compounds are considered to be milestones in the area of somatostatin research because they provided insight into the biological function of the receptors and were the basis for the design of more potent and selective analogs. At the time of the discovery of the analogs, the individual receptor subtypes were not known or available. The listed references provide the separate receptor subtype binding data for the compounds. Table 2-2 also highlights the lack of subtype selectivity displayed by the two native forms of the hormone.

Table 2-2: Binding affinities ($K_i$ in nM) of selected peptidic somatostatin analogs for the five somatostatin receptor subtypes, sst1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>sst1</th>
<th>sst2</th>
<th>sst3</th>
<th>sst4</th>
<th>sst5</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin [2-1]</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin-28 [2-2]&amp; a</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-363,301 [2-4]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK678 [2-5]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octreotide [2-6]</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-121 [2-7]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC-160 [2-8]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanreotide [2-9]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a calculated from ref. 54.
2.2.2 Clinical use of peptidic somatostatin analogs

It has been a challenge to develop somatostatin analogs with minimal side effects. Only three of the analogs, namely octreotide [2-6], lanreotide [2-9], and RC-160 [2-8], were introduced for routine hormone secretion therapies in humans. Their approved medical indications include acromegaly, TSH-secreting pituitary tumors, neuroendocrine tumors of the gastrointestinal tract, some refractory diarrhoeas (such as chemotherapy and AIDS-related diarrhoea), prevention and treatment of pancreatic surgery complications, and malignant bowel obstruction.85-87 Unfortunately, the clinical administration of somatostatin analogs has been limited because side effects, such as gallstone formation, occur because the secretion of hormones other than the target are also inhibited which interferes with gallbladder function.88

Receptor scintigraphy using radiolabeled SRIF-14 analogs has become a routine procedure for the visualization of hsst-positive tumors. Octreotide, conjugated to diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA) and labeled with $^{111}$In ($[^{111}$In-DTPA] octreotide or OctreoScan, [2-10], Figure 2-10) is the most commonly used agent. It exhibits a high affinity and selectivity for hsst2, the most abundant sst receptor subtype in human tumors expressing sst receptors. Somatostatin receptor imaging has clinical importance in the localization of several neuroendocrine tumors as well as in Hodgkin’s disease.89

Recently, this approach was extended to therapeutic applications. The demonstration of effective internalization of the somatostatin receptor complex by human tumors encouraged researchers to couple cytotoxic agents to somatostatin
analogs. DTPA was replaced with 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecan-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA), which chelates $^{90}\text{Y}$ (in addition to $^{111}\text{In}$). The $\beta$-emitting isotope can kill tumor cells within a few millimeters. The resulting compound, $[^{90}\text{Y}-\text{DOTA-D-Phe}^1-\text{Tyr}^3]\text{octreotide [2-11]}$ (also known as OctreoTher, Figure 2-10) has high affinity for hsst2 and is being tested in clinical trials for the treatment of hsst2-positive tumors.

**Figure 2-10:** Octreotide analogs, OctreoScan [2-10] and OctreoTher [2-11] can both coordinate radioactive isotopes for use in imaging and treating sst2-positive tumors.
While potency is not an issue with the classical SRIF-14 drugs, (which are active in the nanomolar range), new SRIF-14 analogs which are orally available and possess longer duration of action in the blood would certainly offer an alternative to the currently available injectable treatments. Research which continues to probe the topochemical and conformational requirements of somatostatin analogs for biological activity and selectivity will contribute to the exploitation of somatostatin’s regulatory functions for therapeutic applications.

2.2.3 **Somatostatin analogs which contain unnatural amino acids**

Replacing amino acid residues within a peptide with non-naturally occurring amino acids or amino acid derivatives can provide a wealth of information about the peptide and its cellular receptors. By incorporating such residues, the stability of the compound against enzymatic degradation is often enhanced because enzymes are unable to recognize the residues. Additional SAR information from positive and negative biological results provides further insight into the bioactive conformation of the compound as well as the chemical and topological space of the binding pocket of the receptor. Numerous non-natural amino acids and amino acid derivatives have been synthesized and incorporated into SRIF-14 [2-1] and its classical, smaller analogs.

The amide bonds between Phe\(^7\)-Trp\(^8\) and Trp\(^8\)-Lys\(^9\) of SRIF-14 were thought to be particularly prone to enzymatic cleavage.\(^{92,93}\) To increase the enzymatic stability, Goodman and coworkers employed retro-inverso modifications to those bonds by incorporating \(\text{gPhe}^7\text{-mTrp}^8\) and \(\text{gTrp}^8\text{-mLys}^9\) (\(g\) denoting the \(\text{gem}\)-diamino derivative,
and \( m \) denoting the malonyl derivative) residues into the respective positions in SST-14 (Figure 2-11).

**Figure 2-11:** SRIF-14 analogs containing \( gem \)-diamino and malonyl structures (highlighted in boxes) which result in retro-inverso peptides.
The gPhe\(^7\)-mTrp\(^8\) compound [2-12] inhibited growth hormone release only slightly less effectively than the native peptide, while the gTrp\(^8\)-mLys\(^9\) compound [2-13] was less effective by two orders of magnitude.\(^{94}\) The difference in activity was attributed to the ability of the gPhe\(^7\)-mTrp\(^8\) compound [2-12] to array the pharmacophore in a topography closer to that of a β-turn.

Analogs of L-363,301 containing retro-inverso residues were also synthesized.\(^{95}\) Conformational analysis of the retro-inverso cyclic hexapeptides provided information which led to the incorporation of α- and β-methylated amino acids into the cyclic hexapeptide structure. Additional methyl groups within the side-chain of the amino acids reduce the rotational freedom of flexible parts of the molecules. The rotational restriction forces the compound to reside in specific rotamers which facilitates the probing of bioactive conformations.

Researchers in the Goodman laboratories prepared all four diastereomers of β-methyl tryptophan as enantionmerically pure compounds and incorporated them into L-363,301.\(^{96}\) Substitution of D-Trp with (2R,3S)-β-methyl tryptophan produced compound [2-14] (Figure 2-12) which was more biologically active than the parent hexapeptide. The compound containing the (2S,3R)-diastereomer was less active by 10-fold, while the (2R,3R)- and (2S,3S)-diastereomers were about 100-fold less active than L-363,301 \textit{in vitro}. Conformational searches were then performed which were consistent with the data from NMR spectroscopy. The resulting conformations could be divided into two families; ‘folded’ and ‘flat’, referring to the overall topology of the compounds. Further studies of analogs containing α-methyl-Phe\(^7\) suggested the
‘folded’ conformation to be the bioactive one. These findings by Goodman refute those of Veber and coworkers, who demonstrated that L-363,301 adopts a flat and folded conformation, but suggested the flat topology to be the bioactive form.

The binding of each of the four of the β-methyl tryptophan containing peptides was compared to that of L-363,301 utilizing a mixture of all five receptor subtypes which did not allow for the determination of subtype selectivity. The original assays found the IC$_{50}$ of L-363,301 to be 1 nM, of the (2R,3S)-β-methyl tryptophan compound to be less than 1 nM, of the (2S,3R)-β-methyl tryptophan analog to be 10 nM. The (2R,3R)- and (2S,3S)-β-methyl tryptophan compounds were inactive. The (2R,3S)- and (2R,3R)-β-methyl tryptophan compounds were recently resynthesized so that the binding affinities for all five of the hsst receptors could be measured. While
the (2R,3R)-analog was originally thought to be inactive, the recent data suggests it binds to hsst2 with at least 100-fold selectively over the other receptors and an IC$_{50}$ of 7.2 nM, comparable to SRIF-14. The (2R,3S)-β-methyl tryptophan analog [2-14] was more potent at hsst2 with an IC$_{50}$ of 0.23 nM. Table 2-3 summarizes the results of those biological tests.

Table 2-3: Binding affinities (IC$_{50}$ in nM) of selected β-methyl tryptophan analogs for all five human somatostatin receptor subtypes, hsst1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>hsst1</th>
<th>hsst2</th>
<th>hsst3</th>
<th>hsst4</th>
<th>hsst5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin [2-1]</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-363,301 [2-4]</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2R,3S)-analog [2-14]</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2R,3R)-analog</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The incorporation of α-methyl-Val$^{10}$ in place of Thr$^{10}$ or of β-methyl-Phe$^{11}$ in place of Phe$^{11}$ in L-363,301 analogs did not lead to any enhancement in biological activity but did provide valuable insight into biologically relevant conformations.$^{98}$ The aforementioned results led the Goodman laboratories to develop a model of the special and side-chain requirements of the somatostatin pharmacophore for receptor binding. This model was subsequently refined using data from the analysis of lanthionine bridged octreotide analogs. The model depicted in Figure 2-13 provides the distances required between the functional groups thought to be essential for
binding to the somatostatin receptors.\textsuperscript{96,99} The distance values indicated in the model were calculated from NMR spectroscopic analysis of lanthionine [2-15] (Figure 2-14) whereas the distances in parentheses were obtained from spectroscopic analysis of the \(\beta\)-methyl-tryptophan containing hexapeptides.

\begin{figure}[h]
  \centering
  \includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{model.png}
  \caption{A model of the somatostatin pharmacophore with the spatial and distance requirements of the functional groups needed for receptor binding.}
\end{figure}

The previously mentioned lanthionine-bridged octreotide analogs were synthesized using solid phase techniques and were evaluated \textit{in vivo} using expressed somatostatin receptors. Of the several octreotide analogs synthesized, compound
[2-15] (Figure 2-14) displayed 3-fold selectivity for hsst5 over hsst2, noteworthy because octreotide binds with equal potency to both. However, substrate [2-15] was five to six times weaker in binding affinity than somatostatin and octreotide. Enzymatic degradation studies using rat brain homogenates showed the lanthionine bridged analog [2-15] to have a three times longer half-life than octreotide.100

![Figure 2-14: A lanthionine-bridged analog of octreotide.](image)

It has been shown that oligomers containing peptoid residues are virtually untouched by a variety of proteases and display reduced susceptibility toward enzymatic degradation.101 In an effort to develop analogs of L-363,301 with increased enzymatic stability and to further derivatize the bridging region, the Goodman laboratory synthesized new analogs which contained N-alkyl glycine derivatives in the Pro⁶ position.102,103 As demonstrated in Figure 2-15, the target peptoid residues were
conceptualized from the opening of the Pro$^6$ ring of L-363,301 between the $\alpha$- and $\beta$- carbons.

Based on this design, the $N$-benzyl-Gly (NPhe) analog [2-16] and both enantiomers of $\beta$-methyl-NPhe, [2-17] and [2-18], were synthesized, evaluated for
receptor subtype selectivity,\textsuperscript{81} and characterized by NMR spectroscopy and molecular modeling.\textsuperscript{104} Within the series, (\textit{R})-\textbeta-\text{Me-NPhe} analog [2-17] displayed the highest selectivity for hsst2 by three orders of magnitude over hsst1 and hsst4, and two orders of magnitude over hsst3 and hsst5.

\textbf{Figure 2-16:} The incorporation of acidic and basic \textit{N}-alkylated peptoid residues into position 6 of L-363,301.

Because the incorporation of hydrophobic, aromatic peptoid residues into the bridging region of L-363,301 resulted in a decrease in binding, researchers in the
Goodman laboratories incorporated a series of polar peptoid residues to further study the requirements for receptor binding. Specifically, \( N\)-(2-carboxyethyl) glycine (\( N\)Asp) [2-19], \( N\)-(2-aminoethyl) glycine (\( N\)Dab) [2-20], and \( N\)-(2-aminobutyl) glycine (\( N\)Lys) [2-21] were incorporated into the Phe\(^6\) position of hexapeptide L-363,301 (Figure 2-16).

The compounds were assayed for binding at the cloned receptors, \textit{in vitro}. The binding affinities for the peptoid-containing analogs of L-363,301 synthesized in the Goodman laboratories are summarized in Table 2-4. The incorporation of the acidic group in compound [2-19] resulted in loss of binding at hssst 3 and hssst5, and a 100-fold decrease in affinity for hssst2 as compared to L-363,301. The incorporation of the basic aminoalkyl side chains led to a slight increase in binding affinity for hssst2 and a substantial increase in affinity for hssst3. Compound [2-20] was interesting because it was about six times more selective for hssst2 over hssst5 as compared to L-363,301, whereas compound [2-21] possessed hssst2 and hssst5 selectivity similar to that of L-363,301.\(^{105}\) The longer side chain of [2-21] resulted in improved binding to hssst5 over L-363,301 which was not observed with compound [2-20].
Table 2-4: *In vitro* binding affinities (*K*ᵢ in nM) of peptoid analogs of L-363,301 for the individual human somatostatin receptor subtypes, hsst1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>hsst1</th>
<th>hsst2</th>
<th>hsst3</th>
<th>hsst4</th>
<th>hsst5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L-363,301 [2-4]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe(^{11})-NPhe(^6)-Phe(^7) [2-16]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>100.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe(^{11})-((R))-β-Me-N Phe(^6)-Phe(^7) [2-17]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe(^{11})-((S))-β-Me-N Phe(^6)-Phe(^7) [2-18]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe(^{11})-NAsp(^6)-Phe(^7) [2-19]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe(^{11})-NDab(^6)-Phe(^7) [2-20]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe(^{11})-NLys(^6)-Phe(^7) [2-21]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scientists at Novartis recently shifted focus away from the quest for hsst receptor subtype selective somatostatin analogs and synthesized an analog with a more universal binding profile but with enhanced metabolic stability compared to SRIF-14. SOM230 [2-22] (Figure 2-17) is a cyclic hexapeptide which structurally resembles L-363,301 [2-4], but contains non-natural amino acids in the bridging region and within the pharmacophore itself. Pro\(^6\) is replaced with a substituted hydroxylproline, Phe\(^7\) is replaced with phenylglycine, and Thr\(^{10}\) is replaced with a benzylated tyrosine. SOM230 binds with high affinity to sst1-3 and sst5 *in vitro* and inhibits growth hormone release from rat pituitary cells with an IC\(_{50}\) of 0.4 nM.\(^{106}\)
Figure 2-17: The cyclic hexapeptide SOM230 displays a universal somatostatin receptor binding

Though not structurally similar, the biological profile of SOM230 was compared to that of octreotide [2-6]. SOM230 binds with much higher affinity to hss1, hss3, and hss5, but is comparable to octreotide in its binding to hss2. With a blood plasma half-life of 23 hours, SOM230 effectively inhibits growth hormone release in vivo for an extended time period over octreotide, whose half-life is only two hours. Insulin secretion inhibition was observed in rats, however long term diabetogenic effects were not observed, even after four months of administration of SOM230. SOM230 [2-22] is currently under investigation for clinical use in humans.
Table 2-5: Binding affinities (IC$_{50}$, nM) of SRIF-14, octreotide, and SOM230 for the human somatostatin receptor subtypes.$^{106}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>sst1</th>
<th>sst2</th>
<th>sst3</th>
<th>sst4</th>
<th>sst5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin [2-1]</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octreotide [2-6]</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOM230 [2-22]</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Very little has been published about potent or selective somatostatin analogs which contain modifications to the D-Trp$_8$-Lys$_9$ dipeptide portion of the pharmacophore. Non-naturally occurring amino acid substitutions of D-Trp$_8$ have included the incorporation of D-naphthylalanine and a few halogenated D-Tryptophans. These compounds will be discussed in greater detail in Chapter 3.

Many different types of non-naturally occurring amino acids have been successfully incorporated into SRIF-14, L-363,301, and octreotide analogs. These compounds have provided insight into the required conformation for receptor subtype binding, selectivity, and biological activity. A model for the topological distances and pharmacophoric requirements for receptor binding was developed from the acquired data which will aid in the design of future somatostatin peptides and peptidomimetics. Scientists at Novartis incorporated numerous non-natural amino acid residues which resulted in a potent compound with enhanced metabolic stability and universal binding to all five hsst receptors with potency similar to that of SRIF-14.
2.2.4 Non-peptidic somatostatin analogs

Peptides play an important role in biological systems. In some cases, native peptides such as insulin and oxytocin can be used directly as therapeutic agents. In most cases, though, the lack of metabolic stability and selectivity limits the therapeutic use of peptides. To overcome these drawbacks, much effort has been expended to develop peptidomimetics; non-peptidic analogs of a peptide which can properly array the pharmacophores of the peptide to participate in biological recognition and response.

One of the first somatostatin peptidomimetic structures reported was the Hirschmann-Nicolaou β-D-glucose scaffold [2-23] (Figure 2-18). The glucose derivative was reported to act as an agonist and inhibited growth hormone release in a functional assay with an IC₅₀ of 3 µM.¹⁰⁷,¹⁰⁸

Following the lead of Hirschmann and Nicolaou, other scaffolds were examined which led to the development of a xylofuranose-based analog [2-24],¹⁰⁹ benzodiazepinone [2-25],¹¹⁰ and the azepine-based analog [2-26].¹¹¹ Figure 2-18 shows the structures of these peptidomimetics and lists their reported binding affinities (IC₅₀ in µM) to the multiple somatostatin receptor subtypes located within isolated rat cortex membranes. While it was impressive that somatostatin activity could be obtained without a peptide backbone, these first generation peptidomimetics were not potent compared to SRIF-14 and its classical analogs. The binding affinities of SRIF-14 [2-1], L-363,301 [2-4], and MK678 [2-5] are also included in Figure 2-18 for comparison.
Figure 2-18: Structures of first generation somatostatin peptidomimetics and their reported binding affinities to the multiple receptors within isolated rat cortex membranes.
In an effort to develop small molecule β-turn mimetics, Ellman and coworkers designed scaffold [2-27] (Figure 2-19). A small library of compounds was synthesized and thioether [2-28] was found to be the most hss5 selective with an IC$_{50}$ of 87 nM; 5-fold selective over hss1 and at least 10-fold selective over hss2-4.$^{112}$ The indole and amine functionalities were thought to be arrayed in a β-turn type conformation.

![Figure 2-19: Ellman and coworkers’ β-turn mimetic scaffold and their most potent somatostatin analog](image)

The previously described peptidomimetics all contained residues reminiscent of the pharmacophore of somatostatin, i.e. an indole ring, an aromatic group, and an alkyl amine. The advent of high throughput screening allowed researchers the ability to create large libraries of small molecules and to assay them for biological activity. This technique enabled additional probing of topological space and provided potent somatostatin analogs which did not contain the obvious pharmacophores.
The selective sst4 agonist, NNC 26-9100 [2-29] (Figure 2-20),\(^\text{113}\) contains a thiourea backbone with pendant imidazole, benzyl, and pyridyl groups. This thiourea selectively bound to hsst4 with a \(K_i\) of 6 nM and decreased cAMP levels in additional functional assays which indicated that hsst4 agonists could lower intraocular pressure and be potential useful in the treatment of glaucoma.\(^\text{114}\)

High throughput parallel synthesis was employed to create a series of imidazole derivatives which were selective agonists for hsst3. BN81674 [2-30] (Figure 2-20) was the lead structure and was more than 10,000-fold selective for hsst3 with a \(K_i\) of 0.92 nM.\(^\text{115}\)

![Figure 2-20: Small molecule SRIF peptidomimetics with nM receptor binding.](image)

Merck Research Laboratories conducted a substantial effort toward the design and synthesis of non-peptide somatostatin receptor agonists using a combinatorial approach.\(^\text{59,116}\) Lead compounds were discovered which were potent and selective for each of the five hsst receptors, and are shown in Figure 2-21.
While naphthylalanine derivative [2-31] was selective for hsst1 and compound [2-33] was selective for hsst3, both were greater than 100-fold selective over the other
receptors. β-Methyl tryptophan analog [2-32] was greater than 10,000 times more selective for hsst2 over the other receptors and was investigated further in functional assays for inhibition of growth hormone release.117 Guanidine [2-34] was greater than 1000-fold selective for hsst4. Compound [2-35] was the least selective of the series showing only 10-fold selectivity for hsst5 over hsst1, and 100-fold selectivity over hsst2-4.

The small molecule somatostatin peptidomimetics discussed herein are a small sampling of the most potent analogs described in the literature. Libraries of thousands of these types of compounds have been synthesized, characterized and tested for somatostatin bioactivity. However, no somatostatin peptidomimetics have demonstrated clinical significance to date.

Since its discovery over 30 years ago, much progress has been made in the understanding of the structure of the peptide hormone, somatostatin, and its interaction with GPCRs through which its biological regulatory functions are mediated. The five human somatostatin receptor subtypes have been cloned and individually expressed in host cell lines. Because of the short half-life in blood serum and its lack of appreciable selectivity for the different receptor subtypes, the direct application of somatostatin as a therapeutic agent is limited. A wide variety of peptidic somatostatin analogs have been designed and synthesized. In many instances, the biological profiles of the designed compounds are greatly improved with respect to activity and selectivity and a few of them, such as octreotide [2-6], lanreotide [2-9], and RC-160 [2-8] are being used in the clinic to treat various diseases.
The incorporation of unnatural building blocks into peptide-based structures has led to compounds with greater enzymatic stability and longer duration of action as exemplified by SOM230. Much progress has also been made in the area of non-peptidic peptidomimetics as well. However, the clinical relevance of those compounds remains unknown.

The vast wealth of information gathered from 30 years of somatostatin research led us to design, synthesize, and evaluate novel scaffold-constrained semi-peptidic analogs. It was our hope that constraining the tetrapeptide pharmacophore into a rigid type II' β-turn would lead to enhanced potency and selectivity while providing further insight into the bioactive conformation and the topological space of the cellular receptors.
2.3 Design and synthesis of scaffold-constrained SRIF-14 analogs

2.3.1 Type II' β-turns

Utilizing structure-activity relationship studies Veber and coworkers proposed that somatostatin adopts a type II' β-turn about the Phe\textsuperscript{7}-Trp\textsuperscript{8}-Lys\textsuperscript{9}-Thr\textsuperscript{10} residues, providing the biologically active conformation.\textsuperscript{7,8,118,119} Additional conformational studies of L-363,301 [2-4]\textsuperscript{8} and subsequent active analogs,\textsuperscript{98,99,120-123} confirmed the type II' β-turn about the pharmacophore as well as the VI β-turn about the bridging region.

![Diagram of β-turn]

Figure 2-22: Important features of a typical β-turn depicted on L-363,301 [2-4] include the torsion angles Φ and Ψ, less than 7 Å between the α-Cs of the \textit{i} and the \textit{i} + 3 residues, and the possible presence of a hydrogen bond between the carbonyl of the \textit{i} residue and the NH of the \textit{i} + 3 residue.
Figure 2-22 depicts a β-turn about the somatostatin pharmacophore of L-363,301 [2-4]. A β-turn is characterized by four amino acids in a turn in which the α-carbons of the i and i+3 residues are less than 7 Å apart and a hydrogen bond usually exists between the carbonyl of the i residue and the NH of the i+3 residue. The dihedral angles Φ and Ψ about residues i+1 and i+2 designate which type of β-turn exists. Table 2-6 summarizes the idealized dihedral angles of the most common types of β-turns.124

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turn Type</th>
<th>Φ_{i+1}</th>
<th>Ψ_{i+1}</th>
<th>Φ_{i+2}</th>
<th>Ψ_{i+2}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>-90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II'</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-120</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Peptide cyclization can constrain a short amino acid sequence into a turn conformation. The β-turn is the most common type of nonrepetitive structural motif recognized in proteins. Macrocyclization has been an effective approach for restricting the conformation of a turn sequence. One of the simplest strategies involves backbone cyclization with dipeptide sequences in the bridging region, such as the Phe^{11}-Pro^{6} sequence of L-363,301 [2-4] or the previously discussed N-alkyl glycine derivatives.
employed by Goodman. This concept has been expanded further by replacing one of
the turns of a cyclic peptide with a synthetic compound which can either mimic the
turn itself or serve as a structurally-constrained template to array the remaining
peptide in a β-turn conformation. The peptide content of a cyclic peptide is also
decreased using this approach, which should increase enzymatic stability. A number of
different compounds have been suggested as potential linkers for fixing the
conformation of cyclic peptides. Examples of scaffolds which have been incorporated
into various cyclic peptides to induce β-turns are depicted in Figure 2-23. (For
references, please see: [2-36],125 [2-37],126 [2-38],127 [2-39],128 [2-40],129 [2-41],130 and
[2-42].131)

Figure 2-23: Examples of β-turn-inducing structures which have been incorporated
into various cyclic peptides.
The scaffolds depicted in Figure 2-23 have not been incorporated into somatostatin analogs. However, there are a handful of examples in the literature in which this approach has been applied to the somatostatin tetrapeptide pharmacophore. DeGrado and coworkers utilized molecular modeling techniques to design \textit{N,N'}-diphenylurea compound DJS631 [2-43] and biphenyl analog DJS811 [2-44]. Both scaffolds were utilized to constrain the MK678 [2-5] pharmacophore Tyr\textsuperscript{7}-\textit{D-Trp}\textsuperscript{8}-Lys\textsuperscript{9}-Val\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 2-24).\textsuperscript{132}

\textbf{Figure 2-24:} The \textit{N,N'}-diphenylurea and biphenyl containing analogs of MK678 which were designed, synthesized, and evaluated in the DeGrado laboratories.
The \(N,N'\)-diphenylurea and biphenyl scaffolds were synthesized in solution, then attached to Wang resin via the carboxylic acid handle. The tetrapeptides were built onto the amine handle. The linear peptides were cleaved from the resin and cyclized in solution. Somatostatin receptor binding affinities were measured at either human (hsst) or mouse (msst) cloned receptor subtypes and are reported in Table 2-7. Both compounds were selective for sst2 and sst5. The 10-fold preference for sst5 that DJS811 [2-44] exhibits over DJS631 [2-43] was attributed to the flexibility of DJS811 [2-44]. Subsequent structural characterization by NMR analysis and molecular modeling showed that the compounds exist in type \(V'\) \(\beta\)-turn.

**Table 2-7:** Sst receptor subtype binding affinities of DeGrado’s compounds (IC\(_{50}\), nM) were measured in human (hsst) and mouse (msst) cloned receptors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>hsst1</th>
<th>msst2</th>
<th>msst3</th>
<th>hsst4</th>
<th>hsst5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRIF-14 [2-1]</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK678 [2-5]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DJS631 [2-43]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>~1000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DJS811 [2-44]</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d. – not determined

Other scaffolded somatostatin analogs based on “sugar amino acids” were developed in the Kessler laboratories. The pyranoid ring structure featured in analog [2-45] (Figure 2-25) contains amino and carboxylic acid handles. All of the ring substituents lie in the equatorial position, making the chair conformation very stable.
and rigid. The pyranoid scaffold was synthesized in solution, followed by the attachment of the two dipeptides, and subsequent ring closure. Conformational analysis confirmed the presence of the two β-turns, with a type II' β-turn about the tetrapeptide pharmacophore. Compound [2-45] had an IC$_{50}$ 0.15 µM in a competitive binding assay in AtT20 cell membranes from mice. Sugar-containing [2-45] was only 75 times less potent than L-363,301, which was considered remarkable since it does not contain the lipophilic residues on both sides of the pharmacophore that are considered to be important for high somatostatin activity.

Figure 2-25: The sugar amino acid scaffolded somatostatin analogs designed and synthesized by Kessler and coworkers.
The Kessler laboratories synthesized a second sugar amino acid-based scaffold to constrain the somatostatin tetrapeptide pharmacophore.\textsuperscript{135} Furanoid sugar [2-46] (Figure 2-25) was synthesized as part of a small library of somatostatin analogs, some of which possessed antiproliferative and apoptotic activity against both multi drug-resistant and drug-sensitive hepatoma carcinoma cells in the low µM range.

The results of DeGrado and Kessler inspired us to search for additional scaffolds which would further constrain the tetrapeptide pharmacophore of somatostatin in a rigid type II' β-turn conformation, thereby increasing the potency. We also chose to focus on hydrophobic moieties because a correlation between greater hydrophobicity and higher potency for cyclic hexapeptide somatostatin analogs has been observed.\textsuperscript{76}

### 2.3.2 Somatostatin analogs containing a dibenzofuran scaffold

#### 2.3.2.1 Design of the compounds

The focus of our research was on turn scaffolds which were hydrophobic, capable of readily joining two ends of a tetrapeptide, and arraying the D-Trp\textsuperscript{8}-Lys\textsuperscript{9} dipeptide in the \(i+2\) and \(i+3\) positions of a β-turn. Another requirement was that the molecule contained adequate conformational constraint to hold the ends of the tetrapeptide sequence in the appropriate orientation without significantly changing its own conformation.
S. Jiang of the Goodman laboratories utilized the distance geometry (DG) program, DGII, to model the somatostatin tetrapeptide pharmacophore cyclized with an array of known aromatic scaffolds (pictured in Figure 2-23). Minimum energy conformations of the highest populated clusters obtained from free molecular dynamics simulations were superimposed onto the known geometry of L-363,301 [2-4] (Figure 2-5) to find the closest conformational match. A dibenzofuran (DBF) based scaffold’s core structure and side chains (yellow) adopted a conformation closest to that of L-363,301 (magenta), as shown in Figure 2-26.

**Figure 2-26:** The lowest energy conformation of the dibenzofuran-based compound is the closest topological match to that of L-363,301 [2-4].
Kelly and coworkers designed the DBF scaffold to array peptide chains in \(\beta\)-sheet structures\(^{136,137}\). Figure 2-27. The DBF scaffold was chosen for our modeling studies because it had been thoroughly characterized and successfully incorporated into peptides. The preliminary modeling studies by S. Jiang of the Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr peptide cyclized onto Kelly’s scaffold indicated that the amino acid side chains were arrayed in a spatial orientation similar to that of L-363,301 [2-4].

![Figure 2-27: Kelly’s dibenzofuran based scaffold was designed to array \(\beta\)-sheet structures.]

Based on the results of the modeling studies, we decided to synthesize two dibenzofuran-scaffolded somatostatin analogs, shown in Figure 2-28. Analog [2-48] is based on L-363,301 [2-4], and contains the tetrapeptide sequence Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr, while analog [2-49] is based on MK678 [2-5] and contains the tetrapeptide sequence Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val. The following section describes our synthesis of the dibenzofuran scaffold. We viewed the original published synthesis of the dibenzofuran scaffold\(^{137}\) as
a starting template for developmental work which would refine aspects of the published synthesis and maximize yields.

![Chemical structures](image)

**Figure 2-28:** Two dibenzofuran constrained somatostatin analogs based on the classical somatostatin hexapeptides, L-363,301 [2-4] and MK678 [2-5].

### 2.3.2.2 Synthesis of the dibenzofuran scaffold

Scheme 2-1 depicts the synthesis of the DBF scaffold which commences with lithiation of the 4-position of the DBF ring system [2-50] using n-butyl lithium. The addition of trimethylsilyl chloride (TMS-Cl) silylates the 4-position, which can be transformed to an iodide later in the synthesis. 4-TMS-dibenzofuran [2-51] was obtained in 85% yield after recrystallization from cold ACN which greatly enhanced
the purity by removing trace amounts of di- and tri-substituted material; the presence of which was found to complicate later steps of the synthesis.

Scheme 2-1: Synthesis of the dibenzofuran-based scaffold includes two Heck cross-coupling reactions.
Lithiation of position 6 followed by iodination provided the Heck precursor [2-52] in 80% yield after recrystallization from cold ACN; another important purification step. Palladium acetate catalyzed Heck cross-coupling conditions with triorthotolylphosphine and ethyl acrylate required 4 eq. of TEA in order to attach the unsaturated ester handle to 4-TMS-6-iododibenzofuran [2-52] in 80% yield.

Ipso substitution of the TMS group of compound [2-53] with iodochloride (ICl) provided the 4-iodinated species [2-54] in 80% yield. Iodinated compound [2-54] was found to be sensitive to light and silica gel chromatography. Light exposure had to be limited, and purification was achieved by recrystallization from cold ACN. The purified material was quickly subjected to the second Heck cross-coupling reaction with acrylic acid and provided the differentiated, di-substituted dibenzofuran compound in 60% yield. Both double bonds were reduced using palladium-mediated catalytic hydrogenation. Numerous anhydrous conditions were explored for the hydrogenation reaction. The addition of MeOH or EtOH to the reaction mixture aided in the reduction of the double bonds, but also esterified the free acid side-chain through substrate-assisted acid catalysis. A catalytic amount of water to an EtOAc/THF solvent system was essential for the catalytic reduction to proceed. Using this solvent mixture, intermediate [2-55] was obtained in quantitative yield.

In the published synthesis of the DBF scaffold, transformation of the acid functionality of compound [2-55] to a urethane protected amine was reported to be achieved directly through a Schmidt rearrangement in 70%-75% yield.136,138 In our
hands, yields for this reaction were significantly lower which forced us to explore other routes. We chose to utilize Hoffman conditions, as described in Scheme 2-2.

![Scheme 2-2](image)

**Scheme 2-2**: The continued synthesis of the dibenzofuran-based scaffold which highlights the use of a Hoffman rearrangement to provide the Boc-protected amino acid.

Carboxylic acid [2-55] was dissolved in DCM and treated with oxalyl chloride at 0 °C. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue redissolved in DCM. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and a catalytic amount of DMF
was added followed by drop-wise addition of ammonium hydroxide. The terminal amide [2-56] was obtained in 96% yield. Exposure of amide [2-56] to Hoffman conditions using mercury acetate and N-bromosuccinimide in the presence of t-butanol provided the Boc-protected amine in 80% yield. The fully protected amino acid [2-57] could be stored at room temperature for long periods of time without degrading. When required, the Boc protecting group could be easily removed under standard acidic conditions to provide the free amino compound [2-58], ready for coupling to the desired peptides.

2.3.2.3 Synthesis of the dipeptides and coupling to the scaffold

Initially, we synthesized the tetrapeptides, Boc-Phe-D-Trp(For)-Lys(Z)-Thr(Bzl)-COOH and Boc-Tyr(Bzl)-D-Trp(For)-Lys(Z)-Val-COOH in solution and coupled them to the free amine handle of dibenzofuran scaffold [2-58]. The ethyl ester was saponified from the scaffold handles and the Boc-group was removed from the N-terminus of each of the peptides. However, the compounds would not cyclize in appreciable yields, though numerous reagents and conditions were explored. We presumed that the ring system was too constrained to allow the peptides to cyclize down onto the scaffolds. To circumvent this problem, we synthesized the comparable sets of dipeptides, Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-COOH [2-61] and H-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-68], Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-COOH [2-63] and H-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-70], attached each pair to the scaffold, and cyclized each of the peptides in solution in good yields.
The syntheses of the two lysine-containing dipeptides are depicted in Scheme 2-3. Z-Lys(Boc)-OH [2-59] was coupled to H-Thr(t-But)-OMe [2-60] using EDC and HOBT, and to H-Val-OMe [2-66] using DEPBT and TEA to provide the desired peptides in 73% and 85% yields, respectively. Saponification of the methyl esters of both peptides was achieved quantitatively with LiOH in a MeOH/water mixture.

![Scheme 2-3: Syntheses of the two Lysine-containing dipeptides.](image)

Suitably protected D-Trp analogs were not commercially available. Scheme 2-4 describes the protection strategy that we employed to synthesize the desired orthogonally protected D-Trp compounds. Z-D-Trp-OH [2-64] was esterified with
thionyl chloride in methanol in quantitative yield. The nitrogen of the indole ring of the tryptophan was then Boc-protected with Boc-anhydride and a catalytic amount of dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) in ACN to provide the fully protected amino acid in 72% yield. Removal of the Z-protecting group was achieved with palladium catalyzed hydrogenation and provided H-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-66] in quantitative yield.

Scheme 2-4: Synthesis of an orthogonally protected D-Trp analog which was not commercially available.

Z-Phe-OH [2-67] and Z-Tyr(t-But)-OH [2-69] were each coupled to the synthesized D-Trp analog [2-66] using DEPBT to provide the fully protected dipeptides in 80% and 60% yields, respectively (Scheme 2-5). Removal of the Z-protecting group was achieved on both molecules using catalytic hydrogenation to give the desired free amines of both dipeptides in quantitative yields. The possibility of diketopiperazine formation was a concern when freeing the amine of the dipeptides. However, the formation of diketopiperazines was not observed with either dipeptide.
The synthesized L-363,301 [2-4] analogous dipeptides were attached to the dibenzofuran scaffold [2-58] as shown in Scheme 2-6. The free amine of scaffold [2-58] was coupled to the carboxylic acid of Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-OH [2-61] with DEPBT in 70% yield. The ethyl ester of the scaffold was then saponified quantitatively with LiOH. The free carboxylic acid [2-71] was coupled to H-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-68] using DEPBT in 70% yield. Deprotection of the amine function using hydrogenation and saponification of the methyl ester with LiOH were both achieved in quantitative yields to provide the cyclization precursor [2-72].
Scheme 2-6: Coupling of the L-363,301 [2-4]-analogous dipeptides to the dibenzofuran scaffold.

Treatment of analog [2-72] with DEPBT and TEA for two days in dilute DCM closed the ring in 40% yield. The side-chain protecting groups were removed by
treatment with TFA in the presence of anisole. The final analog [2-48] was isolated in 44% yield after preparative RP-HPLC purification.

Scheme 2-7: Cyclization and final deprotection of Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr-dibenzofuran analog [2-48].

The second analog, Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val [2-49], based on MK678 [2-5], was synthesized in a similar manner. The coupling of Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-OH [2-63] and
H-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-70] to the dibenzofuran scaffold [2-58] are shown in Scheme 2-8 while the cyclization and deprotection are shown in Scheme 2-9.

**Scheme 2-8:** Coupling of the MK678 [2-5]-analogous dipeptides to the dibenzofuran scaffold.
Both of the final compounds [2-48] and [2-49] were purified by preparative RP-HPLC and were characterized by gradient and isocratic analytical RP-HPLC, $^1$H NMR, mass spectrometry (ESI) and high resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometry and elemental analysis.
2.3.2.4  NMR analysis

The 2D-NMR TOCSY and ROESY spectra of compounds [2-48] and [2-49] were recorded on a 700 MHz spectrometer in DMSO-d$_6$ and sequentially assigned by collaborators in the Melacini laboratory at McMaster University. Both analogs displayed strong D-Trp $\alpha$-H-Lys NH ROEs, indicating a distance of $\leq 2.5$ Å between those protons.

Figure 2-29: ROE data for the Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr analog [2-48] demonstrates the strong D-Trp $\alpha$-H-Lys NH ROE and the medium Lys NH-Thr NH ROE, both of which support the presence of a type II' $\beta$-turn.
Figure 2-30: ROE data for the Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val analog [2-49] demonstrates the strong D-Trp α-H-Lys NH ROE and the medium Lys NH-Val NH ROE, both of which support the presence of a type II' β-turn.

A medium Lys NH-Thr NH or Lys NH-Val NH ROE was also identified in each respective compound which indicated a distance of $> 2.5 \leq 3.5$ Å between those protons. These ROEs are indicative of the type II' β-turn spanning the D-Trp-Lys residues, as well as the Thr NH-Phe C=O or Val NH-Phe C=O hydrogen bond, as previously established in our laboratories. The ROE data for the
Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr analog \([2-48]\) are provided in Figure 2-29, while the ROE data for the Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val analog \([2-49]\) are provided in Figure 2-30.

### 2.3.2.5 Results of the binding assays

The binding affinities of somatostatin and compounds \([2-48]\) and \([2-48]\) were evaluated by collaborators in the Kumar laboratories at McGill University. Each subtype of the hsst receptors was individually expressed in CHO-K1 cells. Competitive binding assays were then performed using radio-iodinated SRIF as the standard. The results of the assays measured by our collaborators are listed in Table 2-8, as well as the literature reported binding affinities of somatostatin \([2-1]\), L-363,301 \([2-4]\), and MK678 \([2-5]\).

Table 2-8: Binding affinities \((K_i \text{ in nM})\) of synthesized somatostatin analogs for the five human somatostatin receptor subtypes hsst1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>hsst1</th>
<th>hsst2</th>
<th>hsst3</th>
<th>hsst4</th>
<th>hsst5</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin(^a) ([2-1])</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-363,301(^a) ([2-4])</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK678(^a) ([2-5])</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin(^b) ([2-1])</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analog ([2-48])</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analog ([2-49])</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Previously published results. \(^b\) Results from this set of assays.
The synthesized compounds [2-48] and [2-49] bound to the receptor subtypes in the low µM range. Just like L-363,301 [2-4], neither compound showed any affinity for hsst1 or hsst4. The Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr compound [2-48] was slightly selective for hsst2 by about a factor of two over hsst3 and hsst5 and its selectivity was comparable to its parent compound, L-363,301. Unlike its parent compound MK678 [2-5], the Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val analog [2-49] displayed no affinity for hsst5. Compound [2-49] was selective for hsst2 over hsst3 by about a factor of two. While we had hoped that constraining the somatostatin tetrapeptide pharmacophores would improve hsst2 selectivity, but in these cases, we did not achieve that goal. These results indicate that conformational restriction is not the only factor which contributes to hsst2 selectivity.

2.4 Conclusions

A variety of aromatic scaffolds were virtually incorporated into the somatostatin tetrapeptide pharmacophore and modeled using molecular dynamics simulations to find a scaffold whose topography was closest to that of L-263,301 [2-4]. This search provided us with a lead scaffold based on dibenzofuran, [2-57], which we planned to incorporate into the somatostatin tetrapeptide sequences, Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr, based on L-363,301 [2-4], and Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val, based on MK678 [2-5].

We synthesized the dibenzofuran-based scaffold [2-57] in solution in good yield by modifying a published synthesis. Lack of commercial availability required us to synthesize appropriately protected H-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-66]. All four
dipeptides, Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-but)-OH [2-61], Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-OH [2-63], H-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-68], and H-Tyr(t-but)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-70] were also synthesized in solution in good yields, without the formation of diketopiperazine side products. The dipeptides were coupled to the scaffold in solution, and both fully deprotected final compounds were purified by preparative RP-HPLC and characterized by $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry.

TOCSY and ROESY 2D-NMR spectroscopy of both compounds provided ROEs which supported the presence of a type II' β-turn spanning the D-Trp-Lys residues, as well as the Thr NH-Phe C=O or Val NH-Phe C=O hydrogen bond, as previously suggested in our laboratories.\textsuperscript{139}

The binding affinities of somatostatin and compounds [2-48] and [2-49] were evaluated for potency selectivity for each subtype of the hsst receptors in competitive binding assays. Neither compound showed any affinity for hsst1 or hsst4. The Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr compound [2-48] was slightly selective for hsst2 (2 µM) by about a factor of two over hsst3 (4.2 µM) and hsst5 (3.5 µM). Unlike its parent compound MK678 [2-5], the Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val analog [2-49] did not display any affinity for hsst5. Compound [2-49] was selective for hsst2 (2.2 µM) over hsst3 (5.5 µM) by about a factor of two. While we had hoped that constraining the somatostatin tetrapeptide pharmacophores would improve hsst2 potency and selectivity over the parent compound L-363,301 [2-4], in these cases, we did not achieve that goal. These results indicate that conformational restriction is not the only factor which contributes to hsst2 selectivity.
Both dibenzofuran-scaffolded compounds, \([2-48]\) and \([2-49]\), were one to two orders of magnitude less potent in binding to the hsst receptors when compared to parent compound L-363,301 \([2-4]\). The loss of potency could be attributed to the lack of water solubility of both compounds, or to the inability of the dibenzofuran to fit into or interact with the receptors. Perhaps the scaffold was too rigid or planar to elicit strong binding to the receptors. Future scaffolds could be designed which incorporate polar, solubilizing groups to alleviate solubility problems. While initial molecular studies showed that the dibenzofuran compounds exhibited a conformation similar to that of L-363,301, a scaffold with slightly more flexibility could provide further insight into the conformational requirements for somatostatin receptor binding potency and subtype selectivity.
2.5 Experimental

2.5.1 General

The reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Acros Organics and used without further purification. \( n \)-Butyl lithium was standardized prior to each use by titration using diphenylacetic acid as an indicator. All standard amino acids were donated by Senn Chemicals, U.S.A. THF was dried by refluxing over sodium/benzophenone. DCM and TEA were dried by refluxing over calcium hydride. ACN and DMF were dried by storing over activated molecular sieves for 2 days. Silica gel 60 (230 – 400 mesh) was purchased from EM Scientific was used for column chromatography.

Reactions in solution were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60F-254) and UV light as the visualizing agent. The NH-containing compounds were visualized by exposing the TLC plate to chlorine gas (generated by dissolving potassium permanganate in concentrated HCl) for 30-60 seconds. The TLC plate was then dipped into a solution of KI and \( o \)-tolidine (500 mg KI in 50 ml water combined with a solution of \( o \)-tolidine in 45 ml water and 8 ml glacial acetic acid). To confirm the presence of a free amine, TLC plates were dipped into a 2% ninhydrin/ethanol solution and then heated. The following solvent systems were used to elute the TLCs: (A) 2% EtOAc/ hex, (B) 5% EtOAc/ hex, (C) 10% EtOAc/ hex, (D) 20% EtOAc/ hex, (E) 1:1 EtOAc:hex, (F) 3:2 EtOAc:hex, (G) 2:1 EtOAc:hex, (H) EtOAc, (I) 10% MeOH/ DCM.
The NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian HG-400 (400 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts (\(\delta\)) are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual undeuterated solvent as an internal standard. The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, bs = broad singlet, bm = broad multiplet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, dm = doublet of multiplets, dbm = doublet of broad multiplets.

Mass spectra were obtained from The Scripps Research Institute (TSRI), La Jolla, CA mass spectrometry facility using electrospray (ESI) and MALDI-FTMS techniques.

Analytical RP-HPLC was carried out on a Millenium 2010 system consisting of a Waters 715 Ultra WISP sample processor, a Waters TM 996 photodiode array detector, two Waters 510 pumps, and a NEC Power Mate 485/331 computer. The column was an Akzo Nobel KR100-5C18 kromasil column (250 mm x 4.6 mm). A 1 mg/ml solution of each compound was made and 10 µl were injected at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. Spectra were recorded at a wave length of \(\lambda = 220\) nm. The solvents used were as follows: solvent A = 0.1% TFA in water, solvent B = 0.1% TFA in ACN.

Preparative RP-HPLC was carried out using a Waters 600 E system controller, a Waters 484 tunable absorbance detector, two Waters 510 pumps, and a C-18 preparative column (I.D. = 22 mm x 550 mm long, 10 microns, 100Å). Spectra were recorded at a wave length of \(\lambda = 220\) nm. The solvents used were as follows: solvent A = 0.1% TFA in water, solvent B = 0.1% TFA in ACN, at a flow rate of 20 ml/min.
2.5.2 Synthesis of the dibenzofuran scaffold

4-Trimethylsilyldibenzofuran [2-51]: A flame dried flask, fitted with a jacketed condenser and addition funnel under Ar atmosphere, was charged with dibenzofuran [2-50] (20.0 g, 118.92 mmol) and flushed several times with Ar. Distilled THF was added (200 ml), and the solution cooled to -78 °C in a dry ice/isopropanol bath. The addition funnel was charged with n-butyl lithium (52.3 ml, 2.18 M in hexanes, 130.82 mmol) and flushed with Ar. The butyl lithium solution was added, drop-wise, over 1 h. The reaction was then allowed to warm to r.t. and stir under Ar for 3 h over which time the reaction became dark orange in color. The reaction was again cooled to -78 °C. The addition funnel was washed with 25 ml distilled THF and then charged with trimethylsilyl chloride (16.6 ml, 130.82 mmol) in distilled THF (150 ml). The solution was added, drop-wise, over 1 h. The reaction was then warmed to r.t. and placed into an oil bath. It was heated to 70 °C and left to reflux under Ar for 12 h. The reaction became pale yellow. The reaction was cooled to r.t. and poured into 0 °C water (200 ml) and stirred vigorously. The organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure. The resulting aqueous mixture was extracted with DCM (5 x 100 ml). The organic washes were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to a yellow oil. The white solid product was
recrystallized from the oil with cold ACN to give 24.30 g (85% yield). \( R_f = 0.47 \) in solvent system (A); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.95 (overlapping d, 2 H, \( J = 7.6, 5.2 \) Hz, arom H1, H9), 7.56 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, arom H3), 7.51 (dd, 1 H, \( J = 7.6, 6.8 \) Hz, arom H7), 7.43 (t, 1 H, \( J = 7.2, 8.4 \) Hz, arom H4), 7.32 (m, 2 H, arom H2, H8), 0.45 (s, 9 H, TMS); MS (GCMS) 240 [M]+.

![Diagram](image)

**6-Iodo-4-trimethylsilyldibenzofuran [2-52]:** A flame dried flask, fitted with an addition funnel, was charged with 4-trimethylsilyldibenzofuran [2-51] (24.0 g, 99.8 mmol) and flushed several times with Ar. Freshly distilled THF (400 ml) was added and the solution cooled to -78 \( ^\circ \)C in a dry ice/isopropanol bath. \( n \)-Butyl lithium (59.5 ml, 2.18 M in hexanes, 129.74 mmol) was added to the addition funnel, and then added to the reaction, drop-wise, over 30 min. The reaction was then warmed to r.t. and left to stir for 4 h under Ar during which it changed from bright yellow to blood red in color. The reaction was again cooled to -78 \( ^\circ \)C. A second oven dried flask was charged with iodine (50.7 g, 199.6 mmol) in distilled THF (200 ml) and flushed with Ar. The iodine solution was then transferred to the cooled reaction vessel via a cannula. The flask was washed with two additional 100 ml portions of THF which were added to the reaction. The reaction was then left to warm to r.t. and stir under Ar
over night. The reaction became dark red-brown in color. It was poured into a 20% solution of NaHSO₃ (400 ml) and stirred until the color changed to yellow. The organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure. The resulting aqueous mixture was extracted with DCM (5 x 200 ml). The organic washes were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to a dark orange, viscous, oil. The product was crystallized from cold ACN to provide the product as an off-white solid (29.24 g, 80% yield). R₇ = 0.36 in solvent system (A), R₇ = 0.47 in solvent system (B); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.90 (dd, 2 H, J = 7.2, 7.2 Hz, arom H1, H9), 7.78 (d, 1 H, J = 7.6 Hz, arom H3), 7.54 (d, 1 H, J = 7.2 Hz, arom H7), 7.35 (t, 1 H, J = 7.2, 7.6 Hz, arom H8), 7.08 (t, 1 H, J = 8, 7.6 Hz, arom H2), 0.49 (s, 9 H, TMS); MS (GCMS) 366 [M]+.

6-(2-Ethoxycarbonyl-vinyl)-4-trimethylsilyldibenzofuran [2-53]: A flame dried flask fitted with a jacketed water condenser was charged with 6-iodo-4-trimethylsilyldibenzofuran [2-52] (14.00 g, 38.22 mmol), palladium acetate (0.180 g, 2.1 mol%), triorthotolylphosphine (0.594 g, 5.1 mol%), and flushed several times with
Ar. Dry ACN (300 ml) was then added, followed by ethyl acrylate (16.57 ml, 152.88 mmol) and TEA (15.93 ml, 114.60 mmol). The reaction was heated to 85 °C in an oil bath and left to reflux over night under Ar. The dark brown reaction was cooled to r.t. and filtered to remove the black precipitate. The filtrate was then concentrated to a dark brown solid which was dissolved onto silica gel using DCM. It was purified by column chromatography in 5% EtOAc:hex to afford 10.35 g of a beige solid (80% yield). R_f = 0.18 in solvent system (B); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.95 (m, 2 H, arom H1, H9), 7.92 (d, 1 H, J = 16.4 Hz, α-vinylic H), 7.55 (t, 2 H, J = 10, 8.4 Hz, arom H3, H7), 7.35 (dt, 2 H, J = 7.6, 6.8 Hz, arom H2, H8), 7.15 (d, 1 H, J = 16.4 Hz, β-vinylic H), 4.30 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2, 6.8 Hz, CH₂), 1.37 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₃), 0.52 (s, 9 H, TMS); MS (ESI) 339 [M+H]^+, 361 [M+Na]^+.

6-(2-Ethoxycarbonylvinyl)-4-iododibenzofuran [2-54]: A flame dried flask was charged with 6-(2-ethoxycarbonyl-vinyl)-4-trimethylsilyldibenzofuran [2-53] (8.55 g, 25.26 mmol), K₂CO₃ (10.47 g, 75.78 mmol), carbon tetrachloride (100 ml), and flushed several times with Ar. It was cooled to –20 °C in a dry ice/ isopropanol bath.
A second flame dried flask was charged with iodochloride (12.30 g, 75.78 mmol) and carbon tetrachloride (25 ml) and flushed with Ar. The iodochloride solution was then added to the cooled solution via a cannula. The flask was rinsed with carbon tetrachloride (3 x 25 ml) and the rinses added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was wrapped in aluminum foil and left to warm to r.t. while stirring under Ar over night. The purple solution was poured into a 20% solution of sodium thiosulfate (200 ml) and stirred vigorously until the solution became colorless. The layers were then separated and the aqueous layer extracted with DCM (5 x 50 ml). The combined organic washes were washed with 20% sodium thiosulfate (1 x 25 ml), 1N HCl (1 x 25 ml), 1N NaOH (1 x 25 ml), brine (1 x 25 ml), and dried over Na₂SO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to a beige gel. The gel was crystallized with cold ACN to give 7.93 g of a powdery white solid (80% yield). R_f = 0.23 in solvent system (C), R_f = 0.57 in solvent system (F); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.85 (m, 3 H, arom H1, H3, H9), 7.40 (m, 2 H, arom H2, H7), 7.12 (t, 1 H, J = 7.8 Hz, arom H8), 5.86 (d, 1 H, J = 11.7 Hz, α-vinyl H), 5.47 (d, 1 H, J = 11.4 Hz, β-vinyl H), 4.38 (q, 2 H, J = 6.9, 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 1.38 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₃); MS (GCMS) 392 [M]⁺.
4-(2-Carboxyvinyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylvinyl)-dibenzofuran: A flame dried flask fitted with a jacketed water condenser was charged with 6-(2-ethoxycarbonylvinyl)-4-iododibenzofuran [2-54] (7.50 g, 19.12 mmol), palladium acetate (0.09 g, 2.2 mol%), and triorthotolylphosphine (0.29 g, 5.0 mol%), and flushed several times with Ar. Dry ACN (45 ml) was then added, followed by acrylic acid (3.9 ml, 57.36 mmol) and TEA (10.6 ml, 76.48 mmol). The reaction was heated to 85 °C in an oil bath and left to reflux over night under Ar. The dark brown reaction was cooled to r.t. and filtered to remove the black precipitate. The filtrate was then concentrated under reduced pressure to a dark brown solid which was then taken up in DCM and dissolved onto silica gel. The crude material was purified by column chromatography in 3:2 EtOAc:hex to afford 3.86 g of a beige solid (60% yield). R_f = 0.25 in solvent system (F); 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.07 (d, 1 H, J = 16 Hz, α-vinylic H), 7.98 (d, 1 H, J = 16 Hz, α-vinylic H), 7.94 (t, 2 H, J = 7.6, 6.8 Hz, arom H1, H9), 7.58 (dd, 2 H, J = 7.6, 6.8 Hz, arom H3, H7), 7.38 (dt, 2 H, J = 7.6 Hz, arom H2, H8), 7.07 (d, 1 H, J = 16, β-vinylic H), 7.01 (d, 1 H, J = 16 Hz, β-vinylic H), 4.34 (q, 2 H, J = 6.8 7.2 Hz, CH₂), 1.42 (t, 3 H, J = 6.8, 7.2 Hz, CH₃); MS (ESI) 337 [M+H]^+, 359 [M+Na]^+, 335 [M-H]^-, 371 [M+Cl]^-. 
4-(2-Carboxyethyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-dibenzofuran [2-55]:

4-(2-Carboxyvinyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylvinyl)-dibenzofuran (3.75 g, 11.15 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of THF (150 ml) and EtOAc (37.5 ml) in a Parr hydrogenation flask. Three drops of water were added, followed by 10% palladium on carbon (0.750 g). The flask was placed onto the Parr hydrogenator and shaken under 63 psi of H₂ for 4 h. The reaction was then filtered over celite to remove the catalyst and the filter agent washed with DCM. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 3.80 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. R_f = 0.34 in solvent system (F), R_f = 0.31 in solvent system (G); ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (dt, 2 H, J = 6.8, 7.6 Hz, arom H1, H9), 7.30 (m, 4 H, arom H2, H3, H7, H8), 4.15 (q, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz, OCH₂), 3.34 (dt, 4 H, J = 7.2, 7.6, 8.4 Hz, α-CH₂), 2.85 (dt, 4 H, J = 7.2, 7.6, 8.0 Hz, β-CH₂), 1.23 (t, 3 H, J = 7.2 Hz, CH₃); MS (ESI) 341 [M+H]^+, 363 [M+Na]^+, 339 [M-H]^-, 375 [M+Cl]^-. 
4-(2-Amidoethyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-dibenzofuran [2-56]: A flame dried flask was charged with 4-(2-carboxethyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-dibenzofuran [2-55] (3.75 g, 11.02 mmol), DCM (200 ml), DMF (3 drops), and flushed with Ar. The solution was cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath, and oxalyl chloride (1.93 ml, 22.04 mmol) was added, drop-wise, over 15 min. The reaction was allowed to slowly warm to r.t. It was then concentrated under reduced pressure to dryness and the residue taken up in DCM (200 ml). The reaction was again cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath and ammonium hydroxide (~50 ml) was added, drop-wise, over 15 min. with vigorous stirring. The reaction was allowed to warm to r.t. The solution was then acidified to pH=2 with 1N HCl, which caused a precipitate to form. The mixture was extracted with DCM (5 x 10 ml). The combined organic washes were dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to 3.80 g of a beige solid in quantitative yield. R₉ = 0.11 in solvent system (G), R₉ = 0.33 in solvent system (H); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (d, 2 H, J = 7.2 Hz, arom H1, H9), 7.26 (m, 4 H, arom H2, H3, H7, H8), 5.88, 5.34 (bs, 2 H, NH₂), 4.07 (q, 2 H, J = 6.8, 7.6 Hz, OCH₂), 3.32 (dt, 4 H, J = 6.8, 7.6 Hz, ß-CH₂), 2.81 (m, 4 H, ß-CH₂), 1.17 (t, 3 H,
\[ J = 6.8, \ 7.6 \text{ Hz, CH}_3; \text{ MS (ESI)} \ 340 \ [M+H]^+, \ 362 \ [M+Na]^+, \ 338 \ [M-H]^-, \ 374 \ [M+Cl]^; \text{ HRMS (MALDI)} \ 340.154 \ [M+H]^+, \ 362.133 \ [M+Na]^+. \]

4-(2-\text{-Butyloxy carbonylaminoethyl})-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-dibenzofuran [2-57]: An oven dried flask fitted with a jacketed water condenser was charged with mercury(II)acetate (6.57 g, 20.62 mmol) and flushed with Ar. 4-(2-Amidoethyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-dibenzofuran [2-56] (3.50 g, 10.31 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (30 ml) and added to the reaction flask with a syringe. \text{-Butanol (24.5 ml, 257.75 mmol) was added, followed by a solution of } N\text{-bromosuccinimide (3.60 g, 20.62 mmol) in dry DMF (35 ml). The reaction was stirred at r.t. for 30 min., then heated to 75 °C in an oil bath (solution became yellow) and was left to stir at that temperature over night under Ar. The reaction became orange with a white solid dispersed in it. The reaction was cooled to r.t. and concentrated under reduced pressure to dryness. The residue was then suspended in DCM and filtered to remove the white solid. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to an orange gel which was dissolved in 20% EtOAc:hex and purified by column chromatography in
20% EtOAc:hex. A slightly yellow solid was obtained (3.40 g, 80%). $R_f = 0.26$ in solvent system (D), $R_f = 0.58$ in solvent system (H); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.78 (d, 2 H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, arom H1, H9), 7.26 (m, 4 H, arom H2, H3, H7, H8), 4.70 (bs, 1 H, NH), 4.12 (q, 2 H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, OCH$_2$), 3.56 (m, 2 H, $\beta$-CH$_2$, amine arm), 3.31 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.6$, 8 Hz, $\beta$-CH$_2$ ester arm), 3.17 (t, 2 H, $J = 6.4$, 6.8 Hz, $\alpha$-CH$_2$ amine arm), 2.81 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.6$, 8 Hz, $\beta$-CH$_2$ ester arm), 1.37 (s, 9 H, t-But), 1.22 (t, 3 H, $J = 6.8$, 7.2 Hz, CH$_3$); $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 172.6 (1 C, COO of ester), 155.6 (1 C, COO of Boc), 154.3 (1 C, arom C4a), 154.0 (1 C, arom C6a), 127.5 (1 C, arom C1a), 126.9 (2 C, arom C3, C7), 124.2 (1 C, arom C4), 123.9 (1 C, arom C6), 122.7 (2 C, arom C2, C9), 118.8 (1 C, arom C9a), 118.6 (2 C, arom C1, C9), 60.4 (2 C, OCH$_2$), 40.5 (1 C, t-but C), 34.2 (1 C, N-CH$_2$), 30.5 (1 C, ester $\beta$-CH$_2$), 28.4 (3 C, t-but CH$_3$), 25.4 (2 C, $\alpha$-CH$_2$ of both arms), 14.2 (1 C, ester CH$_3$); HRMS (MALDI-FTMS) 434.193 [M+Na]$^+$. 

![Chemical structure diagram]

4-(2-Aminoethyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-dibenzofuran [2-58]:

4-(2-t-Butyloxycarbonylaminoethyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-dibenzofuran [2-57] (0.400 g, 0.98 mmol) was dissolved in 4N HCl/dioxane (30 ml) and stirred for 1 h.
The solvents were then removed under reduced pressure to afford the free amino compound as a white solid (0.340 g, quantitative). \( R_f = 0.08 \) in solvent system (D), \( R_f = 0.23 \) in solvent system (I); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.76 (d, 2 H, \( J = 6.8 \) Hz, arom H1, H9), 7.25 (m, 4 H, arom H2, H3, H7, H8), 4.10 (q, 2 H, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, OCH\(_2\)), 3.28 (t, 2 H, \( J = 7.6, 8 \) Hz, \( \beta \)-CH\(_2\), amine arm), 3.16 (m, 4 H, \( \beta \)-CH\(_2\) ester arm, \( \alpha \)-CH\(_2\) amine arm), 2.79 (t, 2 H, \( J = 7.6, 8 \) Hz, \( \beta \)-CH\(_2\) ester arm), 2.14 (s, 2 H, NH\(_2\)), 1.20 (t, 3 H, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, CH\(_3\)); MS (ESI) 312 [M+H]\(^+\).

2.5.3 Syntheses of the dipeptides

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-OMe:} & \quad \text{Z-Lys(Boc)-OH} \ [2-59] \ (5.0 \ g, 13.14 \ mmol), \ EDC \ (3.02 \ g, 15.77 \ mmol), \ HOBt \ (2.13 \ g, 15.77 \ mmol), \ \text{and DIEA (9.38 ml, 52.56 mmol)} \\
& \quad \text{were dissolved in DCM (75 ml) and stirred for 10 min. H-Thr(t-But)-OH \cdot HCl} \ [2-60] \\
& \quad (2.74 \ g, 14.46 \ mmol) \text{ was then added and the colorless solution left to stir over night.}
\end{align*} \]
residue dissolved in EtOAc (50 ml). It was then washed with 1N HCl (3 x 25 ml), conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 25 ml), brine (1 x 25 ml), and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to a gold foam. The crude material was dissolved in warm 1:1 EtOAc:hex and purified by column chromatography in the same solvent system to give a 5.30 g white solid (73% yield). Rₛ = 0.30 in solvent system (E), Rₛ = 0.56 in solvent system (H), Rₛ = 0.63 in solvent system (I); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.32 (m, 5 H, arom), 6.49 (d, 1 H, J = 10 Hz, Lys α-NH), 5.52 (d, 1 H, Lys ζ-NH), 5.09 (s, 2 H, bzl CH₂), 4.74 (bs, 1 H, Thr NH), 4.45 (dd, 1 H, J = 9.2, 8.8 Hz, Thr α-H), 4.24 (m, 2 H, Lys α-H, Thr β-H), 3.69 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.09 (bm, 2 H, Lys ε-CH₂), 1.90, 1.70 (dbm, 2 H, Lys β-CH₂), 1.81 (m, 2 H, Lys δ-CH₂), 1.46 (bm, 2 H, Lys γ-CH₂), 1.40 (s, 9 H, Boc), 1.13 (d, 3 H, Thr CH₃), 1.09 (s, 9 H, O-t-But).

Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-OH [2-61]: Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-OMe (0.761 g, 1.38 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (30 ml). Separately, LiOH · H₂O (0.232 g, 5.53 mmol) was dissolved in H₂O (15 ml), and then added to the MeOH solution. The reaction was
left to stir for 3 h. The organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous mixture acidified to pH = 2 with 1N HCl. It was then extracted with DCM (5 x 20 ml) and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to afford 0.74 g of a white foam in quantitative yield.

\[
\text{\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) } \delta 7.32 \text{ (m, 5 H, arom), 6.83 (d, 1 H, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz, Lys } \alpha\text{-NH), 5.64 (d, 1 H, } J = 10 \text{ Hz, Thr NH), 5.10 (s, 2 H, bzl CH₂), 4.67 (bs, 1 H, Lys } \zeta\text{-NH), 4.45 (m, 1 H, Thr } \alpha\text{-H), 4.31 (m, 1 H, Lys } \alpha\text{-H), 4.22 (m, 1 H, Thr } \beta\text{-H), 3.08 (bm, 2 H, Lys } \varepsilon\text{-CH₂), 1.90, 1.70 (bm, 2 H, Lys } \beta\text{-CH₂), 1.41 (m, 13 H, Lys } \gamma\text{-CH₂, Lys } \delta\text{-CH₂, Boc), 1.26 (s, 9 H, O-}\text{-t-But), 1.09 (d, 3 H, } J = 5.6 \text{ Hz, Thr CH₃).}
\]

**Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-OMe:** Z-Lys(Boc)-OH \textbf{[2-59]} (3.0 g, 7.89 mmol) and DEPBT (2.83 g, 9.47 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (50 ml). TEA (3.95 ml, 28.40 mmol) was added (turned bright yellow) and stirred for 10 min. Val-OMe · HCl \textbf{[2-60]} (1.59 g, 9.47 mmol) was then added and the reaction left to stir overnight. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved onto silica gel using DCM. The crude material was purified by column chromatography in 1:1 EtOAc:hex
to afford 3.32 g of a white solid (85% yield). $R_f = 0.32$ in solvent system (E), $R_f = 0.59$ in solvent system (H); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.30 (m, 5 H, arom), 6.53 (bd, 1 H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, Lys $\alpha$-NH), 5.49 (bd, 1 H, $J = 6$ Hz, Val NH), 5.08 (s, 2 H, bzl CH$_2$), 4.65 (bs, 1 H, Lys $\zeta$-NH), 4.48 (dd, 1 H, $J = 4.8$, 5.2 Hz, Lys $\alpha$-H), 4.27 (m, 1 H, Val $\alpha$-H), 3.71 (s, 3 H, OCH$_3$), 3.06 (bm, 2 H, Lys $\epsilon$-CH$_2$), 2.13 (m, 1 H, Val $\beta$-H), 1.82, 1.63 (bm, 2 H, Lys $\beta$-CH$_2$), 1.42 (m, 2 H, Lys $\delta$-CH$_2$), 1.37 (bm, 2 H, Lys $\gamma$-CH$_2$), 1.39 (s, 9 H, Boc), 0.86 (dd, 6 H, $J = 6.4$, 7.2 Hz, Val CH$_3$).

**Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-OH [2-63]:** Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-OMe (0.51 g, 1.04 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (10 ml). Separately, LiOH $\cdot$ H$_2$O (0.18 g, 2.08 mmol) was dissolved in H$_2$O (5 ml), and then added to the MeOH solution. It was left to stir for 1½ h. The organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous mixture acidified to pH = 2 with 1N HCl. It was then extracted with DCM (5 x 10 ml) and dried over MgSO$_4$. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to afford 0.50 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. $R_f = 0.15$ in solvent system (H); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 9.67 (bs, 1 H, OH) 7.29 (m,
5 H, arom), 7.04 (bd, 1 H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Val NH), 5.97 (d, 1 H, $J = 8$ Hz, Lys $\alpha$-NH), 5.06 (s, 2 H, bzl CH$_2$), 4.86 (bs, 1 H, Lys $\zeta$-NH), 4.49 (bm, 1 H, Lys $\alpha$-H), 4.28 (bs, 1 H, Val $\alpha$-H), 3.02 (bs, 2 H, Lys $\varepsilon$-CH$_2$), 2.18 (m, 1 H, Val $\beta$-H), 1.75, 1.62 (bm, 2 H, Lys $\beta$-CH$_2$), 1.39 (m, 13 H, Lys $\delta$-CH$_2$, Lys $\gamma$-CH$_2$, Boc), 0.89 (overlap d, 6 H, Val CH$_3$).

**Z-D-Trp-OMe [2-65]:** Z-D-Trp-OH [2-64] (5.0 g, 14.78 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (250 ml). SOCl$_2$ (2.15 ml, 29.55 mmol) was added, drop-wise, over 30 min. The reaction was left to stir over night. It was then concentrated under reduced pressure to give 5.75 g of a purple foam in quantitative yield. $R_f = 0.34$ in solvent system (E), $R_f = 0.79$ in solvent system (I); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.20 (bs, 1 H, indole H4), 7.50 (d, 1 H, $J = 8$ Hz, indole H7), 7.31 (m, 6 H, arom Z, indole H2), 7.17 (t, 1 H, $J = 8.0, 6.8$ Hz, indole H6), 7.07 (t, 1 H, $J = 8.0, 6.8$ Hz, indole H5), 6.94 (d, 1 H, $J = 2.0$ Hz, indole H1), 5.29 (d, 1 H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $\alpha$-NH), 5.09 (s, 2 H, Z CH$_2$), 4.70 (dt, 1 H, $J = 5.6, 4.8$ Hz, $\alpha$-H), 3.66 (s, 3 H, OCH$_3$), 3.30 (d, 2 H, $J = 5.2$ Hz, $\beta$-CH$_2$).
Z-d-Trp(Boc)-OMe: Z-d-Trp-OMe [2-65] (2.75 g, 7.09 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (35 ml). Boc₂O (2.32 g, 10.64 mmol) and DMAP (0.087 g, 0.709 mmol) were added and the reaction was left to stir over night. The reaction was then concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in 30% EtOAc:hex. The crude material was purified by column chromatography in 30% EtOAc:hex to give a beige solid (2.31 g, 72% yield). R_f = 0.20 in solvent system (D), R_f = 0.52 in solvent system (E); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 (bd, 1 H, J = 6.0 Hz, indole H4), 7.46 (d, 1 H, J = 7.6 Hz, indole H7), 7.40 (s, 1 H, indole H2), 7.31 (m, 6 H, arom Z, indole H6), 7.19 (t, 1 H, J = 8.0, 6.8 Hz, indole H5), 5.43 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz, α-NH), 5.11 (s, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.73 (dt, 1 H, J = 6.0, 5.2 Hz, α-H), 3.68 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.23 (dd, 2 H, J = 5.2, 5.6 Hz, β-CH₂), 1.65 (s, 9 H, Boc).
**H-d-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-66]**: Z-d-Trp(Boc)-OMe (2.25 g, 4.97 mmol) was dissolved in THF (40 ml). 10% Pd/C (0.35 g) was then added and the reaction placed under H₂ atmosphere to stir over night. The reaction was filtered over celite to remove the catalyst. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a colorless gel (1.58 g, quantitative yield). R_f = 0.09 in solvent system (E), R_f = 0.18 in solvent system (H); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.11 (bs, 1 H, indole H4), 7.51 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz, indole H7), 7.44 (s, 1 H, indole H2), 7.29 (t, 1 H, J = 8.0, 6.8 Hz, indole H6), 7.21 (t, 1 H, J = 8.0, 6.8 Hz, indole H5), 3.81 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.2, 4.8 Hz, αH), 3.69 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.18 (m, 2 H, β-CH₂), 1.64 (s, 9 H, Boc).
**Z-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe:** Z-Phe-OH [2-67] (0.34 g, 1.14 mmol) and DEPBT (0.27 g, 0.91 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (10 ml). TEA (0.21 ml, 1.52 mmol) was added and the reaction became bright yellow. It was stirred for 10 min. H-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-66] (0.240 g, 0.76 mmol) was then added and the reaction left to stir over night. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in EtOAc. It was then washed with 1N HCl (3 x 10 ml), conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 10 ml), brine (1 x 15 ml), and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a gold foam. The crude material was dissolved in 3:2 hex:EtOAc and purified by column chromatography in the same solvent system to afford a white foam (0.36 g, 80% yield). R_f = 0.37 in solvent system (E), R_f = 0.41 in solvent system (I); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.07 (bd, 1 H, J = 6.8 Hz, indole H4), 7.40 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz, indole H7), 7.28 (m, 13 H, arom Z, Phe, indole H6, H5, H2), 6.40 (bs, 1 H, Phe NH), 5.27 (bs, 1 H, D-Trp NH), 4.98 (s, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.85 (q, 1 H, J = 6.0, 7.6 Hz, Phe α-H), 4.43 (d, 1 H, J = 6.0 Hz, D-Trp α-H), 3.60 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.04 (m, 4 H, Phe β-CH₂, D-Trp β-CH₂), 1.63 (s, 9 H, Boc).
H-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-68]: Z-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe (0.35 g, 0.58 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (10 ml). 10% Pd/C (0.05 g) was then added and the reaction placed under H₂ atmosphere to stir over night. The reaction was filtered to remove the catalyst. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a white solid (0.27 g, quantitative yield). Rᵣ = 0.08 in solvent system (E), Rᵣ = 0.14 in solvent system (I); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.06 (bd, 1 H, indole H₄), 7.80 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz, Trp NH), 7.48 (d, 1 H, J = 7.6 Hz, indole H₇), 7.39 (s, 1 H, indole H₂), 7.26 (m, 8 H, arom Phe, indole H₆, H₅), 4.90 (m, 1 H, Phe α-H), 3.68 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.55 (bddd, 1 H, J = 3.6, 9.6 Hz, D-Trp α-H), 3.24 (m, 4 H, Phe β-CH₂, D-Trp β-CH₂), 1.63 (s, 9 H, Boc).
**Z-Tyr(\text{-}But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe:** Z-Tyr(\text{-}But)-OH · DCHA (2.20 g, 3.97 mmol) was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with 1N HCl to liberate the carboxylic acid, and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to afford free carboxylic acid [2-69]. Z-Tyr(\text{-}But)-OH [2-69] was dissolved in DCM (15 ml) and DEPBT (1.19 g, 3.97 mmol) and TEA (0.92 ml, 6.62 mmol) were added and stirred for 10 min. H-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-66] (1.05 g, 3.31 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (15 ml) and added to the reaction. It was left to stir over night. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in EtOAc. It was then washed with 1N HCl (3 x 30 ml), conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 30 ml), brine (1 x 30 ml), and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a gold foam. The crude material was dissolved in 1:1 EtOAc:hex and purified by column chromatography in the same solvent mixture to afford a white foam (1.33 g, 60% yield). \( R_f = 0.43 \) in solvent system (E); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta \): 8.07 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, indole H4), 7.42 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, indole H7), 7.35 (s, 1 H, indole H2), 7.26 (m, 7 H, arom Z, indole H6, H5), 6.96 (d, 2 H, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, Tyr arom), 6.81 (d, 2 H, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, Tyr arom), 6.61 (bs, 1 H, Tyr NH), 5.37 (bs, 1 H, D-Trp NH), 4.98 (s, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.85 (q, 1 H, \( J = 6.8, 7.6, 6.0 \) Hz, Tyr NH).
α-H), 4.43 (d, 1 H, $J = 6.0$ Hz, D-Trp α-H), 3.58 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.07 (m, 2 H, Tyr β-CH₂), 2.97 (m, 2 H, D-Trp β-CH₂), 1.62 (s, 9 H, Boc), 1.27 (s, 9 H, t-But).

**H-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-70]:** Z-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe (0.44 g, 0.65 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (250 ml). 10% Pd/C (0.07 g) was then added and the reaction placed under H₂ atmosphere to stir over night. The reaction was filtered to remove the catalyst. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a white solid (0.35 g, quantitative yield). Rf = 0.08 in solvent system (E); $¹H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.04 (bd, 1 H, $J = 6.4$ Hz, indole H4), 7.89 (d, 1 H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, D-Trp NH), 7.45 (d, 1 H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, indole H7), 7.38 (s, 1 H, indole H2), 7.26 (m, 1 H, indole H6), 7.19 (m, 1 H, indole H5), 7.01 (d, 2 H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Tyr arom), 6.85 (d, 2 H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Tyr arom), 4.85 (m, 2 H, Tyr α-H, D-Trp α-H), 3.64 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.16 (m, 4 H, Tyr β-CH₂, D-Trp β-CH₂), 1.59 (s, 9 H, Boc), 1.27 (s, 9 H, t-But).
2.5.4 Preparation of final compounds

6-(2-Ethoxycarbonyylethyl)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(\text{-}But)-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran: Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(\text{-}But)-OH [2-61] (0.42 g, 0.78 mmol), DEPBT (0.23 g, 0.78 mmol), and TEA (0.22 ml, 1.56 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (15 ml) and stirred for 10 min. 4-(2-Aminoethyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonyylethyl)-dibenzofuran [2-58] (0.20 g, 0.65 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (7 ml) and added to the reaction. It was left to stir over night. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in 1:1 EtOAc:hex. The crude material was purified by column chromatography in the same solvent system to afford 0.38 g of a white solid (70% yield). $R_f = 0.20$ in solvent system (E), $R_f = 0.25$ in solvent system (G), $R_f = 0.57$ in solvent system (H); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.80 (m, 2 H, DBF H1, H9), 7.28 (m, 9 H, arom Z, DBF H2, H3, H8, H7), 7.71, 7.03, 5.64, 4.65 (bs, 4 H, NHs), 5.07 (s, 2 H, Z CH$_2$), 4.12 (m, 5 H, $\alpha$-Hs, Thr $\beta$-H, OCH$_2$), 3.81 (m, 2 H, $\beta$-CH$_2$ amine arm), 3.32 (t, 2 H, $\beta$-CH$_2$ ester arm), 3.25 (m, $\alpha$-CH$_2$ amine arm), 3.12 (bm, 2 H, Lys $\varepsilon$-CH$_2$), 2.82 (t, 2 H, $\alpha$-CH$_2$ ester arm), 1.85, 1.69 (bm, 2 H, Lys $\beta$-CH$_2$), 1.45 (m, 13 H, Lys
γ-CH₂, Lys δ-CH₂, Boc), 1.23 (t, 3 H, ester CH₃), 1.01 (s, 9 H, O-t-But), 0.91 (d, 3 H, Thr CH₃).

6-(2-Carboxyethyl)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran

[2-71]: 6-(2-Ethoxycarbonylethyl)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran [2-71] (0.38 g, 0.46 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of EtOH (5 ml) and THF (2 ml). Separately, LiOH · H₂O (0.16 g, 0.92 mmol) was dissolved in H₂O (4 ml), and then added to the reaction. It was left to stir for 1½ h. The organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous solution acidified to pH = 2 with 1N HCl. It was then extracted with DCM (5 x 15 ml) and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a white foam (0.37 g, quantitative yield). R_f = 0.40 in solvent system (H), R_f = 0.39 in solvent system (I); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.80 (m, 2 H, DBF H₁, H₉), 7.28 (m, 9 H, arom Z, DBF H₂, H₃, H₈, H₇), 7.71, 7.03, 5.64, 4.65 (bs, 4 H, NHs), 5.07 (s, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.12 (m, 3 H, α-Hs, Thr β-H), 3.81 (m, 2 H, β-CH₂ amine arm), 3.32 (t, 2 H, β-CH₂ amine arm), 3.25 (m, α-CH₂ amine arm), 3.12 (bm, 2 H, Lys ε-CH₂), 2.82 (t, 2 H, α-CH₂ amine arm), 1.85, 1.69 (bm, 2 H, Lys
β-CH₂), 1.45 (m, 13 H, Lys γ-CH₂, Lys δ-CH₂, Boc), 1.01 (s, 9 H, O-t-But), 0.91 (d, 3 H, Thr CH₃).

6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran: 6-(2-Carboxyethyl)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzo[2-71] (0.37 g, 0.46 mmol), DEPBT (0.16 g, 0.55 mmol), and TEA (0.13 ml, 0.92 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (8 ml) and stirred for 10 min. H-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-68] (0.21 g, 0.46 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (8 ml) and added to the reaction. It was left to stir over night. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in EtOAc (50 ml). It was washed with 1N HCl (3 x 15 ml), conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 15 ml), brine (1 x 25 ml), and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to a white solid. The crude material was dissolved onto silica gel with DCM and purified by column chromatography in 2:1 EtOAc:hex to afford a white solid (0.40 g, 70% yield). Rᵣ = 0.17 in solvent system (G), Rᵣ = 0.53 in solvent system (H), Rᵣ = 0.68 in solvent
system (I); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) complicated, but can see new dipeptide signals; MS (ESI) 1250 [M+H]$^+$, 1272 [M+Na]$^+$.

6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe)-4-(H-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran: 6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran (0.40 g, 0.32 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of MeOH (20 ml) and THF (6 ml). 10% Pd/C (0.04 g) was then added and the reaction placed under H$_2$ atmosphere to stir over night. The reaction was filtered over celite to remove the catalyst. The filter cake was washed with THF. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.36 g of a beige solid in quantitative yield. $R_f$ = 0.54 in solvent system (I); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) complicated, but Z group is gone; MS (ESI) 1116 [M+H]$^+$, 1138 [M+Na]$^+$. 
6-{(2-Carbonylethyl)-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OH}-4-{(H-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-(2-aminoethyl))}-dibenzofuran [2-72]: 6-{(2-Carbonylethyl)-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe}-4-{(H-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-(2-aminoethyl))}-dibenzofuran (0.36 g, 0.32 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of MeOH (8 ml) and THF (2 ml). Separately, LiOH · H₂O (0.06 g, 1.28 mmol) was dissolved in H₂O (6 ml), and then added to the reaction. It was left to stir for 3 h. The organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous solution acidified to pH = 2 with 1N HCl. It was then extracted with EtOAc (5 x 20 ml). The combined organic washers were dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to a beige foam (0.36 g, quantitative yield). Rₚ = 0.09 in solvent system (I); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) complicated, but OME is gone; MS (ESI) 1102 [M+H]⁺, 1124 [M+Na]⁺.
c[6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-4-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran]: 6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-OH)-4-(H-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran [2-72] (0.36 g, 0.32 mmol) was dissolved in distilled DCM (320 ml). DEPBT (0.28 g, 0.96 mmol) and TEA (0.18 ml, 1.28 mmol) were added and the colorless reaction left to stir under Ar for 2 days. It became yellow in color. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in EtOAc (50 ml). It was washed with 1N HCl (3 x 20 ml), conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 20 ml), brine (1 x 30 ml), and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a gold gel. The crude was dissolved in 4% MeOH/ DCM and purified by column chromatography in the same solvent system to afford 0.14 g of a white solid (40% yield). R_f = 0.67 in solvent system (I); MS (ESI) 1084 [M+H]^+, 1106 [M+Na]^+. 
c[6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr-4-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran]

[2-48]: c[6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Phe-D-Trp(Boc)-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-4-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran] (0.140 g, 0.13 mmol) was mixed with anisole (4 ml), followed by addition of anhydrous TFA (20 ml). The solution was stirred for 1 h. It was then concentrated under reduced pressure to a gel. The crude material was evaporated from toluene three times to remove residual TFA. The resulting gel was then precipitated with diethylether which provided a pale gold solid (0.1254 g). The crude material was dissolved in 55A:45B (80 ml, The compound had to be dissolved in B first because it would not dissolve in A.) and purified via preparative RP-HPLC using an isocratic solution of 55A:45B. Four injections of 20 ml of solution were made. The product eluted at about 27 min. The purest fractions of each injection were pooled, frozen, and lyophilized. A fluffy white solid was obtained (0.048 g, 44% yield). Analytical RP-HPLC: 70A:30B → 10A:90B / 30 min. \( r_t = 18.49 \) min, as a single peak; isocratic 57A:43B / 30 min. \( r_t = 20.77 \) min, as a single peak. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD\(_3\)OD) \( \delta \) 7.88 (m, 2 H, DBF H1, H9), 7.44 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, indole...
6-(2-Ethoxycarbonylethyl)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran:

Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-OH [2-63] (0.48 g, 1.0 mmol) and DEPBT (0.30 g, 1.0 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (9 ml). TEA (0.23 ml, 1.66 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred for 10 min. 4-(2-Aminoethyl)-6-(2-ethoxycarbonylethyl)-dibenzofuran [2-58] (0.26 g, 0.83 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (9 ml) and added to the reaction. It was left to stir over night. The reaction was then concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in EtOAc (100 ml). It was then washed with 1N HCl (3 x 50 ml), conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 50 ml), brine (1 x 50 ml), and dried over MgSO₄. The drying
agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a gold foam. The crude material was dissolved onto silica gel using DCM and purified by column chromatography in 2:1 EtOAc:hex to afford a white solid (0.37 g, 60% yield). \(R_f = 0.30\) in solvent system (G), \(R_f = 0.55\) in solvent system (I); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.78 (m, 2 H, DBF H1, H9), 7.30 (m, 9 H, arom Z, DBF H2, H3, H8, H7), 6.48, 6.39, 5.68, 4.69 (bs, 4 H, NHs), 5.06 (m, 2 H, Z CH\(_2\)), 4.12 (m, 4 H, \(\alpha\)-Hs, OCH\(_2\)), 3.71 (m, 2 H, \(\beta\)-CH\(_2\) amine arm), 3.33 (t, 2 H, \(\beta\)-CH\(_2\) ester arm), 3.25 (m, \(\alpha\)-CH\(_2\) amine arm), 3.06 (bm, 2 H, Lys \(\varepsilon\)-CH\(_2\)), 2.81 (t, 2 H, \(\alpha\)-CH\(_2\) ester arm), 1.85, 1.69 (bm, 2 H, Lys \(\beta\)-CH\(_2\)), 1.68 (m, 1 H, Val \(\beta\)-H), 1.42 (m, 13 H, Lys \(\gamma\)-CH\(_2\), Lys \(\delta\)-CH\(_2\), Boc), 1.21 (t, 3 H, ester CH\(_3\)), 0.80, 0.73 (dd, 6 H, Val CH\(_3\)).

![Structure of 6-(2-Carboxyethyl)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran [2-73]](attachment:structure.png)

**6-(2-Carboxyethyl)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran [2-73]:**

6-(2-Ethoxycarbonylethyl)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran (0.37 g, 0.48 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of MeOH (10 ml) and THF (2 ml). Separately, LiOH \(\cdot\) H\(_2\)O (0.09 g, 1.92 mmol) was dissolved in H\(_2\)O (6 ml), and then added to the reaction. It was left to stir for 3 h. The organic solvents were then removed under
reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous solution acidified to pH = 2 with 1N HCl. It was then extracted with EtOAc (5 x 20 ml) and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated to 0.36 g of a beige solid in quantitative yield. \( R_f = 0.35 \) in solvent system (I); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 7.78 \) (m, 2 H, DBF H1, H9), 7.30 (m, 9 H, arom Z, DBF H2, H3, H8, H7), 7.04, 7.12, 5.61, 4.70 (bs, 4 H, NHs), 5.06 (m, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.25, 4.13 (t, 2 H, α-Hs), 3.84 (t, 2 H, β-CH₂ acid arm), 3.60 (m, 2 H, β-CH₂ amine arm), 3.40 (t, 2 H, α-CH₂ acid arm), 3.25 (m, α-CH₂ amine arm), 3.06 (bs, 2 H, Lys ε-CH₂), 2.91 (bs, 2 H, Lys β-CH₂), 1.68 (m, 1 H, Val β-H), 1.42 (m, 13 H, Lys γ-CH₂, Lys δ-CH₂, Boc), 0.50, 0.21 (dd, 6 H, Val CH₃).

6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran: H-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe [2-70] (0.31 g, 0.58 mmol) and DEPBT (0.17 g, 0.58 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (10 ml). TEA (0.20 ml, 1.44 mmol) was added and stirred for 10 min. 6-(2-Carboxyethyl)-4-(Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran [2-73] (0.36 g, 0.48 mmol) was dissolved in DCM
(10 ml) and added to the reaction. It was left to stir over night. The reaction was then concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in EtOAc (50 ml). It was washed with 1N HCl (3 x 20 ml), conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 20 ml), brine (1 x 20 ml), and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a white solid. The crude material was then dissolved onto silica gel using DCM and purified by column chromatography in 2:1 EtOAc:hex to afford a white solid (0.21 g, 58% yield). \( R_f = 0.60 \) in solvent system (I); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta \) complicated, but can see new dipeptide signals; MS (ESI) 1264 [M+H]^+, 1286 [M+Na]^+.

6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Tyr(\( t \)-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe)-4-(H-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran: 6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Tyr(\( t \)-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe)-4-((Z-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran (0.21 g, 0.17 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of MeOH (15 ml) and THF (7 ml). 10% Pd/C (0.03 g) was then added and the reaction placed under H₂ atmosphere to stir over night. The reaction was filtered to
remove the catalyst. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a white solid (0.19 g, quantitative yield). $R_f = 0.34$ in solvent system (I); $^1H$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ complicated, but $Z$ group is gone; MS (ESI) 1130 [M+H]$^+$, 1152 [M+Na]$^+$.

6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OH)-4-(H-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran [2-74]: 6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OMe)-4-(H-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran (0.19 g, 0.17 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (20 ml). Separately, LiOH · H$_2$O (0.03 g, 0.68 mmol) was dissolved in H$_2$O (12 ml), and then added to the reaction. It was left to stir for 3 h. The organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure and the remaining aqueous solution acidified to pH = 2 with 1N HCl. It was then extracted with EtOAc (5 x 15 ml) and dried over MgSO$_4$. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate and concentrated to 0.19 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. $R_f = 0.02$ in solvent
system (I); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ complicated, but OME is gone; MS (ESI) 1116 [M+H]$^+$, 1138 [M+Na]$^+$.

c[6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-Lys(Boc)-Val-4-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzo[115x622]uran]: 6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-OH)-4-(H-Lys(Boc)-Val-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzo[115x622]uran [2-74] (0.19 g, 0.17 mmol) was dissolved in distilled DCM (165 ml). DEPBT (0.15 g, 0.51 mmol) and TEA (0.09 ml, 0.68 mmol) were added and the colorless reaction left to stir under Ar for 2 days. It became yellow in color. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in EtOAc (50 ml). It was washed with 1N HCl (3 x 15 ml), conc. NaHCO$_3$ (3 x 15 ml), brine (1 x 25 ml), and dried over MgSO$_4$. The drying agent was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a gold gel. The crude material was dissolved in 2% MeOH/DCM and purified by column chromatography in the same solvent system to afford 0.138 g of a white solid (73% yield). $R_f = 0.63$ in solvent system (I); MS (ESI) 1098 [M+H]$^+$, 1120[M+Na]$^+$. 

![Chemical Structure](image-url)
c[6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Tyr-D-Trp-Lys-Val-4-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran]

[2-49]: c[6-((2-Carbonylethyl)-Tyr(t-But)-D-Trp(Boc)-Lys(Boc)-Val-4-(2-aminoethyl))-dibenzofuran] (0.138 g, 0.13 mmol) was mixed with anisole (4 ml), followed by addition of anhydrous TFA (20 ml). The solution was stirred for 1 h. It was then concentrated under reduced pressure to a gel. The crude material was evaporated from toluene three times to remove residual TFA. The resulting gel was then precipitated with diethylether which provided a beige solid (0.1196 g). The crude material was dissolved in 58A:42B (80 ml, The compound had to be dissolved in B first because it would not dissolve in A.) and purified via preparative RP-HPLC using an isocratic solution of 58A:42B. Four injections of 20 ml of solution were made. The product eluted at about 17 min. The purest fractions of each injection were pooled, frozen, and lyophilized. A fluffy white solid was obtained (0.051 g, 47 % yield).

Analytical RP-HPLC: 70A:30B → 10A:90B / 30 min. \( r_t = 18.47 \) min, as a single peak; isocratic 57A:43B / 30 min. \( r_t = 17.48 \) min, as a single peak. \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD\(_3\)OD) \( \delta \) 7.86 (m, 2 H, DBF H1, H9), 7.46 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, indole H4), 7.26 –
7.00 (m, 8 H, arom D-Trp, DBF), 6.81, 6.59 (d, 4 H, $J = 8.4, 8.4$ Hz, Try arom), 4.59 (m, 2 H, Phe $\alpha$-H, D-Trp $\alpha$-H), 4.16 (m, 1 H, Lys $\alpha$-H), 3.94 (m, 1 H, Val $\alpha$-H), 3.40-2.20 (many overlapping m, 16 H, Tyr $\beta$-CH$_2$, D-Trp $\beta$-CH$_2$, 4 arm CH$_2$, Lys $\varepsilon$-CH$_2$, Val $\beta$-H), 1.90, 1.73 (m, 2 H, Lys $\beta$-CH$_2$), 1.21 (m, 4 H, Lys $\delta$-CH$_2$, Lys $\gamma$-CH$_2$), 0.72, 0.33 (d, 6 H, $J = 6.4, 6.4$ Hz, Val CH$_3$); MS (ESI) 842 [M+H]$^+$, 864 [M+Na]$^+$; HRMS (TOF MS ES) ($m/z$): [M+H]$^+$ calcd for C$_{48}$H$_{56}$N$_7$O$_7$ 842.4241 found 828.4224.
2.6 References
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CHAPTER 3

3. **NONNATURALLY OCCURRING, NITROGEN-CONTAINING, FUSED 5,6-HETEROCYCLIC, AROMATIC ALPHA AMINO ACIDS**
3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 The use of nonnatural amino acids in peptides

Nonnaturally occurring $\alpha$-amino acids have become important tools in the synthesis of biologically active peptides, peptidomimetics, and small molecule analogs. Synthetic building blocks can be particularly useful as conformational probes. Their inclusion can provide insight into the bioactive conformations of parent peptides as well as the topological and chemical requirements of the recognition sites of cell-surface receptors. Furthermore, the inclusion of unnatural residues can protect the entire ligand from enzymatic degradation and aids in identifying the required pharmacophoric groups for the development of potent and receptor subtype-specific ligands. The Goodman laboratory has synthesized numerous nonnatural amino acids and incorporated them into ligands for somatostatin, opioid, and integrin receptors.

The importance of tryptophan for the biological function of many peptides is well recognized. Specifically, tryptophan in position 8 of somatostatin has been shown to be a major contributor to both receptor binding and the biological activity elicited by somatostatin. The incorporation of side chain-modified tryptophan residues into somatostatin analogs could provide more detailed information about the requirements for receptor binding, subtype specificity, and potency. In keeping with our efforts to develop novel building blocks as well as biologically active somatostatin derivatives, we chose to examine the role of the indole ring of tryptophan by replacing it with a focused group of nitrogen-containing 5-6-fused ring aromatic heterocyclic amino acid residues. We envisioned incorporating these analogs into the D-Trp$^8$ position of
c[Pro⁶-Phe⁷-D-Trp⁸-Lys⁹-Thr¹⁰-Phe¹¹] or L-363,301 [2-4] (Chapter 2, Figure 2-5). These nonnatural analogs would incorporate small, subtle changes into a well characterized system, thus allowing us to examine and correlate even modest changes in biological activity and conformation.

### 3.1.2 Known modifications to the Trp⁸ residue of somatostatin analogs

While somatostatin research has been conducted for 35 years, a limited amount has focused on the modification of the Trp⁸ residue and the effects of those modifications on receptor binding and biological activity. The early structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies of somatostatin highlighted the importance of the Trp⁸ residue for biological activity. Replacement of Trp⁸ in somatostatin by L-alanine,¹² D-alanine,³ and tyrosine,⁴ resulted in the complete loss of inhibitory activity against growth hormone (GH), insulin and glucagon by each analog. The incorporation of D-Tyr⁸ into SRIF-14 [2-1] resulted in a slight retention of inhibition of GH, insulin, glucagon release. It was originally believed that the indole of Trp⁸ was simply involved in the buildup of the aromatic hydrophobic nucleus (along with residues Phe⁶, Phe⁷, and Phe¹¹). However, the low potency of the D-Tyr⁸ analog highlights the unique properties of the indole ring, which seems to be recognized to some extent by somatostatin receptors.⁵

Early circular dichroic studies of somatostatin suggested the tetrapeptide pharmacophore, Phe⁷-Trp⁸-Lys⁹-Thr¹⁰, forms a β-turn with Trp⁸ in the i+1 position.⁶ Knowing that the D-configuration of the amino acid in the i+1 position will stabilize a
β-turn, Rivier et al replaced the Trp\textsuperscript{8} residue with its enantiomer, D-tryptophan.\textsuperscript{7} The new analog was eight times more potent than SRIF-14 in inhibiting growth hormone secretion \textit{in vitro} in isolated rat pituitary cells. The D-Trp\textsuperscript{8} compound was also six times more potent in inhibiting glucagon release and eight times more potent in the inhibition of insulin release in \textit{in vivo} assays in cats. The majority of subsequent analogs of somatostatin included the D-enantiomer of tryptophan in position 8. Table 3-1 summarizes the relative inhibitory potencies of SRIF-14 and the D-Trp\textsuperscript{8} and D-Tyr\textsuperscript{8} analogs against insulin, glucagon, and GH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Insulin</th>
<th>Glucagon</th>
<th>GH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRIF-14 [2-1]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Tyr\textsuperscript{8}-SRIF-14</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>&lt;0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Trp\textsuperscript{8}-SRIF-14</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>80.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shortly thereafter, the D-Trp\textsuperscript{8}-SRIF-14 analog was shown to be equipotent to SRIF-14 in inhibiting gastric acid\textsuperscript{8,9} and pancreatic\textsuperscript{9,10} secretions. In order to probe the effects of indole ring substituents of D-Trp\textsuperscript{8} on the inhibition of gastric acid, glucagon, and insulin secretion, the L- and D-enantiomers of 5-F-, 5-Br-, 6-F-, 5-Me-, and 5-OMe-Trp were incorporated into position 8 of SRIF-14, Figure 3-1.\textsuperscript{11}
Figure 3-1: The structure of SRIF-14 and the substituted tryptophan analogs which were incorporated into the peptide in place of Trp\textsuperscript{8}.
The 5-F-D-Trp\textsuperscript{8} [3-3] and 6-F-D-Trp\textsuperscript{8} [3-7] analogs were significantly more potent than SRIF-14 in inhibiting gastric acid secretion while the rest of the substituted indole compounds were just slightly more or less potent than SRIF-14.\textsuperscript{11} All of the halogenated Trp\textsuperscript{8} analogs were more potent than SRIF-14 in inhibiting GH secretion. The 5-F-D-Trp\textsuperscript{8} [3-3] and 5-Br-D-Trp\textsuperscript{8} [3-5] compounds were the most potent and inhibited GH release 25 and 30 times more potently than SRIF-14, respectively; the most active somatostatin analogs reported at the time. The 6-F-D-Trp\textsuperscript{8} analog [3-7] was equipotent to the D-Trp\textsuperscript{8} analog in inhibiting GH release.\textsuperscript{12} All of these substitutions were designed to investigate the electronic factors important for receptor recognition of the Trp\textsuperscript{8} residue. Replacement of the 5- or 6-H by F, Br, Me, or OMe, might be expected to affect the electron density of the aromatic nucleus of the indole ring. The incorporation of fluoro, and to a lesser extent bromo and methoxy groups, would be predicted to have electron-withdrawing activity, whilst methyl would be predicted to be an electron-donating group. The researchers suggested that the similarity of activities of the analogs with these substitutions implied that that the electron density of the aromatic nucleus of Trp\textsuperscript{8} is relatively unimportant in determining the gastric acid inhibitory activity of somatostatin.\textsuperscript{11}

The same group of researchers also incorporated D-pentamethylphenylalanine (D-Pmp\textsuperscript{8} [3-1]) into position 8. The resulting peptide showed only 10% of the inhibitory activity of SRIF-14. The incorporation of 1-Nal\textsuperscript{8} and 2-Nal\textsuperscript{8} (1- and 2-naphthylalanine) provided compounds that were completely inactive. All of the synthesized analogs’ relative potencies are listed in Table 3-2.
Table 3-2: Comparison of gastric acid, glucagon, insulin, and GH inhibitory potencies of somatostatin analogs containing Trp<sup>8</sup> modified residues relative to SRIF-14 [2-1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Gastric Acid</th>
<th>Glucagon</th>
<th>Insulin</th>
<th>GH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRIF-14 [2-1]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>80.21</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Pmp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-1]</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-F-L-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-2]</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-F-D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-3]</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>24.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Br-L-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-4]</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Br-D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-5]</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>30.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-F-L-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-6]</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-F-D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-7]</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>8.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Me-D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-9]</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-OMe-L-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-10]</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-OMe-D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; [3-11]</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d. – not determined.

Researchers at Merck incorporated the L- and D-enantiomers of 5-F-, 6-F-, 5-OMe, and 1-Me-Trp into the cyclic hexapeptide somatostatin analog L-363,301.
(Chapter 2, Figure 2-5). All four fluoro-substituted compounds showed potencies similar to that of L-363,301 [2-4].

**Table 3-3:** Comparison of insulin, glucagon, and GH inhibitory potencies L-363,301 [2-4] and Trp<sup>8</sup> modified analogs of L-363,301 relative to SRIF-14 [2-1]<sup>13</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Insulin</th>
<th>Glucagon</th>
<th>GH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRIF-14 [2-1]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-363,301 [2-4]</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;-L-363,301</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-F-D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-F-L-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-F-D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-F-L-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-OMe-D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-OMe-L-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Me-D-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Me-L-Trp&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
<td>&lt;0.07</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The researchers hypothesized that since fluorine substitutions represented electronic modifications with negligible effects on the steric environment, the high relative potencies of those four compounds indicated that receptor interaction was not
affected by the electron distribution of the indole ring. Both enantiomers of the 1-Me- and 5-OMe-Trp analogs were less active than L-363,301. A summary of the binding potencies of the L-363,301 analogs are listed in Table 3-3.

Other laboratories have also reported somatostatin analogs with nonnatural amino acids substituted for the Trp residue as a part of their SAR studies. Unfortunately, the reported analogs were not noteworthy in their potencies. Studies of RC-121 [2-7] included the substitution of D-Pal (3-(3-Pyridyl)-D-Alanine) into the Trp position. The resulting octapeptide [3-12] (Figure 3-2) did not inhibit GH secretion.

![Figure 3-2](image)

**Figure 3-2:** An analog of RC-121 [2-7] which contains 3-(3-Pyridyl)-D-Alanine (D-Pal) in place of the Trp residue.

Rivier and coworkers synthesized a series of disulfide bridged Des-AA somatostatin peptides which contained IAmp (4-(N-isopropyl)-
aminomethylphenlyalanine) [3-13] in place of the Lys$^9$ residue (Figure 3-3). The analog which contained D-Trp$^8$ [3-14] bound to hsst1 and hsst3 with an IC$_{50}$ of 33 and 345 nM, respectively, and displayed no affinity for the other receptors. Incorporation of D-Nal$^8$ yielded analog [3-15] which bound exclusively to hsst1 with an IC$_{50}$ of 248 nM.$^{15}$

**Figure 3-3:** Des-AA$^{1,2,5}$-somatostatin analogs with IAmp$^9$ and additional substitutions of Trp$^8$.

The same laboratory designed and synthesized ‘betidamino’ acids; $N'$-monoacylated (or optionally, $N'$-monoacylated and $N$-mono- or $N,N'$-dialkylated) amino glycine derivatives where each $N'$-acyl/ alkyl group mimicked naturally
occurring amino acid side chains or introduced novel functionalities. The betidamino acids were then incorporated into the disulfide bridged octapeptide NH2-c[Cys\(^3\)-Phe\(^6\)-Phe\(^7\)-D-Trp\(^8\)-Lys\(^9\)-Thr\(^{10}\)-Phe\(^{11}\)-Cys\(^{14}\)]-COOH [3-16] also known as ODT-8.\(^{16}\) The substitutions of Trp\(^8\) included D-2-Nal\(^8\) (which provided peptide ODN-8, [3-17]), D-Agl-(2-naphtholyl)\(^8\) (or (2-naphtholyl)-aminoglycine, also called betidennaphthylalanine) which provided peptide [3-18], and D-Agl-((Me, 2-naphtholyl)-aminoglycine)\(^8\) which provided peptide [3-19]. Figure 3-4 depicts the three peptide analogs.

\[
\text{NH}_2-c[\text{Cys}^3\text{-Phe}^6\text{-Phe}^7\text{-D-R}^8\text{-Lys}^9\text{-Thr}^{10}\text{-Phe}^{11}\text{-Cys}^{14}\text{-COOH}]
\]

\[
\text{R = D-2-Nal}^8
\]

\[
\text{[3-17]}
\]

\[
\text{R = D-Agl-(2-naphtholyl)}^8
\]

\[
\text{[3-18]}
\]

\[
\text{R = D-Agl-((Me, 2-naphtholyl)-aminoglycine)}^8
\]

\[
\text{[3-19]}
\]

**Figure 3-4:** Substitution of the Trp\(^8\) position of the cyclic octapeptide ODT-8 with D-2-Nal and betidamino acids.
D-2-Nal\(^8\) substituted [3-17] lost affinity for receptor subtypes hsst1, hsst2, and hsst4 compared to ODT-8 [3-16]. The introduction of betidennaphthylalanine\(^8\) to provide peptide [3-18] resulted in loss of binding at all but sst4 with an IC\(_{50}\) = 165 nM. Methylation of the side chain (compound [3-19]) restored binding to hsst3 and increased selectivity by >100-fold.\(^6\) A summary of the binding data is presented in Table 3-4.

**Table 3-4:** Binding affinities of ODT-8 and analogs containing D-2-Nal\(^8\) and betidamino acids and for the somatostatin receptor subtypes (IC\(_{50}\), nM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>hsst1</th>
<th>hsst2</th>
<th>hsst3</th>
<th>hsst4</th>
<th>hsst5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somatostatin-28 [2-2]</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODT-8 [3-16]</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-2Nal(^8) (ODN-8) [3-17]</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Agl(^8) (2-naphthyl) [3-18]</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Agl(^8) (Me, 2-naphthyl) [3-19]</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
<td>&gt;10000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As discussed in section 2.2.3 of Chapter 2, researchers in the Goodman laboratories prepared all four diastereomers of \(\beta\)-methyl tryptophan and incorporated them into L-363,301. Substitution of D-Trp with (2\(R\),3\(S\))-\(\beta\)-methyl tryptophan produced compound [3-20] (Figure 3-5) which was the only compound of the group with binding affinity greater than that of the parent hexapeptide L-363,301 when
assayed for binding at a mixture of all five receptor subtypes (which did not allow for the determination of subtype selectivity). The (2R,3S)-β-methyl tryptophan compound [3-20] was recently resynthesized and tested for binding to all five hsst receptor subtypes. Its binding affinity profile mirrored that of L-363,301.

Figure 3-5: An analog of L-363,301 which contains (2R,3S)-β-methyl Tryptophan.

The Trp\textsuperscript{8} residue of somatostatin and its analogs is known to be particularly important for cellular receptor binding and secretion inhibitory activity. However, the substitution of this residue and the tolerance of the receptors for substitution of this residue have not been extensively reported on in the literature. From the small amount of research presented, it is difficult to correlate definitive structure-activity
relationships about the residue in question. The known substitutions were made into various different parent compounds, which in some cases included additional modifications to other residues, making it difficult to quantify or compare the results. The substituted compounds were biologically evaluated using two different methods which are unrelatable; some of the compounds were evaluated in functional assays for secretion inhibition, while others were evaluated in competitive binding assays for receptor subtype binding affinity and selectivity. Even with these difficulties, two general trends can be elucidated. The incorporation of the D-enantiomer of the tryptophan analogs into position 8 resulted in compounds which retained the activity of the parent compound or produced an increase in the measured activity. A fused ring system in the side chain of residue 8 provided compounds with better biological profiles than those containing a single ring. Compounds with naphthylene analogs and substituted indoles in the side chain retained some activity, were equipotent, and in some cases displayed an increase in activity when compared to parent compounds, while those which included Phe analogs such as D-Tyr, D-Pmp, and D-Pal, all displayed a substantial loss in activity.

Perhaps the lack of somatostatin analogs with modifications to the Trp\textsuperscript{8} residue which display increased binding affinity or potency has turned researchers away from further efforts. However, novel, unnatural amino acids with fused 5,6-ring systems could be incorporated into somatostatin analogs. A focused library of analogs could probe the topospace of the receptor subtypes and provide additional SARs as well as compounds with novel biological profiles.
3.2 **The design and syntheses of nitrogen-containing heterocyclic α-amino acids**

In our effort to develop unnatural amino acids for employment in our research programs, particularly in the area of somatostatin, we designed a number of nitrogen-containing, fused 5,6-heterocyclic, aromatic α-amino acids.

The amino acids pictured in Figure 3-6 are shown in the D-configuration and are based on benzimidazole [3-21], benzotriazole [3-22] and [3-23], 4-azabenzimidazole [3-24] and [3-25], indazole [3-26], and purine [3-27] and [3-28]. These compounds were designed to be analogs of naturally occurring tryptophan. Of the eight structures pictured, only two are known compounds; the benzimidazole [3-21] and indazole [3-26] analogs. Searches of the literature and commercial sources found that the Aldrich Fine Chemicals Catalog has <50 mg of the benzimidazole compound [3-21] available for purchase, though a synthesis has not been published. Indazole analog [3-26] is known and has been synthesized in small quantities using a tryptophan synthase obtained from *E. coli*. Our research represents the first reported chemical synthesis of all eight of the heterocyclic amino acids presented in Figure 3-6.
When designing a synthetic route, we envisioned a serine-derived common intermediate whose synthesis was stereoselective, scalable, and which could be derivatized with each heterocycle to provide all of the desired compounds. Our
initial efforts focused on a method utilized in our laboratories to create orthogonally protected lanthionine derivatives in solution.\textsuperscript{20} With suitable, bulky protecting groups, the iodide of 3-iodoalanine was displaced by the sulfur of cysteine derivatives without forming dehydroalanine \([3-31]\) as a side product. Unfortunately, when we reacted deprotonated benzimidazole \([3-30]\) with the same 3-iodoalanine derivative, trityl-3-iodoalanine benzyl ester \([3-29]\), the deprotonated amine was more basic than it was nucleophilic and we obtained dehydroalanine \([3-31]\) as the exclusive product (Scheme 3-1).

These results encouraged us to look for ways to further decrease the acidity of the \(\alpha\)-proton of the 3-iodoalanine adduct, while also maintaining steric bulk. The ortho ester (2,6,7-trioxobicyclo[2.2.2]octyl ester) has been shown to significantly decrease the acidity of the \(\alpha\)-proton of amino acids.\textsuperscript{21,22} We attempted to synthesize this protecting group from Z-Ser-oxetane \([3-22]\), which we then planned to convert to the complementary 3-iodoalanine derivative for use in our synthetic program.

![Scheme 3-1: Reaction of deprotonated benzimidazole with Trt-3-iodoalanine-OBzl provided hydroalanine as the exclusive product.](image-url)
Unfortunately, the ortho ester of Z-serine [3-33] was found to be very unstable, and decomposed within one to two days, even when stored at cold temperatures and under an inert atmosphere. The introduction of the ester was also not amenable to synthesis on multigram scale (Scheme 3-2).

Scheme 3-2: The ortho ester of Z-Serine was unstable and decomposed within one to two days.

We shifted our focus to the Mitsunobu reaction in which hydroxyl groups are converted to leaving groups in situ in the presence of triphenyl phosphine and diisopropyl azodicaboxylate (DIAD). A nucleophile with an acidic proton of pKₐ < 13 can then displace the activated alcohol to provide the desired amino compound.²³ The application of the Mitsunobu reaction to benzimidazole with various protected serine derivatives provided no product presumably because the N-H of benzimidazole (pKₐ = 12.75)²⁴ is at the upper limit of the reaction requirements (Scheme 3-3).
During our search for alternative synthetic strategies, we considered employing Garner’s aldehyde\textsuperscript{25} as a common intermediate for derivatization by the desired heterocycles. Garner’s aldehyde has been used extensively as a chiral building block in asymmetric synthesis. Introduction of the heterocycles could be achieved by reductive amination of the aldehyde with the various heterocyclic amines. Unfortunately, a test reaction with propionaldehyde and benzimidazole did not provide any product. Only starting material was recovered, so we did not pursue the aldehyde route (Scheme 3-4).

Scheme 3-3: The reaction of various protected serine derivatives with benzimidazole under Mitsunobu conditions did not provide any product.

Scheme 3-4: Reductive amination of benzimidazole with propionaldehyde provided no product. Only starting material was recovered.
However, the alcohol intermediate [3-38] (Scheme 3-5) of the Garner’s aldehyde synthesis intrigued us because it could be converted to a leaving group which could be displaced by the heterocyclic amines. This concept was supported by the known displacement of tosylates by deprotonated benzimidazole.26 Additionally, the $\alpha$-proton of the oxazolidine-bound tosylate intermediate [3-39] was not acidic, so competing elimination reactions could be avoided (Scheme 3-5).

![Scheme 3-5: Synthesis of the Boc-protected tosyl-oxazolidine intermediate [3-39] from L-serine.](image)

As shown in Scheme 3-5, the synthesis of Garner’s alcohol commenced with the esterification of L-serine [3-35] with thionyl chloride in methanol. The amine was urethane-protected with Boc$_2$O and triethylamine which provided Boc-Ser-OMe [3-36] in quantitative yield for both protection steps. Compound [3-36] was then
treated with dimethoxypropane and catalytic $p$-toluenesulfonic acid in refluxing benzene which cyclized the side chain alcohol to the Boc-protected nitrogen and provided oxazolidine ester [3-37] in 80% yield. Reduction of ester [3-37] to alcohol [3-38] was achieved quantitatively with sodium borohydride in the presence of lithium chloride. Alcohol [3-38] was treated with tosyl chloride and pyridine to provide key intermediate [3-39] in 85% yield.

The displacement of the tosylate of compound [3-39] by deprotonated benzimidazole gave poor yields of only 25% (Scheme 3-6). With the product in hand, we subjected intermediate [3-40] to Jones oxidation conditions using chromium trioxide and sulfuric acid. The acidity opened the ring of oxazolidine [3-40]. The alcohol, which was the original amino acid side chain, was oxidized to become the $\alpha$-carboxylic acid; inverting the stereochemistry from the L-configuration to the D-configuration. The Boc-protected benzimidazole amino acid [3-41] was isolated in 50% yield. Loss of the Boc-group contributed to the low yield of the final product, and the free amino acid was detected in the crude reaction mixture.

**Scheme 3-6:** Displacement of the tosylate with benzimidazole, followed by ring opening and oxidation to the Boc-protected amino acid.
We theorized that the low yield of the tosyl displacement reaction by benzimidazole was a result of steric hindrance caused by the bulky \( t \)-butyl of the nitrogen-protecting Boc group. To test our hypothesis, we synthesized the Cbz-protected, tosylated oxazolidine [3-45], as shown in Scheme 3-7.

**Scheme 3-7:** Synthesis of Cbz-protected tosylate oxazolidine intermediate.

The synthesis of key intermediate [3-45] followed a similar protocol as that described for the Boc-protected analog, with similar yields for each step. It is
important to note that tosylate [3-45] was found to be unstable, even when stored at -20 °C, under inert atmosphere. Therefore, alcohol [3-44] was prepared in multigram quantity and stored for future use. The tosylate was freshly prepared for all subsequent synthetic applications.

Nucleophilic displacement of the tosylate of Cbz-protected oxazolidine [3-45] with deprotonated benzimidazole was achieved in 81% yield; a significant improvement over the analogous step of the synthesis of the Boc-protected analog. Treatment of the Cbz-protected benzimidazole oxazolidine [3-46] with Jones oxidation conditions produced a bluish-green, insoluble metal complex, which was not encountered in the Boc-protected analog synthesis (Scheme 3-8).

Scheme 3-8: Opening of the oxazolidine ring and the final oxidation of the alcohol to the carboxylic acid provided the Cbz-protected benzimidazole amino acid.
To circumvent the Jones oxidation problem, compound [3-46] was first treated with 4N HCl in dioxane which opened the oxazolidine ring and provided the free primary alcohol in quantitative yield. The alcohol was then oxidized directly to the carboxylic acid under mild conditions\textsuperscript{27} using sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl, or bleach), sodium chlorite (NaClO\textsubscript{2}), and a catalytic amount of TEMPO (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyl-1-oxy) in a pH=6.6 phosphate buffer to provide the desired benzimidazole amino acid [3-47] in 90% yield. The benzimidazole amino acid [3-47] was synthesized in an overall yield of 40% from L-serine.

The displacement of the tosylate of key intermediate [3-45] with benzotriazole proceeded in an overall yield of 80% with 2:1 regioselectivity in favor of the 1-substituted analog [3-48] over the 2-substituted analog [3-49] (Scheme 3-9). The ring opening of both analogs proceeded in quantitative yield. Oxidation of the 1-substituted benzotriazole alcohol was quantitative, while the 2-substituted benzotriazole amino acid was isolated in 85% yield after oxidation.
Scheme 3-9: The displacement of the tosylate by benzotriazole provided two regioisomers which are isolated and oxidized separately to the corresponding Cbz-protected amino acids.
It has been well documented that \( N \)-substituted benzotriazoles are typically prepared as a mixture of the 1- and 2-substituted isomers when reacted with alkylating agents in the presence of base. The reported regioselectivity for 1-alkylated benzotriazoles is poor, and usually in the range of 1:1 to 3:1.\(^{28-30}\) The two alkylated benzotriazole analogs, [3-48] and [3-49] were easily separated by column chromatography. As shown in Figures 3-7 and 3-8, the 1- and 2-benzotriazole oxazolidine structures were assigned by comparing the aromatic region of the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of each of the compounds. The aromatic ring system of 2-substituted benzotriazole analog [3-51] is symmetrical with only two chemical environments for the ring hydrogens to experience. Therefore there are only two signals exhibited by the four aromatic protons on the benzotriazole ring in the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum (Figure 3-7). The ring of the 1-substituted benzotriazole analog [3-50] is unsymmetrical, placing each of the four benzotriazole ring protons in slightly different environments, which results in multiple signals in the \(^1\)H NMR spectrum (Figure 3-8).
Figure 3-7: The aromatic ring of the 2-substituted benzotriazole analog has only two signals in the aromatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum.

Figure 3-8: The aromatic ring of the 1-substituted benzotriazole analog has many signals in the aromatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum.
Tosylate [3-45] was reacted with deprotonated indazole which provided oxazolidine [3-52] in 60% yield. Opening of the oxazolidine ring and oxidation of the alcohol to indazole amino acid [3-53] both proceeded in quantitative yield.

Scheme 3-10: The synthesis of the indazole amino acid from the tosyl-oxazolidine intermediate.

Substitution of the tosylate of compound [3-45] by purine provided both the N9- and N7-substituted regioisomers, [3-54] and [3-55], in 2:1 regioselectivity, respectively (Scheme 3-11). The regioisomers were easily separated by column chromatography. Both purine oxazolidines were opened to the corresponding alcohol and oxidized to the N9- and N7-substituted purine amino acids [3-56] and [3-57].
Scheme 3-11: The syntheses of N9- and N7-substituted purine amino acids from a common tosyl-oxazolidine intermediate.
To validate the structural assignment of each regioisomer, two dimensional NMR NOESY experiments were performed on a Bruker 600 MHZ spectrometer. As shown in Figure 3-9, the N9-substituted analog [3-54] should not exhibit a hydrogen-hydrogen NOE between the β-hydrogens and the purine N3 because there is no hydrogen on that nitrogen. An NOE also does not exist between the β-hydrogens and the purine H6 because they are too far from each other. An NOE was expected and confirmed to exist between the β-hydrogens and the hydrogen on carbon 6 of the purine in the N7-substituted analog [3-55] (Figure 3-10). The NOE data are consistent with the structural assignments.
**Figure 3-9:** 2D-NOESY NMR spectra of N9-substituted purine analog [3-54] do not have an NOE between the β-hydrogens (d) and N3 because there are no protons on the nitrogen. There are also no NOEs between the β-hydrogens (d) and the purine H6 (g) because they are too far away from each other.
Figure 3-10: 2D-NOESY NMR spectra of N7-substituted purine analog [3-55] shows an NOE between the β-hydrogens (d) and the purine H6 (g).

The structural assignment of the purine regioisomers was further supported by $^1$H NMR data. The signal of H8 for an N9-substituted purine is known to be shifted upfield in the $^1$H NMR spectrum, relative to the corresponding signal of H8 for the
N7-substituted isomer.\textsuperscript{31} This upfield shift was observed in the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of the N9-substituted oxazolidine [3-54], alcohol [3-58], and acid [3-56] when compared to the spectra of the corresponding N7-substituted analogs. The aromatic regions of the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of purine intermediates N9-substituted alcohol [3-58] and N7-substituted alcohol [3-59] are compared in Figure 3-11.

\textbf{Figure 3-11:} The aromatic regions of the \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of the N9-substituted purine alcohol [3-58] and N7-substituted purine alcohol [3-59]. The signal of H8 for compound [3-58] (8.429 ppm) is shifted upfield relative to the corresponding signal of H8 for compound [3-59] (8.556 ppm). This upfield shift supports the structural assignments of the regioisomers.\textsuperscript{31}
Scheme 3-12 depicts the substitution of the tosylate of compound [3-45] by 4-azabenzimidazole which provided both the N3- and N1-substituted regioisomers, [3-60] and [3-61], in 1.5:1 regioselectivity, respectively.

\[
\text{NaH, DMF, 80 °C} \quad 72\%
\]

\[
\text{1. 4N HCl/ dioxane quantitative}
\]

\[
\text{2. NaClO}_4, \text{ cat. TEMPO, cat. bleach, ACN pH}=6.6 \text{ NaH}_2\text{PO}_4 \text{ buffer quantitative}
\]

Scheme 3-12: The syntheses of N3- and N1-substituted 4-azabenzimidazole amino acids from a common tosyl-oxazolidine intermediate.
The regioisomers \([3-60]\) and \([3-61]\) were easily separated by column chromatography. Both 4-azabenzimidazole oxazolidines were opened and oxidized to provide the N3- and N1-substituted 4-azabenzimidazole amino acids \([3-62]\) and \([3-63]\) in quantitative yields.

To establish the structural assignment of each regioisomer, two dimensional NMR NOESY experiments were performed on a Bruker 600 MHZ spectrometer. As shown in Figure 3-12, the N3-substituted analog \([3-60]\) should not exhibit a hydrogen-hydrogen NOE between the \(\beta\)-hydrogens and the 4-azabenzimidazole N4 because there is no hydrogen at that position. An NOE also does not exist between the \(\beta\)-hydrogens and the 4-azabenzimidazole H7. An NOE can be expected to exist between the \(\beta\)-hydrogens and the hydrogen on carbon 7 of the 4-azabenzimidazole in the N1-substituted analog \([3-61]\) (Figure 3-13). The NOE data are consistent with the structural assignment.
Figure 3-12: 2D-NOESY NMR spectra of N3-substituted 4-azabenzimidazole analog [3-60] do not have an NOE between the β-hydrogens (d) and N4 because there are no protons on the nitrogen. There are also no NOEs between the β-hydrogens (d) and the 4-azabenzimidazole H7 (h) because they are too far away from each other.
Figure 3-13: 2D-NOESY NMR spectra of N1-substituted 4-azabenzimidazole analog [3-61] shows an NOE between the β-hydrogens (d) and 4-azabenzimidazole H7 (h).

The structural assignment of the 4-azabenzimidazole regioisomers was further supported by $^1$H NMR data. Similar to the previously described purine analogs, the signal of H2 for the N3-substituted 4-azabenzimidazole amino acid [3-62] was shifted
upfield (8.966 ppm) in the $^1$H NMR spectrum, relative to the corresponding signal of H2 for the N1-substituted isomer [3.63] (9.182 ppm). The aromatic regions of the $^1$H NMR spectra of 4-azabenzimidazole amino acids, N3-substituted alcohol [3-62] and N1-substituted [3-63] are compared in Figure 3-14.

**Figure 3-14:** The aromatic regions of the $^1$H NMR spectra of the N3-substituted 4-azabenzimidazole amino acid [3-62] and N1-substituted 4-azabenzimidazole amino acid [3-63]. The signal of H2 for compound [3-62] (8.966 ppm) is shifted upfield relative to the corresponding signal of H2 for compound [3-63] (9.182 ppm). This upfield shift supports the structural assignments of the regioisomers.
3.3 **Incorporation of synthesized amino acids into peptides**

With the amino acid derivatives in hand, we wanted to incorporate them into the structure of L-363,301 [2-4]. We chose three of the analogs as our initial targets, including the heterocyclic amino acids based on benzimidazole [3-47], 1-benzotriazole [3-50], and indazole [3-53]. We planned to synthesize the peptides on solid support and then cleave them from the resin and cyclize in solution.

Fmoc-Phe-OH was loaded onto chlorotrityl resin using TEA under inert, dry conditions. After loading and washing, the resin was dried and weighed. The Fmoc-protecting group was then removed with piperidine. The resin was again washed, dried and weighed. The change in mass of the dry resin before and after Fmoc-deprotection enabled us to calculate a loading of 1.01 mmol/ g. Additionally, a known mass of resin was treated with 1% TFA/ DCM to remove the amino acid from the resin. The resulting residue was dried and weighed to determine how much amino acid was present. This enabled us to calculate a loading of 0.98 mmol/ g.

The peptides were elongated by stepwise coupling of Fmoc-amino acid derivatives using DIC/ HOBt under standard coupling procedures. As demonstrated in Scheme 3-13 with the dibenzofuran amino acid [3-47], the three Cbz-protected unnatural amino acids were coupled to the resin bound pentapeptide, H-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe-Trt resin [3-64]. The peptide was cleaved from the resin using 1% TFA in DCM in the presence of anisole to provide the linear hexapeptide [3-65] in 88% yield based on the calculated loading of the first Phe residue.
Scheme 3-13: The coupling of the building blocks to the resin bound pentapeptide and subsequent cleavage from the resin, using the benzimidazole amino acid [3-47] as a representative example.

The analytical RP-HPLC trace in Figure 3-15 demonstrates the high purity of the crude linear hexapeptide [3-65] after cleavage from the resin.
The Cbz protecting group was removed from the peptide with palladium mediated catalytic hydrogenation in trifluoroethanol to provide free amine [3-64] (Scheme 3-13) in 80% yield. The peptide was then cyclized in solution using EDC, HOBt, and DIEA in a dilute mixture of 2:1 DCM:DMF over night. After washing with diethyl ether, the cyclic peptide was obtained in 60% yield and was >99% pure as measured by analytical RP-HPLC. The protecting groups were quantitatively removed by treatment with TFA in presence of anisole to provide the deprotected cyclic peptide [3-67] in quantitative yield. Figure 3-16 depicts the three cyclic hexapeptide analogs of L-363,301 which incorporate the unnatural amino acids in place of the D-Trp residue. Peptide [3-67] incorporates the benzimidazole analog, peptide [3-68] contains the 1-benzotriazole analog, and peptide [3-69] contains the indazole analog. The syntheses of analogs [3-68] and [3-69] are analogous to the synthesis of compound [3-67].
Scheme 3-14: Cbz-deprotection of the amine terminus, macrocyclization, and final deprotection with TFA provided cyclic hexapeptide [3-67].
Figure 3-16: The cyclic hexapeptide analogs of L-363,301 which contain the synthesized unnatural amino acids in place of the D-Trp residue: benzimidazole-containing [3-67], 1-benzotriazole-containing [3-68], and indazole-containing [3-69].
3.4 Conclusions

We designed and synthesized unnatural nitrogen-containing, fused 5,6-heterocyclic, aromatic α-amino acids for use in our research programs. The syntheses of all the compounds involved the nucleophilic displacement of a tosyl group on Garner’s alcohol, a common intermediate. The synthetic route of the common intermediate was completed on multigram scale. The route allowed us to begin with L-serine and, through an inversion of configuration, provided the desired D-amino acids in good yields.

The target compounds were designed to be analogs of naturally occurring tryptophan for incorporation into our somatostatin program. The amino acids are based on benzimidazole [3-47], 1-benzotriazole [3-50], 2-benzotriazole [3-51], indazole [3-53], N9-purine [3-54], N7-purine [3-55], N3-4-azabenzimidazole [3-62], and N1-4-azabenzimidazole [3-63]. The benzotriazole, purine, and 4-azabenzimidazole analog syntheses each produced two regioisomers. In each case, the regioisomers were easily separated by column chromatography and structure assignments were made using 1H-NMR and 2D-NMR techniques.

Three of the amino acids, Z-D-1H-benzimidazole-yl alanine [3-47], Z-D-1H-benzotriazole-yl alanine [3-50], and Z-D-1H-indazole-yl alanine [3-53], were incorporated into the pentapeptide, H-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe [3-64] on solid supports in an effort to synthesize compounds comparable to somatostatin analog L-363,301 [2-4]. The three linear hexapeptides were cleaved from the resin, the
N-terminal Cbz-group removed, cyclized in solution, and deprotected to provide cyclic hexapeptides \([3-67]\), \([3-68]\), and \([3-69]\) cleanly and in good yields.

To carry this research program forward, the remaining synthesized unnatural amino acids, \(Z\text{-D-2H-benzotriazole-yl alanine}\ [3-51]\), \(Z\text{-D-9H-purine-yl alanine}\ [3-54]\), \(Z\text{-D-7H-purine-yl alanine}\ [3-55]\), \(Z\text{-D-3H-(4-azabenzimidazole-yl) alanine}\ [3-62]\), and \(Z\text{-D-1H-(4-azabenzimidazole-yl) alanine}\ [3-63]\), would be incorporated into the peptide sequence. The peptides could then be assayed for binding affinity, potency, and selectivity at all five human somatostatin receptors, \(\text{hsst1-5}\), in radiolabeled competitive binding assays. The results of the assays could help to establish structure-activity relationships for the aromatic ring system of the residues in the D-Trp position. The varied nitrogen placements among the designed amino acids could provide insight into the nature of the binding pocket of the receptors. Compounds which exhibited potent and selective binding could provide additional information if evaluated in functional assays for inhibition of GH, insulin, and glucagon secretion.
3.5 Experimental

3.5.1 General

The reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Acros Organics and used without further purification. All standard amino acids were donated by Senn Chemicals, U.S.A. Chlorotrityl resin was donated by Rohm Haas. THF was dried by refluxing over sodium/ benzophenone. DCM and TEA were dried by refluxing over calcium hydride. DMF, DIEA, and ACN were dried by storing over activated molecular sieves for 2 days. Silica gel 60 (230 – 400 mesh) purchased from EM Scientific was used for column chromatography.

Reactions in solution were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) which was carried out on 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60F-254) using UV light as the visualizing agent. The N-H containing compounds were visualized by exposing the TLC plate to chlorine gas (generated by dissolving potassium permanganate in concentrated HCl) for 30-60 seconds. The TLC plate was then dipped into a solution of KI and o-tolidine (500 mg KI in 50 mL water combined with a solution of o-tolidine in 45 mL water and 8 mL glacial acetic acid). To confirm the presence of a free amine, TLC plates were dipped into a 2% ninhydrin/ ethanol solution and then heated. The following solvent systems were used: (A) 20% EtOAc/ hex, (B) 1:1 EtOAc:hex, (C) 2:1 EtOAc:hex, (D) 2% acetone/ DCM, (E) 5% MeOH/ DCM, (F) 10% MeOH/ DCM, (G) 9:1:1 DCM:MeOH:AcOH, (H) 9:1:0.3 DCM:MeOH:AcOH.

Optical rotation ([α]_D) was measured on a Perkin Elmer 241 polarimeter.
The 1D-NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian HG-400 (400 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts (\(\delta\)) are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual undeuterated solvent as an internal standard. The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, bs = broad singlet, bm = broad multiplet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, dm = doublet of multiplets, dbm = doublet of broad multiplets.

The 2-D NMR NOESY spectra were obtained on a Bruker 600 MHz spectrometer.

Mass spectra were obtained from The Scripps Research Institute (TSRI), La Jolla, CA mass spectrometry facility using electrospray (ESI) and MALDI-FTMS techniques.

Analytical RP-HPLC was carried out on a Millenium 2010 system consisting of a Waters 715 Ultra WISP sample processor, a Waters TM 996 photodiode array detector, two Waters 510 pumps, and a NEC Power Mate 485/33I computer. The column was an Akzo Nobel KR100-5C18 kromasil column (250 mm x 4.6 mm). A 1 mg/ mL solution of each compound was made and 10 \(\mu\)l were injected at a flow rate of 1 mL/ min. Spectra were recorded at a wave length of \(\lambda = 220\) nm. The solvents used were as follows: solvent A = 0.1% TFA in water, solvent B = 0.1% TFA in ACN.
3.5.2 Syntheses of amino acids

(2S)-2-Benzylxycarbonylamino-3-hydroxypropionic acid methyl ester [3-42]:
Cbz-L-Serine (15.0 g, 62.74 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (450 mL) in a 1 L round bottom flask. SOCl\(_2\) (9.11 mL, 125.48 mmol) was added, drop-wise, over 1 h. The reaction was left to stir for 4 h until complete as determined by TLC. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to provide 15.9 g of white, waxy crystals in quantitative yield. R\(_f\) = 0.36 in solvent system (A); [\(\alpha\)]\(_20\) = -13.7\(^\circ\) (c = 1.00, MeOH, 589 nm); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz) \(\delta\) 7.31 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 5.77 (d, 1 H, J = 6.8 Hz, NH), 5.12 (s, 2 H, Z CH\(_2\)), 4.44 (m, 1 H, \(\alpha\)-H), 3.94 (dd, 2 H, \(\beta\)-CH\(_2\)), 3.76 (s, 3 H, OMe); MS (ESI) 276 M+Na\(^+\).

(4S)-N-Benzylxycarbonyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-43]: A 500 mL round bottom flask was charged with (2S)-2-benzylxycarbonylamino-3-hydroxypropionic acid methyl ester [3-42] (17.18 g, 67.84 mmol), \(p\)-TsOH⋅H\(_2\)O
(0.19 g, 1.02 mmol), and 2, 2-dimethoxypropane (16.9 mL, 135.68 mmol) in benzene (310 mL). The reaction was heated at reflux for 45 min. The flask was then fitted with a Dean-Stark trap and 270 mL of distillate was collected. Additional benzene (134 mL) and 2,2-dimethoxypropane (5.1 mL, 40.70 mmol) were added and the reaction refluxed for 30 min. An additional 128 mL of distillate was collected. The cooled solution was diluted with DCM (200 mL) and washed with conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 100 mL) and brine (1 x 150mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a red-brown oil (21.0 g). The crude material was purified by column chromatography using 20% EtOAc/ hex as the eluent to yield a pale yellow oil (17.16 g, 84%). Rᵢ = 0.23 in solvent system (A), Rᵢ = 0.51 in solvent system (B), Rᵢ = 0.60 in solvent system (C); [α]²₀ = -49.7° (c = 1.00, DCM, 589 nm); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.32 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 5.18, 5.05 (rotamers, d, 1, 1 H, J = 12.2 Hz, Z CH₂), 4.54, 4.47 (rotamers, dd, 0.3, 0.7 H, J = 6.8, 2.8 Hz, α-H), 4.16, 4.09 (rotamers, m, 1, 1 H, CH₂), 3.77, 3.63 (rotamers, s, 1, 2 H, OMe), 1.70, 1.64 (rotamers, s, 2, 1 H, CH₃), 1.56, 1.49 (rotamers, s, 2, 1 H, CH₃); MS (ESI) 294 [M+H]⁺, 316 [M+Na]⁺.
(4R)-N-Benzoyloxycarbonyl-4-hydroxymethy-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-44]:

(4S)-N-Benzoyloxycarbonyl-4-methoxycarbonyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-43]

(12.0 g, 40.91 mmol) was dissolved in dry THF (120 mL) in a 500 mL round bottom flask and cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. LiCl (3.46 g, 81.82 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred until most had dissolved. In a separate flask, NaBH₄ (3.10 g, 81.82 mmol) was suspended in dry EtOH and added to the reaction mixture at a rate which maintained the reaction temperature below 5 °C. When the addition was complete, the clear, colorless reaction was allowed to slowly warm to r.t. and stir over night. The reaction became cloudy with white precipitate. TLC confirmed the completion of the reaction. The solid was filtered from the solution and washed with EtOAc (200 mL). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to an oil. The oil was dissolved in EtOAc (300 mL) and washed with water (2 x 100 mL) and brine (1 x 150 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to a yellow oil (12.1 g). The crude material was purified by column chromatography using 2:1 hex:EtOAc as the eluent and switching to 1:1 hex:EtOAc after the yellow band eluted, to yield a pale yellow oil (10.31 g, 95%). Rf = 0.32 in solvent system (B); [α]_{D}^{20} = -19.4° (c = 1.00, DCM, 589 nm); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.34 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 5.12 (d, 2 H, J = 14 Hz, Z CH₂), 4.09 (m, 1 H, α-H),
3.98, 3.84 (rotamers, m, 1, 1 H, CH₂), 3.76, 3.60 (rotamers, m, 1, 1 H, CH₂), 1.52 (m, 6 H, CH₃); MS (ESI) 288 [M+Na]⁺.

(4S)-N-Benzoyloxycarbonyl-4-(p-toluenesulfonyloxymethyl)-2,2-dimethyl oxazolidine [3-45]:

(4R)-N-Benzoyloxycarbonyl-4-hydroxymethyl-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-44] (4.0 g, 14.74 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled DCM (35 mL) in 100 mL round bottom flask which was flushed with Ar. The flask was placed into an ice water bath and cooled to 0 °C. Pyridine (2.88 mL, 35.38 mmol) was added, followed by p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (3.37 g, 17.69 mmol). The reaction was allowed to slowly warm to r.t. and stir over night under Ar. Completion was confirmed by TLC. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was dissolved in hot EtOAc and purified by column chromatography using 20% EtOAc/ hex as the eluent to yield a white, crystalline solid (4.64 g, 75%). (*Note: compound was not found to be stable for longer than 2 days, even when stored under high vacuum or under Ar at 0 °C.) Rᶠ = 0.50 in solvent system (B), Rᶠ = 0.43 in solvent system (D), Rᶠ = 0.85 in solvent system (E); [α]²⁰ D = -44.1° (c = 1.00, DCM, 589 nm); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.78, 7.67 (rotamers, d, 0.8, 1.2 H, J = 7.6 Hz, arom tos-H), 7.32 (m, 7 H, arom Z, tos-H), 5.09 (m, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.17 (m, 2 H,
\[ \beta-CH_2, 3.92 \ (m, 2 \ H, \ ring \ CH_2), 3.86 \ (t, 1 \ H, J = 10.4, 28.4 \ Hz, \ \alpha-H), 2.43 \ (s, 3 \ H, \ tos \ CH_3), 1.48 \ (m, 6 \ H, \ CH_3); \ MS \ (ESI) \ 442 \ [M+Na]^+. \]

\[
\text{(4S)-N-Benzylxycarbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-benzimidazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-46]}:
\]

(4S)-N-Benzylxycarbonyl-4-(\(\rho\)-toluene sulfonyloxymethyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-45] (3.0 g, 7.15 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled THF (20 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Meanwhile, benzimidazole (1.01 g, 8.58 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled THF (20 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Solid 60% NaH (0.40 g, 10.01 mmol) was then slowly added. When gas evolution ceased, the slurry was added to the solution of tosylate and washed in with an additional portion of THF (12 mL). The reaction was then heated to 80 °C and left to stir over night under Ar. The reaction was cooled to r.t. and diluted with water (30 mL). It was washed with EtOAc (4 x 30 mL). The combined organic extracts were then washed with brine (1 x 50 mL), dried over MgSO_4, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a gold solid (2.70 g). The solid was dissolved in DCM and purified by column chromatography using 3% MeOH/ DCM as the eluent to yield a white, crystalline foam (2.00 g, 77%). \( R_f = 0.06 \) in solvent system (B), \( R_f = 0.33 \) in solvent system (E), \( R_f = 0.68 \) in solvent system (F); \([\alpha]_D^{20} = +27.5^\circ\)
(c = 1.00, DCM, 589 nm); \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 400 MHz) \(\delta\) 8.04, 7.99 (rotamers, s, 1 H, arom bi H2), 7.77 (m, 2 H, arom bi H4, H7), 7.33 (m, 7 H, arom Z, bi H5, H6), 5.20 (m, 2 H, Z CH\textsubscript{2}), 4.53, 4.31 (rotamers, dm, 0.4, 0.6 H, \(\alpha\)-H), 4.27, 4.15 (rotamers, dm, 2 H, \(\beta\)-CH\textsubscript{2}), 3.82 (m, 2 H, ring CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.55 (rotamers, dd, 6 H, CH\textsubscript{3}); MS (ESI) 366 [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}.

(2S)-3-(1\textit{H}-Benzimidazole-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanol:  
\[ \text{N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-(1\textit{H}-methyl-benzimidazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-46]} \]

(0.66 g, 1.80 mmol) was dissolved in 4N HCl/ dioxane (10 mL) and allowed to stir for 1 h. A white solid precipitate formed. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.56 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. \(R_f = 0.56\) in solvent system (F); \([\alpha]\textsubscript{D}\textsuperscript{20} = +35^\circ\) (c = 1.00, MeOH, 589 nm); \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3} and CD\textsubscript{3}OD, 400 MHz) \(\delta\) 9.55 (s, 1 H, arom bi H2), 7.88 (m, 1 H, arom bi H7), 7.73 (m, 1 H, arom bi H4), 7.54 (m, 2 H, arom bi H5, H6), 7.19 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.90 (s, 2 H, Z CH\textsubscript{2}), 4.71, 4.51 (dd, 1,1 H, \(J = 8.0, 9.2\) Hz, \(\beta\)-CH\textsubscript{2}), 3.59 (m, 3 H, \(\alpha\)-H, alc CH\textsubscript{2}); MS (ESI) 326 [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}, 348 [M+Na]\textsuperscript{+}. 

(4S)-N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-(1\textit{H}-methyl-benzimidazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-46]
(2S)-3-(1H-Benzimidazole-yl)-2-benzylxycarbonylamino-propanoic acid (or Z-D-1H-benzimidazole alanine) [3-47]: (2S)-3-(1H-Benzimidazole-yl)-2-benzylxycarbonylamino-propanol (0.60 g, 1.66 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (9 mL), added to pH = 6.7 phosphate buffer (7 mL), and heated to 50 °C. A catalytic amount of TEMPO (0.026 g, 0.166 mmol) was added, followed by simultaneous addition of sodium chlorite solution (0.55 g of 80% NaClO₂ in 2.4 mL water) and bleach solution (0.12 mL of household bleach (~ 5% NaOCl) in 2.4 mL water) over 10 minutes. **Caution! Do NOT mix the sodium chlorite and bleach solutions prior to addition, as the mixture appears to be unstable.** The brown solution was left to stir overnight at 50 °C. By TLC the reaction was complete. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. Water was added (25 mL), followed by drop-wise addition of a saturated solution of Na₂SO₃ until the solution became colorless. The product precipitated, was filtered from the solution, and dried under reduced pressure afford 0.50 g of a white solid (90% yield). \( R_f = 0.21 \) in solvent system (G); \([\alpha]^{20}_D = +72.0^\circ \) (c = 1.00, DMF, 589 nm), \([\alpha]^{20}_D = +36.3^\circ \) (c = 1.00, 4.5:4.0:1.0 MeOH:ACN:water, 589 nm); Analytical RP-HPLC: 90A:10B → 10A:90B / 30 min. \( t_r = 17.18 \) min, as a single peak; \(^1\)H NMR (CD₃CN and CD₃OD, 400 MHz) \( \delta \) 8.06 (s, 1 H, arom bi H2), 7.68 (m,
1 H, arom bi H7), 7.58 (m, 1 H, arom bi H4), 7.30 (m, 7 H, arom Z, bi H6, H5), 5.00 (s, 2 H, Z CH2), 4.73 (q, 1 H, J = 10.0 Hz, α-H), 4.61 (t, 2 H, J = 10.0 Hz, β-CH2); $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 171.7 (1 C, COOH), 156.5 (1 C, C=O of Z), 145.0 (1 C, Z C1), 143.8 (1 C, bi C2), 137.3, 134.5 (2 C, bi C7a, C3a), 128.9, 128.4, 128.1 (5 C, Z C2-C6), 123.1, 122.2 (2 C, bi C5, C6), 120.0, 110.9 (2 C, bi C4, C7), 66.3 (1 C, Z CH2), 54.9 (1 C, α-C), 45.4 (1 C, β-C); MS (ESI) 340 [M+H]$^+$, 338 [M-H]$^-$.

(4S)-N-Benzoyloxycarbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-benzotriazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-48] and (4S)-N-Benzoyloxycarbonyl-4-(2H-methyl-benzotriazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-49]: (4S)-N-Benzoyloxycarbonyl-4-(p-toluenesulfonyloxymethyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-45] (2.0 g, 4.77 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled DMF (20 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Separately, benzotriazole (0.68 g, 5.72 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled DMF (15 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Solid 60% NaH (0.27 g, 6.68 mmol) was then slowly added. When gas evolution ceased, the slurry was added to the solution of tosylate and washed in with an additional portion of DMF (5 mL). The reaction was heated to 80 °C and left to stir over night under Ar. The yellow reaction was complete by TLC
and was concentrated under reduced pressure to a yellow residue. The residue was partitioned between DCM (40 mL) and water (40 mL). The layers were separated and the aqueous phase back-extracted with DCM (40 mL). The combined organic solution was washed with brine (1 x 50 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a gold solid (2.0 g). The solid was dissolved in 2% acetone/DCM and purified by column chromatography in the same solvent system to yield two regioisomers in a 2:1 ratio with an overall yield of 80%.

**(4S)-N-Benzylxycarbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-benzotriazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-48]:** The more polar compound, (4S)-N-Benzylxycarbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-benzotriazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-48] was isolated as a white solid (0.93 g). Rᶠ = 0.18 in solvent system (D), Rᶠ = 0.73 in solvent system (E); [α]²⁰ = +48.5° (c = 1.00, DCM, 589 nm); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.06, 8.00 (rotamers, d, 1 H, J = 8.4, 8.0 Hz, arom bt H7), 7.85, 7.75 (rotamers, m, 1 H, arom bt H4), 7.39 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 7.32, 7.17 (t, 1 H, J = 7.6, 8.0 Hz, arom bt H6, H5), 5.23, 5.16 (rotamers, d, 2 H, J = 4.4 Hz, Z CH₂), 4.88, 4.68 (rotamers, m, 2 H, β-CH₂), 4.27, 4.22 (rotamers, m, 1 H, α-H), 4.16 (dd, 1 H, J = 9.2, 9.6 Hz, ring CH₂), 3.87 (dm, 1 H, ring CH₂), 1.59 (rotamers, m, 6 H, CH₃); MS (ESI) 367 [M+H]⁺, 389 [M+Na]⁺.

**(4S)-N-Benzylxycarbonyl-4-(2H-methyl-benzotriazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-49]:** The less polar compound, (4S)-N-Benzylxycarbonyl-4-(2H-methyl-benzotriazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-49] was isolated as a white
solid (0.47 g). \( R_f = 0.35 \) in solvent system (D), \( R_f = 0.74 \) in solvent system (E); \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +27.4^\circ \) (c = 1.00, DCM, 589 nm); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 400 MHz) \( \delta \) 7.85 (m, 2 H, arom bt H7, H4), 7.37 (m, 7 H, arom Z, bt H6, H5), 5.18 (m, 2 H, Z CH\(_2\)), 4.93, 4.78 (rotamers, m, 2 H, \( \beta \)-CH\(_2\)), 4.62 (m, 1 H, \( \alpha \)-H), 4.10, 3.95 (rotamers, m, 2 H, ring CH\(_2\)), 1.54 (rotamers, m, 6 H, CH\(_3\)); MS (ESI) 389 [M+Na].
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\((2S)-3-(1H\text{-Benzotriazole-yl})-2\text{-benzyloxy carbonylamino-propanol:} \quad (4S)-N\text{-Benzyloxy carbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-benzotriazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyl oxazolidine} \quad [3\text{-48}]

(0.61 g, 1.66 mmol) was dissolved in 4N HCl/ dioxane (12 mL) and allowed to stir for 1 h. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.54 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. \( R_f = 0.38 \) in solvent system (E), \( R_f = 0.63 \) in solvent system (F), \( R_f = 0.78 \) in solvent system (G); \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +23.1^\circ \) (c = 1.00, MeOH, 589 nm); \(^1\)H NMR (CD\(_3\)OD, 400 MHz) \( \delta \) 7.98 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, arom bt H7), 7.85 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 1 H, arom bt H4), 7.56 (t, 1 H, \( J = 7.2 \), 8.0 Hz, arom bt H6), 7.48 (t, 1 H, \( J = 7.2 \), 8.0 Hz, arom bt H5), 7.29 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 5.02 (m, 2 H, Z CH\(_2\)), 4.83 (m, 2 H, \( \beta \)-CH\(_2\)), 4.19 (m, 1 H, \( \alpha \)-H), 3.66 (d, 2 H, \( J = 5.6 \) Hz, alc CH\(_2\)); MS (ESI) 327 [M+H], 349 [M+Na].
(2S)-3-(2H-Benzotriazole-yl)-2-benzyloxy carbonylamino-propanol:  (4S)-N-
Benzyloxy carbonyl-4-(2H-methyl-benzotriazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine  [3-49]
(0.40 g, 1.10 mmol) was dissolved in 4N HCl/dioxane (8 mL) and allowed to stir for
1 h. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.36 g of a white
foam in quantitative yield. \( R_f = 0.27 \) in solvent system (E), \( R_f = 0.44 \) in solvent system
(F), \( R_f = 0.63 \) in solvent system (G); \( [\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +36.1^\circ \) (c = 1.00, MeOH, 589 nm); \( ^1H \)
NMR (CD\(_3\)OD, 400 MHz) \( \delta 7.83 \) (m, 2 H, arom bt H7, H4), 7.41 (m, 2 H, arom bt H6,
H5), 7.22 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.96 (m, 2 H, Z CH\(_2\)), 4.83 (m, 2 H, \( \beta \)-CH\(_2\)), 4.35 (m, 1 H,
\( \alpha \)-H), 3.65 (dd, 2 H, \( J = 1.6 \) Hz, alc CH\(_2\)); MS (ESI) 349 [M+Na]\(^+\).

(2S)-3-(1H-Benzotriazole-yl)-2-benzyloxy carbonylamino-propanoic acid
(or \( Z \)-\( D \)-1H-benzotriazole alanine) [3-50]: (2S)-3-(1H-Benzotriazole-yl)-2-
benzyloxy carbonylamino-propanol (0.56 g, 1.40 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (8 mL), added to pH = 6.7 phosphate buffer (6 mL), and heated to 50 °C. A catalytic amount of TEMPO (0.022 g, 0.140 mmol) was added, followed by simultaneous addition of sodium chlorite solution (0.46 g of 80% NaClO₂ in 2 mL water) and bleach solution (0.10 mL of household bleach (~ 5% NaOCl) in 2 mL water) over 10 minutes. **Caution! Do NOT mix the sodium chlorite and bleach solutions prior to addition, as the mixture appears to be unstable.** The brown-orange solution was left to stir overnight at 50 °C. By TLC the reaction was complete. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. Water was added (16 mL), followed by drop-wise addition of a saturated solution of Na₂SO₃ until the solution became colorless. The solution was basified to pH = 9 with 1 N NaOH (~ 20 mL). The aqueous solution was washed with Et₂O (4 x 20 mL) until the washes showed no uv activity on TLC plates. The aqueous solution was then acidified to pH = 6 with 1 N HCl (~ 25 mL) and saturated with NaCl. It was extracted with THF (6 x 25 mL) until no product remained in the aqueous layer by TLC. The organic washes were then dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.47 g of a white foam in quantitative yield. \( R_f = 0.20 \) in solvent system (F), \( R_f = 0.58 \) in solvent system (G); \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -12.2^\circ \) (c = 1.00, THF, 589 nm); Analytical RP-HPLC: 80A:20B \( \rightarrow \) 10A:90B / 30 min. \( r_t = 18.75 \) min, 96% pure; \(^1\)H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz) \( \delta \) 7.99 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.4, \) Hz, arom bt H7), 7.82 (d, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, 1 H, arom bt H4), 7.52 (t, 1 H, \( J = 7.2, \) 8.0 Hz, arom bt H6), 7.37 (t, 1 H, \( J = 7.2, \) 8.0 Hz, arom bt H5), 7.28 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 5.10 (dd, 1 H, \( J = 4.4 \) Hz, Z CH₂), 4.90 (m, 2 H, bzl CH₂, \( \alpha \)-H) 4.45 (m, 2 H, \( \beta \)-CH₂); \(^{13}\)C NMR
(400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.4 (1 C, COOH), 156.3 (1 C, C=O of Z), 145.6 (1 C, Z C1), 137.4 (1 C, bt C7a), 134.0, (1 C, bt C4a), 128.9, 128.3, 128.0, (5 C, Z C2-C6), 127.8, 124.4 (2 C, bt C5, C6), 120.0, 111.4 (2 C, bt C4, C7), 66.1 (1 C, Z CH₂), 55.5 (1 C, β-C), 49.3 (1 C, α-C); MS (ESI) 339 [M-H].

(2S)-3-(2H-Benzotriazole-yl)-2-benzyloxy carbonylamino-propanoic acid (or Z-D-2H-benzotriazole alanine) [3-51]: (2S)-3-(2H-Benzotriazole-yl)-2-benzyloxy carbonylamino-propanol (0.33 g, 1.01 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (5.5 mL), added to pH = 6.7 phosphate buffer (4 mL), and heated to 50 °C. A catalytic amount of TEMPO (0.016 g, 0.10 mmol) was added, followed by simultaneous addition of sodium chlorite solution (0.34 g of 80% NaClO₂ in 1.5 mL water) and bleach solution (0.08 mL of household bleach (~ 5% NaOCl) in 1.5 mL water) over 10 minutes. **Caution! Do NOT mix the sodium chlorite and bleach solutions prior to addition, as the mixture appears to be unstable.²⁷** The brown-orange solution was left to stir over night at 50 °C. By TLC the reaction was complete. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. Water was added (15 mL), followed by drop-wise addition of a saturated solution of Na₂SO₃ until the solution became colorless. The
solution was basified to pH = 10 with 1 N NaOH (~ 6 mL). The aqueous solution was washed with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL) until the washes showed no uv activity on TLC plates. The aqueous solution was then acidified to pH = 2 with 1 N HCl (~ 10 mL) and became cloudy with white precipitate. It was extracted with EtOAc (4 x 40 mL) until no product remained in the aqueous layer by TLC. The organic washes were then dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.29 g of a white solid (85% yield). R_f = 0.13 in solvent system (F), R_f = 0.50 in solvent system (G); [α]_D^{20} = -13.2° (c = 1.00, THF, 589 nm); Analytical RP-HPLC: 80A:20B → 10A:90B / 30 min. r_t = 18.09 min, 97.5% pure; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz) δ 7.89 (m, 2 H, arom bt H7, H4), 7.77 (d, 1 H, J = 8.8 Hz, NH), 7.42 (m, 2 H, arom bt H6, H5), 7.22 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 5.13, 5.05 (dd, 1 H, J = 4.8, 9.2 Hz, bzl CH₂), 4.95 (m, 2 H, β-CH₂), 4.78 (m, 1 H, α-H); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.2 (1 C, COOH), 156.5 (1 C, C=O of Z), 145.6 (1 C, Z C1), 137.5 (2 C, bt C7a, C4a), 129.0, 128.4, 128.1, (5 C, Z C2-C6), 127.2, (2 C, bt C5, C6), 118.6 (2 C, bt C4, C7), 66.1 (1 C, Z CH₂), 56.8 (1 C, β-C), 54.7 (1 C, α-C); MS (ESI) 341 [M+H]+, 363 [M+Na]+, 385 [M-H+2Na]+.
(4S)-N-Benzoyloxycarbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-indazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine

[3-52]: (4S)-N-Benzoyloxycarbonyl-4-(p-toluenesulfonyloxymethyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-45] (0.50 g, 1.19 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (5 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Separately, indazole (0.17 g, 1.43 mmol) was dissolved in dry DMF (2 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Solid 60% NaH (0.07 g, 1.67 mmol) was then slowly added. When gas evolution ceased, the slurry was added to the solution of tosylate and washed in with an additional portion of DMF (3 mL). The reaction was then heated to 80 °C and left to stir over night under Ar. The cloudy, yellow reaction was cooled to r.t. and diluted with water (5 mL). It was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was partitioned between water (15 mL) and DCM (20 mL). The layers were separated and the organic washed with brine (1 x 15 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to a gold gel (0.49 g). The gel was dissolved in DCM and purified by column chromatography using 1% acetone/ DCM as the eluent to yield a white solid (0.22 g, 50%). Ragnost 0.23 in solvent system (D), Ragnost 0.71 in solvent system (E); [α]D²⁰ = +51.9° (c = 1.00, DCM, 589 nm); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.98, 7.94 (rotamers, s, 1 H, arom ind H3), 7.69 (rotamers, 1 H, dd, J = 8.0, 8.8 Hz, arom ind H4), 7.42 (m, 6 H, arom Z, ind H7), 7.07 (m, 2 H, arom ind H6, H5), 5.18 (m, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.40
(rotamers, m, 3 H, α-H, β-CH₂), 4.22, 3.83 (rotamers, dm, 2 H, ring CH₂), 1.66, 1.54, 1.43 (rotamers, s, s, d, 2, 2, 2 H, CH₃); MS (ESI) 366 [M+H]⁺, 388 [M+Na]⁺.

(2S)-3-(1H-Indazole-yl)-2-benzyloxy carbonylamino-propanol:

(4S)-N-Benzylcarbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-indazole-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-52] (0.30 g, 0.82 mmol) was dissolved in 4N HCl/ dioxane (6 mL) and allowed to stir for 1 h. A white solid precipitate formed. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.27 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. \( R_f = 0.42 \) in solvent system (E); \( [\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +12.4^\circ \) (c = 1.00, MeOH, 589 nm); \(^1\text{H NMR (CDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz)}\) \( \delta 7.99 \) (s, 1 H, arom ind H3), 7.74 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, arom ind H4), 7.60 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.4 \) Hz, arom ind H7), 7.29 (m, 7 H, arom Z, ind H6, H5), 4.92 (s, 2 H, CH₂), 4.60, 4.50 (dd, 2 H, \( J = 5.6 \), 7.2 Hz, β-CH₂), 4.11 (m, 1 H, α-H), 3.56 (d, 2 H, \( J = 6.0 \) Hz, alc CH₂); MS (ESI) 326 [M+H]⁺, 348 [M+Na]⁺.
(2S)-3-(1H-Indazole-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanoic acid (or Z-D-1H-Indazole alanine) [3-53]: (2S)-3-(1H-Indazole-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanol (0.23 g, 0.63 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (4 mL), added to pH = 6.7 phosphate buffer (3 mL), and heated to 50 °C. A catalytic amount of TEMPO (0.010 g, 0.064 mmol) was added, followed by simultaneous addition of sodium chlorite solution (0.21 g of 80% NaClO₂ in 0.9 mL water) and bleach solution (0.05 mL of household bleach (~ 5% NaOCl) in 0.9 mL water) over 10 min. **Caution! Do NOT mix the sodium chlorite and bleach solutions prior to addition, as the mixture appears to be unstable.** The brownish orange solution was left to stir overnight at 50 °C. The reaction became colorless with white solid dispersed in it. By TLC the reaction was complete. It was cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. Water was added (7 mL), followed by drop-wise addition of a saturated solution of Na₂SO₃ (~ 3 mL). The solution was basified with 1 N NaOH (~ 6 mL) and washed with Et₂O (4 x 10 mL) until nothing was seen in the washes by TLC. The aqueous solution was acidified to pH = 4 with 1 N HCl (7 mL) and saturated with solid NaCl. It was extracted with 20 mL portions of EtOAc until no more product came out by TLC. The
organic washes were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.21 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. \( R_f = 0.17 \) in solvent system (F), \( R_f = 0.76 \) in solvent system (G); \( [\alpha]^{20}_D = -21.6^\circ \) (c = 1.00, THF, 589 nm); Analytical RP-HPLC: 80A:20B → 10A:90B / 30 min. \( r_t = 21.19 \) min, as a single peak; \(^1\)H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz) \( \delta \) 8.07 (s, 1 H, arom ind H3), 7.72 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, arom ind H4), 7.60 (m, 1 H, arom ind H7), 7.29, 7.14 (m, 7 H, arom Z, ind H6, H5), 4.89 (m, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.70 (d, 2 H, \( J = 6.8 \) Hz, \( \beta \)-CH₂), 4.51 (m, 1 H, \( \alpha \)-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) \( \delta \) 171.8 (1 C, COOH), 156.3 (1 C, C=O of Z), 140.5 (1 C, Z C1), 134.1 (2 C, ind C3, C7a), 128.9, 128.3, 128.0 (5 C, Z C2-C6), 126.7 (1 C, ind C6), 124.0 (1 C, ind C3a), 121.4, 121.0 (2 C, ind C4, C5), 110.3 (1 C, ind C7), 66.1 (1 C, Z CH₂), 55.2 (1 C, \( \beta \)-C), 49.5 (1 C, \( \alpha \)-C); MS (ESI) 338 [M-H].

(4S)-N-Benzzyloxy carbonyl-4-(9H-methyl-purine-yl)-2,2-dimethyl oxazolidine

[3-54] and (4S)-N-Benzzyloxy carbonyl-4-(7H-methyl-purine-yl)-2,2-dimethyl oxazolidine [3-55]:

(4S)-N-Benzzyloxy carbonyl-4-(\( \rho \)-toluenesulfonyloxymethyl)-2,2-dimethyl oxazolidine [3-45] (1.46 g, 3.5 mmol) was
dissolved in freshly distilled DMF (10 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Separately, purine (0.50 g, 4.20 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled DMF (5 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Solid 60% NaH (0.20 g, 4.9 mmol) was then slowly added. When gas evolution ceased, the dark orange slurry was added to the solution of tosylate and washed in with an additional portion of DMF (5 mL). The reaction was heated to 80 °C and left to stir over night under Ar. The dark orange reaction was complete by TLC. Water (5 mL) was added, and the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to an orange solid. The solid was dissolved in 5% MeOH/DCM and purified by column chromatography in the same solvent system to yield two regioisomers in a 2:1 ratio with an overall yield of 70%.

(4S)-N-Benzylloxycarbonyl-4-(9H-methyl-purine-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine

[3-54]: The less polar compound, (4S)-N-Benzylloxycarbonyl-4-(9H-methyl-purine-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-54] was isolated as a pale yellow foam (0.61 g). R_f = 0.28 in solvent system (E), R_f = 0.63 in solvent system (F); [α]_D^20 = +2.5° (c = 1.00, DCM, 589 nm); 1H NMR (CDCl_3, 400 MHz) δ 9.07, 8.92 (rotamers, s, 2 H, arom pur H6, H2), 7.99, 7.76 (rotamers, s, 0.5, 0.5 H, arom pur H8), 7.33 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 5.17 (rotamers, s, 1 H, Z CH_2), 4.98 (rotamers, dd, 1 H, J = 12 Hz, Z CH_2), 4.50 (rotamers, m, 1 H, α-H), 4.39 (rotamers, m, 2 H, β-CH_2), 4.13, 4.00 (rotamers, dm, 2 H, ring CH_2), 1.52, 1.40, 1.32 (rotamers, d, s, s, 2, 2, 2 H, CH_3); MS (ESI) 368 [M+H]^+, 390 [M+Na]^+. 
4S)-N-Benzylxocarbonyl-4-(7H-methyl-purine-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-55]: The more polar compound, (4S)-N-Benzylxocarbonyl-4-(7H-methyl-purine-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-55] was isolated as a pale yellow foam (0.30 g). R_f = 0.05 in solvent system (E), R_f = 0.60 in solvent system (F); [α]_D^20 = +36.7° (c = 1.00, DCM, 589 nm); ¹H NMR (CDCl_3, 400 MHz) δ 9.23, 9.18, 9.11 (rotamers, s, 1.5 H, arom pur H6, H2), 8.58 (rotamers, s, 0.5 H, arom pur H6, H2), 8.21, 8.10 (rotamers, s, 0.5, 0.5 H, arom pur H8), 7.34 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 5.17 (rotamers, m, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.39 (rotamers, m, 3 H, α-H, β-CH₂), 3.85, 3.80 (rotamers, dm, 2 H, ring CH₂), 1.66, 1.55, 1.43 (rotamers, s, s, s, 1.5, 1.5, 3 H, CH₃); MS (ESI) 368 [M+H]^+, 390 [M+Na]^+, 757 [M₂+Na]^+.

(2S)-3-(9H-Purine-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanol [3-58]: (4S)-N-Benzylxocarbonyl-4-(9H-methyl-purine-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-54] (0.47 g, 1.28 mmol) was dissolved in 4N HCl/ dioxane (9 mL) and allowed to stir for 1 h. A white solid precipitate formed. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.42 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. R_f = 0.13 in solvent system (F); [α]_D^20 = +36.2° (c = 1.00, MeOH, 589 nm); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) δ
9.05 (s, 1 H, arom pur H2), 8.89 (s, 1 H, arom pur H6), 8.43 (s, 1 H, arom pur H8),
7.24 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.89 (m, 2 H, Z CH2), 4.64, 4.41 (dd, 2 H, J = 4.0, 8.8 Hz, β-
CH2), 4.19 (m, 1 H, α-H), 3.31 (m, 2 H, alc CH2); MS (ESI) 328 [M+H]+, 350
[M+Na]+.

(2S)-3-(7H-Purine-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanol [3-59]: (4S)-N-
Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-(7H-methyl-purine-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-55] (0.17 g,
0.46 mmol) was dissolved in 4N HCl/ dioxane (3 mL) and allowed to stir for
1 h. A white solid precipitate formed. The solution was concentrated under reduced
pressure to afford 0.15 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. Rf = 0.08 in solvent
system (F); [α]D20 = +38.7° (c = 1.00, MeOH, 589 nm); 1H NMR (CD3OD, 400 MHz) δ
9.22 (s, 1 H, arom pur H2), 8.98 (s, 1 H, arom pur H6), 8.56 (s, 1 H, arom pur H8),
7.27 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.88 (m, 2 H, Z CH2), 4.66, 4.41 (dd, 2 H, J = 4.4, 8.8 Hz,
β-CH2), 4.13 (m, 1 H, α-H), 3.31 (m, 2 H, alc CH2); MS (ESI) 328 [M+H]+, 350
[M+Na]+.
(2S)-3-(9H-Purine-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanoic acid (or Z-D-7H-Purine alanine) [3-56]: (2S)-3-(9H-Purine-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanol [3-58] (0.42 g, 1.28 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (7 mL), added to pH = 6.7 phosphate buffer (5 mL), and heated to 50 °C. A catalytic amount of TEMPO (0.020 g, 0.13 mmol) was added, followed by simultaneous addition of sodium chlorite solution (0.44 g of 80% NaClO₂ in 1.9 mL water) and bleach solution (0.10 mL of household bleach (~ 5% NaOCl) in 1.9 mL water) over 10 min.

**Caution! Do NOT mix the sodium chlorite and bleach solutions prior to addition, as the mixture appears to be unstable.** The brownish orange solution was left to stir over night at 50 °C. The reaction became pale yellow. By TLC the reaction was complete. It was cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. Water was added (15 mL), followed by drop-wise addition of a saturated solution of Na₂SO₃ (~ 3 mL). The solution was basified to pH = 10 with 1 N NaOH (~ 8 mL) and washed with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL) until nothing was seen in the washes by TLC. The aqueous solution was acidified to pH = 2 with 1 N HCl (12 mL) and saturated with solid NaCl. It was extracted with THF (3 x 50 mL) until no more product came out by TLC. The organic washes were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was removed by
filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.40 g of a beige solid (91% yield). \( R_f = 0.16 \) in solvent system (G); \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +41.8^\circ \) (c = 1.00, 1:1 MeOH: water, 589 nm); Analytical RP-HPLC: 90A:10B \( \rightarrow \) 20A:80B / 30 min. \( r_t = 12.01 \) min, 95% pure; \( ^1H \text{NMR (DMSO-d}_6, 400 \text{MHz}) \delta \) 9.20 (s, 1 H, arom pur H2), 8.97 (s, 1 H, arom pur H6), 8.54 (s, 1 H, arom pur H8), 7.83 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.0 \text{Hz}, \) NH), 7.26, 7.18 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.91 (m, 2 H, Z CH\(_2\)), 4.71 (m, 1 H, \( \alpha\)-H), 4.57 (m, 2 H, \( \beta\)-CH\(_2\)); \( ^{13}C \text{NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d}_6 \) \( \delta \) 171.6 (1 C, COOH), 156.6 (1 C, C=O of Z), 152.2, 152.1 (2 C, pur C2, C4), 148.6 (1 C, pur C8), 147.6 (1 C, pur C6), 137.4 (1 C, Z C1), 134.1 (1 C, pur C5), 128.9, 128.5, 128.2 (5 C, Z C2-C6), 66.1 (1 C, Z CH\(_2\)), 60.4 (1 C, \( \alpha\)-C), 53.8 (1 C, \( \beta\)-C); MS (ESI) 342 [M+H]\(^+\).

(2S)-3-(7H-Purine-yl)-2-benzyoxycarbonylamino-propanoic acid (or \( Z\)-D-9H-Purine alanine) [3-57]: (2S)-3-(7H-Purine-yl)-2-benzyoxycarbonylamino-propanol [3-59] (0.15 g, 0.46 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (2.5 mL), added to pH = 6.7 phosphate buffer (2 mL), and heated to 50 °C. A catalytic amount of TEMPO (0.007 g, 0.046 mmol) was added, followed by simultaneous addition of sodium chlorite solution (0.14 g of 80% NaClO\(_2\) in 0.6 mL water) and bleach solution (0.03 mL of household bleach (~ 5% NaOCl) in 0.6 mL water) over 10 minutes.
Caution! Do NOT mix the sodium chlorite and bleach solutions prior to addition, as the mixture appears to be unstable. The brownish orange solution was left to stir overnight at 50 °C. The reaction became pale yellow. By TLC the reaction was complete. It was cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. Water was added (10 mL), followed by drop-wise addition of a saturated solution of Na$_2$SO$_3$ (∼ 2 mL). The solution was basified to pH = 10 with 1 N NaOH (∼ 5 mL) and washed with Et$_2$O (3 x 30 mL) until nothing was seen in the washes by TLC. The aqueous solution was acidified to pH = 2 with 1 N HCl (8 mL) and saturated with solid NaCl. It was then extracted with THF (3 x 50 mL) until no more product came out by TLC. The organic washes were combined and dried over MgSO$_4$. The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.14 g of a beige solid (85% yield). $R_f = 0.16$ in solvent system (G); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +14.8^\circ$ ($c = 1.00$, 1:1 MeOH: water, 589 nm); Analytical RP-HPLC: 90A:10B $\rightarrow$ 20A:80B / 30 min. $t_r = 10.47$ min. (76%), 11.41 min. (25%); $^1$H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, 400 MHz) $\delta$ 9.28 (s, 1 H, arom pur H2), 8.98 (s, 1 H, arom pur H6), 8.65 (s, 1 H, arom pur H8), 7.80 (d, 1 H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, NH), 7.24, 7.08 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.82 (m, 2 H, Z CH$_2$), 4.03 (m, 1 H, $\alpha$-H), 3.67 (m, 2 H, $\beta$-CH$_2$); $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) $\delta$ 171.5 (1 C, COOH), 156.5 (1 C, C=O of Z), 152.4, 150.9 (2 C, pur C2, C4), 141.4 (1 C, pur C8), 140.1 (1 C, pur C6), 137.3 (1 C, Z C1), 129.5, 129.0, 128.4, 127.9 (6 C, Z C2-C6, pur C5), 67.7 (1 C, Z CH$_2$), 67.0 (1 C, $\alpha$-C), 54.4 (1 C, $\beta$-C); MS (ESI) 342 [M+H]$^+$. 
(4S)-N-Benzzyloxycarbonyl-4-(3H-methyl-(4-azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-60] and (4S)-N-Benzzyloxycarbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-(4-azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-61]: (4S)-N-Benzzyloxycarbonyl-4-({p-toluenesulfonyloxymethyl}-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-45] (0.59 g, 1.40 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled DMF (2 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Separately, 4-azabenzimidazole (0.20 g, 1.68 mmol) was dissolved in freshly distilled DMF (2 mL) under Ar atmosphere. Solid 60% NaH (0.078 g, 1.96 mmol) was then slowly added. When gas evolution ceased, the purple-pink slurry was added to the solution of tosylate and washed in with an additional portion of DMF (4 mL). The reaction became yellow in color. It was heated to 80 °C and left to stir over night under Ar. The dark yellow reaction was complete by TLC. Water was added (4 mL) and the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to an orange solid. The solid was dissolved in 5% MeOH/ DCM and purified by column chromatography in the same solvent system to yield two regioisomers in a 2:1 ratio with an overall yield of 72%.

(4S)-N-Benzzyloxycarbonyl-4-(3H-methyl-(4-azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-60]: The less polar compound, (4S)-N-Benzzyloxycarbonyl-4-
(3H-methyl-(4-azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-60] was isolated as a white solid (0.22 g). \( R_f = 0.28 \) in solvent system (E); \([\alpha]_D^{20} = +16.2^\circ \) \((c = 1.00, \text{DCM}, 589 \text{ nm})\); \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR} \left(\text{CDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}\right) \delta 8.40, 8.35 \text{ (rotamers, s, 1 H, arom ab1 H5), 8.04 (rotamers, m, 1 H, arom ab1 H7), 7.80 (s, 1 H, arom ab1 H2), 7.35 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 7.22 (m, 1 H, arom ab1 H6), 5.18 (rotamers, s, 1 H, Z CH}_{2}, 5.10 \text{ (rotamers, dd, 0.5, 0.5 H, } J = 12.0 \text{ Hz, Z CH}_{2}, 4.42 \text{ (rotamers, m, 3 H, } \alpha\text{-H, } \beta\text{-CH}_{2}, 4.13, 3.95 \text{ (rotamers, dm, 2 H, ring CH}_{2}, 1.57, 1.50, 1.40, 1.35 \text{ (rotamers, s, s, s, s, 2, 2, 1, 1 H, CH}_{3}; \text{MS (ESI) } 367 \left[\text{M}+\text{H}\right]^+.}

\((4S)-N\text{-Benzyloxy carbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-(4-azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-61]:} \text{ The more polar compound, (4S)-N-Benzyl oxy carbonyl-4-(1H-methyl-(4-azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-61] was isolated as a white solid (0.15 g). } R_f = 0.17 \text{ in solvent system (E), } [\alpha]_D^{20} = +61.0^\circ \) \((c = 1.00, \text{DCM, 589 nm})\); \(^1\text{H} \text{NMR} \left(\text{CDCl}_3, 400 \text{ MHz}\right) \delta 8.57, 8.48 \text{ (rotamers, s, 1 H, arom ab1 H5), 8.15 (s, 1 H, arom ab1 H2), 8.06 \text{ (rotamers, m, 1 H, arom ab1 H7), 7.37 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 7.17 (m, 1 H, arom ab1 H6), 5.22 \text{ (rotamers, s, 1 H, Z CH}_{2}, 5.12 \text{ (rotamers, q, 2 H, } J = 8.8 \text{ Hz, Z CH}_{2}, 4.16 \text{ (rotamers, m, 3 H, } \alpha\text{-H, } \beta\text{-CH}_{2}, 3.83, 3.76 \text{ (rotamers, dm, 2 H, ring CH}_{2}, 1.68, 1.54, 1.49, 1.45 \text{ (rotamers, s, s, s, s, 2, 2, 1, 1 H, CH}_{3}; \text{MS (ESI) } 367 \left[\text{M}+\text{H}\right]^+, 389 \left[\text{M}+\text{Na}\right]^+, 755 \left[\text{M}_2+\text{Na}\right]^+.}

\)
(2S)-3-(3\textit{H}-(4-Azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2-benzyloxy carbonylamino-propanol:

(4S)-\textit{N}-Benzyloxy carbonyl-4-(3\textit{H}-methyl-(4-azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine [3-60] (0.21 g, 0.58 mmol) was dissolved in 4N HCl/ dioxane (9 mL) and allowed to stir for 1 h. A white precipitate formed. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.19 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. \( R_f = 0.31 \) in solvent system (F); \([\alpha]^{20}_D = +10.0^\circ \) (c = 1.00, MeOH, 589 nm); \(^1\text{H NMR} \) (CD3OD, 400 MHz) \( \delta \) 9.64 (s, 1 H, arom abi H2), 8.71 (d, 1 H, \( J = 4.0 \) Hz, arom abi H5), 8.29 (d, 1 H, \( J = 8.0 \) Hz, arom abi H7), 7.70 (m, 1 H, arom abi H6), 7.27 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.72 (m, 2 H, Z CH2), 4.65 (m, 2 H, \( \beta\)-CH2), 4.30 (m, 1 H, \( \alpha\)-H), 3.72 (m, 2 H, alc CH2); MS (ESI) 327 [M+H]\(^+\), 349 [M+Na]\(^+\).

(2S)-3-(1\textit{H}-(4-Azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2-benzyloxy carbonylamino-propanol:

(4S)-\textit{N}-Benzyloxy carbonyl-4-(1\textit{H}-methyl-(4-azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2,2-
dimethyloxazolidine [3-61] (0.11 g, 0.31 mmol) was dissolved in 4N HCl/ dioxane (6 mL) and allowed to stir for 1 h. A white precipitate formed. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.10 g of a white solid in quantitative yield. \( R_f = 0.28 \) in solvent system (F); \([\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +44.7^\circ \) (c = 1.00, MeOH, 589 nm); ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 400 MHz) \( \delta \) 9.11 (s, 1 H, arom ab1 H2), 8.72 (m, 2 H, arom ab1 H5, H7), 7.71 (m, 1 H, arom ab1 H6), 7.29, 7.16 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.78 (m, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.54 (m, 2 H, β-CH₂), 4.15 (m, 1 H, α-H), 3.66 (dm, 2 H, alc CH₂); MS (ESI) 327 [M+H]⁺.

(2S)-3-(3H-(4-Azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanoic acid (or Z-D-3H-(4-Azabenzimidazole)-alanine) [3-62]: (2S)-3-(3H-(4-Azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanol (0.19 g, 0.58 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (3 mL), added to pH = 6.7 phosphate buffer (2.5 mL), and heated to 50 °C. A catalytic amount of TEMPO (0.009 g, 0.058 mmol) was added, followed by simultaneous addition of sodium chlorite solution (0.18 g of 80% NaClO₂ in 0.8 mL water) and bleach solution (0.04 mL of household bleach (~ 5% NaOCl) in 0.8 mL water) over 10 minutes. **Caution! Do NOT mix the sodium chlorite and bleach solutions prior to addition, as the mixture appears to be unstable.** The brownish
orange solution was left to stir overnight at 50 °C. The reaction became pale yellow. By TLC the reaction was complete. It was cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. Water was added (10 mL), followed by drop-wise addition of a saturated solution of Na₂SO₃ (~ 2 mL). The solution was basified to pH = 10 with 1 N NaOH (~ 5 mL) and washed with Et₂O (3 x 30 mL) until nothing was seen in the washes by TLC. The aqueous solution was acidified to pH = 2 with 1 N HCl (8 mL) and saturated with solid NaCl. It was then extracted with THF (3 x 50 mL) until no more product came out by TLC. The organic washes were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.20 g of a beige solid in quantitative yield. R⁰₉ = 0.27 in solvent system (G); [α]d²⁰ = +28.8° (c = 1.00, 1:1 MeOH: water, 589 nm); Analytical RP-HPLC: 90A:10B → 20A:80B / 30 min. rᵣ = 17.16 min, as a single peak; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz) δ 8.99 (s, 1 H, arom abi H2), 8.49 (d, 1 H, J = 4.8 Hz, arom abi H5), 8.20 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz, arom abi H7), 7.79 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz, arom abi H6), 7.46 (m, 1 H, NH), 7.28 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.90 (m, 2 H, Z CH₂), 4.80 (m, 1 H, α-H), 4.61 (m, 2 H, β-CH₂); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 171.5 (1 C, COOH), 156.6 (1 C, C=O of Z), 146.2 (1 C, abi C5), 142.7 (1 C, Z C1), 137.3 (1 C, abi C2), 129.0, 128.5, 128.2 (5 C, Z C2-C6), 126.9, 120.6 (2 C, abi C6, C7), 95.5 (1 C, abi C9), 94.5 (1 C, abi C8), 66.2 (1 C, Z CH₂), 53.7 (1 C, β-C), 44.9 (1 C, α-C); MS (ESI) 341 [M+H]⁺, 363 [M+Na]⁺.
(2S)-3-(1H-(4-Azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanoic acid (or Z-D-1H-(4-Azabenzimidazole)-alanine) [3-63]: (2S)-3-(1H-(4-Azabenzimidazole)-yl)-2-benzyloxycarbonylamino-propanol (0.10 g, 0.31 mmol) was dissolved in ACN (1.5 mL), added to pH = 6.7 phosphate buffer (1.25 mL), and heated to 50 °C. A catalytic amount of TEMPO (0.005 g, 0.031 mmol) was added, followed by simultaneous addition of sodium chlorite solution (0.09 g of 80% NaClO₂ in 0.4 mL water) and bleach solution (0.02 mL of household bleach (~ 5% NaOCl) in 0.4 mL water) over 10 minutes. **Caution! Do NOT mix the sodium chlorite and bleach solutions prior to addition, as the mixture appears to be unstable.** The brownish orange solution was left to stir overnight at 50 °C. The reaction became pale yellow. By TLC the reaction was complete. It was cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. Water was added (8 mL), followed by drop-wise addition of a saturated solution of Na₂SO₃ (~ 1 mL). The solution was basified to pH = 10 with 1 N NaOH (~ 3 mL) and washed with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL) until nothing was seen in the washes by TLC. The aqueous solution was acidified to pH = 2 with 1 N HCl (4 mL) and saturated with solid NaCl. It was then extracted with THF (3 x 30 mL) until no more product came out by TLC. The organic washes were combined and dried over MgSO₄. The drying agent was
removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 0.11 g of a beige solid in quantitative yield. $R_f = 0.21$ in solvent system (G); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = +23.4^\circ$ ($c = 1.00$, THF, 589 nm); Analytical RP-HPLC: 90A:10B $\rightarrow$ 20A:80B / 30 min. $t_i = 9.08$ min, 95% pure; $^1$H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, 400 MHz) δ 9.18 (s, 1 H, arom abi H2), 8.67 (d, 1 H, $J = 5.2$ Hz, arom abi H5), 8.60 (d, 1 H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, arom abi H7), 7.81 (d, 1 H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, arom abi H6), 7.64 (m, 1 H, NH), 7.27, 7.11 (m, 5 H, arom Z), 4.80 (m, 3 H, Z CH$_2$, α-H), 4.66 (m, 2 H, β-CH$_2$); $^{13}$C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) δ 171.2 (1 C, COOH), 156.5 (1 C, C=O of Z), 148.7 (1 C, abi C5), 142.6 (1 C, Z C1), 139.8 (1 C, abi C2), 137.2 (1 C, abi C7), 129.0, 128.5, 128.1 (5 C, Z C2-C6), 125.8, 125.5 (2 C, abi C6, C8), 120.3 (1 C, abi C9), 94.5 (1 C, abi C8), 67.7 (1 C, α-C), 66.3 (1 C, Z CH$_2$), 54.1 (1 C, β-C); MS (ESI) 341 [M+H]$^+$. 

### 3.5.3 Syntheses of peptides

An oven dried flask was flushed with Ar and charged with 2-chlorotrityl resin (7.00 g, 10.5 mmol, theoretical loading $= 1.5$ mmol/ g, which had been stored in a dessicator in the presence of KOH). The resin was swelled with dry DCM (70 mL) for 15 min. which was then removed. In a separate oven dried flask, Fmoc-Phe-OH (4.88 g, 12.6 mmol, which had been stored in a dessicator in the presence of KOH for a minimum of 3 days) was dissolved in dry DCM (70 mL) and dry TEA (7.0 mL, 50.4 mmol). The Fmoc-Phe-OH solution was then added to the swelled resin via cannula. The mixture was left to stir for 4 h under Ar. The resin was then washed 3 x 10% MeOH/ DCM, 3 x alternating MeOH and DCM, and 3 x DCM. The resin was
dried under reduced pressure over night (9.5091 g dry resin). The resin was swelled in DMF and treated with 20% piperidine in DMF for 20 min. to remove the Fmoc-protecting group. The resin was then washed 3 x DMF, 2 x DCM, 2 x alternating MeOH and DCM, and 3 x DCM. The resin was then dried over night under reduced pressure (7.9483 g, a loss of 1.5608 g, 7.05 mmol of Fmoc residue). The calculated loading of H-Phe-OH on the resin was 1.01 mmol/ g. A sample of resin was removed (0.1044 g) and treated with 1.0% TFA in DCM for 1 h. The resin was filtered off and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. A white solid was obtained (TFA$^+$H$_3$N-Phe-OH, 28.57 g, 0.1023 mmol/ 0.1044 g). The loading was calculated to be 0.98 mmol/ g.

The peptides were elongated by stepwise coupling of Fmoc-amino acid derivatives (Fmoc-Pro-OH, Fmoc-Phe-OH, Fmoc-Thr(t-But)-OH, and Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH) using standard coupling procedures. Specifically, DIC (3 eq.) and HOBt (3 eq.) in NMP were used as activation reagents for peptide bond formation using 3 eq. of each amino acid (in relation to the theoretical loading of the resin). Removal of the Fmoc-protecting groups was achieved by treatment with 20% piperidine in DMF for 20 minutes.

The synthesized building blocks, Z-D-1H-benzimidazole alanine [3-47], Z-D-1H-benzotriazole alanine [3-50], and Z-D-1H-indazole alanine [3-53] were each coupled to 300 mg of the resin bound peptide [3-64] in a similar manner, using 2 eq. of each amino acid, DIC, and HOBt. The three linear hexapeptides were cleaved from the resin by treatment with anisole (1 mL) and 1% TFA/ DCM (10 mL) for 3 h. The
resin was filtered off and again treated with the cleavage solution. This process was repeated 6 times. The resin was then washed with MeOH (5 x 15 mL). The combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure to a solid, washed with Et₂O (3 x 20 mL), and dried under reduced pressure.

The Cbz protecting groups were removed by catalytic hydrogenation using 5% Pd/C (50% of the weight of the peptide) in a mixture of trifluoroethanol (6 mL) and water (1 mL) over a period of 12 h. The reaction was diluted with DMF (5 mL) and MeOH (10 mL) and filtered over celite to remove the catalyst. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was triturated with Et₂O to provide beige solids.

Each of the peptides were cyclized using 3 eq. of EDC·HCl, 3 eq. HOBt, and 5 eq. DIEA in a mixture of DCM:DMF (2:1, 0.001M) over 2 days. The solutions were then concentrated under reduced pressure and the residues dissolved in EtOAc (10 mL). They were washed with 1 N HCl (2 x 3 mL), conc. NaHCO₃ (2 x 3 mL), and brine. The organic phases were then concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residues were triturated with Et₂O to provide white solids.

H₂N-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe-OH [3-64]: Analytical RP-HPLC: 60A:40B \( \to \) 10A:90B / 30 min. \( r_t = 11.80 \text{ min (95%)} \); MS (ESI) 795 [M+H]⁺, 817 [M+Na]⁺, 839 [M-H+2Na]⁺.
(Z-$d$-1$H$-Benzimidazole-Ala)-Lys(Boc)-Thr($t$-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe-OH \[3-65\]:

297 mg (88% based on the calculated loading of the first amino acid onto the resin). 

$R_f = 0.18$ in solvent system (F), $R_f = 0.73$ in solvent system (H); Analytical RP-HPLC: 

(H-$d$-1$H$-Benzimidazole-Ala)-Lys(Boc)-Thr($t$-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe-OH \[3-66\]:

212 mg (80% yield). $R_f = 0.10$ in solvent system (F), $R_f = 0.27$ in solvent system (H); 

c[(D-1H-Benzimidazole-Ala)-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe]: 127 mg (60% yield). Rf = 0.71 in solvent system (F); Analytical RP-HPLC: 60A:40B → 10A:90B / 30 min. rt = 14.19 min (99.9%), 90A:10B → 10A:90B / 30 min. rt = 24.16 min (99.9%); MS (ESI) 964 [M+H]+, 986 [M+Na]+.

(Z-d-1H-Benzotriazole-Ala)-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe-OH: 288 mg (85% based on the calculated loading of the first amino acid onto the resin). $R_f = 0.21$ in solvent system (F), $R_f = 0.87$ in solvent system (H); Analytical RP-HPLC: 60A:40B $\to$ 10A:90B / 30 min. $t_r = 23.00$ min (90%); MS (ESI) 1115 [M-H]$^-$.

(H-d-1H-Benzotriazole-Ala)-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe-OH: 209 mg (82% yield). $R_f = 0.18$ in solvent system (F), $R_f = 0.37$ in solvent system (H); Analytical RP-HPLC: 60A:40B $\to$ 10A:90B / 30 min. $t_r = 14.14$ min (70%), 14.62 min (30%); MS (ESI) 981 [M-H]$^-$.
c[(D-1H-Benzotriazole-Ala)-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe]: 112 mg (55% yield). $R_f = 0.50$ in solvent system (F); Analytical RP-HPLC: 60A:40B $\rightarrow$ 10A:90B / 30 min. $r_t = 21.89$ min (99.9%), 90A:10B $\rightarrow$ 10A:90B / 30 min. $r_t = 26.94$ min (99.9%); MS (ESI) 987 [M+Na]$^+$. 

c[(D-1H-Benzotriazole-Ala)-Lys-Thr-Phe-Pro-Phe] [3-68]: 124 mg (quantitative yield). Analytical RP-HPLC: 90A:10B $\rightarrow$ 10A:90B / 30 min. $r_t = 18.26$ min (75%); MS (ESI) 809 [M+H]$^+$, 831 [M+Na]$^+$. 
**Z-d-1H-Indazole-Ala-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe-OH:** 281 mg (83% based on the calculated loading of the first amino acid onto the resin). $R_f = 0.26$ in solvent system (F), $R_f = 0.72$ in solvent system (H); Analytical RP-HPLC: 60A:40B $\rightarrow$ 10A:90B / 30 min. $t_r = 26.27$ min (90%); MS (ESI) 1114 [M-H]$^\cdot$.

**H-d-1H-Indazole-Ala-Lys(Boc)-Thr(t-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe-OH:** 196 mg (80% yield). $R_f = 0.13$ in solvent system (F), $R_f = 0.26$ in solvent system (H); Analytical RP-HPLC: 60A:40B $\rightarrow$ 10A:90B / 30 min. $t_r = 15.64$ min (83%), 23.26 min (17%); MS (ESI) 980 [M-H]$^\cdot$.
**c[D-1H-Indazole-Ala-Lys(Boc)-Thr(α-But)-Phe-Pro-Phe]**: 134 mg (70% yield). 
$R_f = 0.70$ in solvent system (F); Analytical RP-HPLC: 60A:40B $\to$ 10A:90B / 30 min. 
$\tau_t = 23.65$ min (85%), 90A:10B $\to$ 10A:90B / 30 min. $\tau_t = 27.89$ min (85%); MS (ESI) 986 [M+Na]$^+$. 

**c[D-1H-Indazole-Ala-Lys-Thr-Phe-Pro-Phe]** [3-69]: 145 mg (quantitative yield). 
Analytical RP-HPLC: 90A:10B $\to$ 10A:90B / 30 min. $\tau_t = 19.00$ min (75%); MS (ESI) 808 [M+H]$^+$, 830 [M+Na]$^+$. 
3.6 References


CHAPTER 4

4. THIOETHER-BRIDGED AMINO ACIDS AND THEIR INCORPORATION INTO CYCLIC RGD-CONTAINING INTEGRIN PEPTIDE LIGANDS
4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 The integrin cell surface receptors

There are four major classes of receptors involved in cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions: cadherins, selectins, receptors of the immunoglobuline superfamily, and integrins. The integrins are a large family of heterodimeric glycoprotein cell surface receptors which mediate a variety of cell adhesion events and signal transduction processes such as embryonic development, the inflammatory response, angiogenesis and wound healing. The integrins are also responsible for an array of pathological events including tumor metastasis, thrombosis and osteoporosis. Several integrins, such as $\alpha_v\beta_3$, $\alpha_5\beta_1$, and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, recognize the tripeptide sequence Arg-Gly-Asp, RGD (Figure 4-1) which is found in many extracellular matrix proteins including fibronectin, vitronectin, and fibrinogen.

Figure 4-1: The tripeptide integrin recognition sequence Arg-Gly-Asp, or RGD.

While some integrins selectively recognize a single extracellular membrane (ECM) protein ligand (e.g. $\alpha_5\beta_1$ primarily recognizes fibronectin), others can bind
several ligands (e.g. \( \alpha v/3 \) binds vitronectin, fibronectin, fibrinogen, denatured or proteolysed collagen, and other matrix proteins).

![Diagram of the integrin family showing subunit associations and known ligand specificities.](image)

**Figure 4-2:** Diagram of the integrin family showing subunit associations and known ligand specificities.\(^\text{11}\)

The transmembrane integrins contain two subunits called \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). There are 19 known integrins, each comprised of one of eight separate \( \beta \)-subunits and one of 13 \( \alpha \)-subunits and each \( \alpha \beta \) combination has its own binding specificity. Some \( \alpha \)-subunits, such as \( \alpha v \) can associate with a range of \( \beta \)-subunits, while others, such as \( \alpha 3 \), only associate with one. As depicted in Figure 4-2, it is clear that integrin ligand binding
specificity is quite complex, for one integrin can bind more than one ECM protein and a single ECM protein can bind to more than one integrin.

The larger \( \alpha \)-subunit (approximately 1100 residues) is often processed to form two disulfide-bridged chains of different lengths. The extracellular region of the \( \alpha \)-subunit is characterized by three to four regions near the \( N \)-terminus that contain 12 to 15 amino acid residues which are capable of binding bivalent cations such as Ca\(^{2+} \) and Mg\(^{2+} \). X-ray structure investigations of the \( \alpha \)-subunit indicated the importance of the metal-binding sites for ligand binding.\(^{12,13} \) This theory was confirmed by observing that integrins depend on the presence of bivalent metal ions, and the addition of Mn\(^{2+} \) increases the binding affinity of certain integrins for their ligands.\(^{14-17} \)

The \( \beta \)-subunit is comprised of about 800 amino acid residues. There are four highly conserved cysteine-rich regions of 40 residues near the transmembrane domain and there is a large loop at the \( N \)-terminus of the extracellular region.\(^{18} \)

Electron microscopy\(^{19} \) and chemical cross-linking experiments have suggested that the amino-terminal globular domains of the \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-subunits associate to form an extracellular ligand binding domain.\(^{20} \) Photo-crosslinking experiments have suggested that the actual binding occurs at the amino terminal end of the \( \beta \)-subunit, but that both subunits are required for ligand binding. Figure 4-3 is a schematic illustration of integrins and depicts some of their known characteristics.
4.1.2 Discussion of specific integrins

In conjunction with Integra Life Sciences, integrin research in the Goodman laboratories aimed to develop small, potent, peptidic ligands which were selective for integrin \( \alpha v \beta 3 \) over integrins \( \alpha v \beta 5 \), \( \alpha 5 \beta 1 \), and \( \alpha I I b/\beta 3 \).

The platelet glycoprotein receptor, \( \alpha I I b/\beta 3 \), is primarily expressed on megakaryocyte-derived cells, such as platelets, and binds with different affinities to a large number of extracellular matrix proteins including fibrinogen, fibronectin, vitronectin, von Willibrand factor, and thrombospondin.\(^7,21\) The binding of ligands,
such as fibrinogen, has been shown to be an important event in cell adhesion and aggregation phenomena.\textsuperscript{22} The α\textsubscript{IIb}β\textsubscript{3} receptor is involved in primary and secondary hemostasis. It allows aggregation of platelets at sites of vascular injuries by binding to collagen filaments in the connective tissues at the edge of wounds. Aggregation of platelets by fibrinogen then forms a blood clot and seals the injured tissue. Defective formation of these clots or undesired platelet aggregation caused by receptor agonists could lead to embolies, stroke, unstable angina, or myocardial infarction.\textsuperscript{23-25} Antagonists of this receptor inhibit clot formation.

The vitronectin receptor, α\textsubscript{v}β\textsubscript{3}, has been extensively studied\textsuperscript{26} because of its role in a number of cell adhesion processes including tumor metastasis,\textsuperscript{27} phagocytosis of cells undergoing apoptosis,\textsuperscript{28} bone remodeling,\textsuperscript{29,30} acute renal failure,\textsuperscript{31} adhesion of osteoclasts to the bone matrix, and angiogenesis.\textsuperscript{32-35}

Angiogenesis is a process of migration and proliferation of smooth muscle and endothelial cells by which new blood vessels develop. New vessels grow by spouting from pre-existing vessels\textsuperscript{36} or by recruitment of bone marrow-derived endothelial progenitor cells.\textsuperscript{37} While growth factors and their receptors play key roles in angiogenic sprouting, adhesion to the ECM also regulates angiogenesis. Adhesion promotes endothelial cell survival\textsuperscript{38,39} as well as endothelial cell proliferation and motility\textsuperscript{40} during new blood vessel growth. The growth of new blood vessels promotes embryonic development, wound healing, and the female reproductive cycle.

Formation of new blood vessels is also involved in many diseases where angiogenesis is misregulated. For example, in rheumatoid arthritis the cartilage of
joints is destroyed by invasion of new blood vessels. In diabetic retinopathy blood vessels grow into the retina and the vitreous body of the eye which leads to bleeding and, as a consequence, blindness.\textsuperscript{33,41} Angiogenesis also plays a key role in the pathological development of solid tumor cancers,\textsuperscript{32} and age-related macular degeneration.\textsuperscript{36}

In earlier studies, it was discovered that endothelial cells actively involved in angiogenesis express integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ but the integrin was not found to be expressed in mature vasculature.\textsuperscript{33,42} Thus, antagonists to $\alpha_v\beta_3$ should selectively inhibit angiogenesis without disrupting mature vascular tissue.

In addition to $\alpha_v\beta_3$,\textsuperscript{32} the expression of $\alpha_5\beta_1$ is significantly up-regulated on endothelium during angiogenesis.\textsuperscript{43} Antagonizing integrins inhibits cell migration. The inhibition of integrin $\alpha_5\beta_1$ negatively regulates fibroblast, endothelial cell and tumor cell migration even when other integrin receptors for provisional matrix proteins are ligated. Antagonists of integrin $\alpha_5\beta_1$ suppress cell migration on vitronectin, but not cell attachment to vitronectin, indicating that these antagonists affect the migration machinery rather than the integrin receptors for vitronectin.\textsuperscript{40} Once $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_5\beta_1$ are expressed, angiogenesis depends on both integrins, as antagonists of each have been shown to block angiogenesis \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{32,43} Integrin $\alpha_v\beta_5$ has also been shown to regulate angiogenesis. This integrin promotes VEGF-, but not bFGF-mediated angiogenesis.\textsuperscript{34,44}

Several anti-angiogenesis related integrin inhibitors are currently under investigation as therapeutics for cancer. Increased levels of expression of integrin
αvβ3 are closely associated with increased cell invasion and metastasis.\textsuperscript{45} Notably, integrin αvβ3 is expressed on invasive melanoma, but not benign nevi nor normal melanocytes.\textsuperscript{46,47} The αvβ3 receptor’s role in angiogenesis is important for the development of metastatic colonies. Humanized antibody antagonists of integrins α5β1 and αvβ3 as well as peptide inhibitors of integrins αvβ3 and αvβ5 are under evaluation as angiogenesis-inhibiting therapeutics in cancer clinical trials.\textsuperscript{48,49} These integrin-based anti-angiogenesis therapeutics hold great promise as powerful treatments for cancer.\textsuperscript{50} Because antagonists of αvβ3, α5β1 and αvβ5 selectively inhibit angiogenesis without disrupting mature vascular tissue, they also have the potential to be useful in treating rheumatoid arthritis and diabetic retinopathy.\textsuperscript{51-53}

4.1.3 Structure-activity relationship studies of the RGD tripeptide

The recognition site for many of the integrins that bind to the extracellular matrix is the tripeptide sequence, Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD). The sequence was first identified in fibronectin where the cell binding domain was narrowed down to the shortest sequence that could still compete with fibronectin for binding to its cell surface receptor.\textsuperscript{54} The RGD sequence has been shown to be a recognition sequence in ECM proteins such as vitronectin and fibrinogen\textsuperscript{7} and has since been found to inhibit other extracellular matrix proteins such as vitronectin, osteopontin, collagens, thrombospondin, fibrinogen, and von Willebrand factor from binding to their cell surface receptors.\textsuperscript{7,8}
While numerous integrin receptors are believed to recognize RGD sequences, integrins are nonetheless, ligand specific. The mechanism by which different adhesion proteins are selected, however, is not well understood. Additional binding sites as well as different conformations of the RGD sequence in different proteins have been discussed.55

The discovery that cell attachment activity could be mimicked by short, immobilized, synthetic peptides containing the RGD sequence was significant, as was the observation that these same peptides were capable of inhibiting cell attachment to RGD-containing ligands in solution.56 These findings meant that the potential existed to develop RGD-based therapeutics that functioned as either agonists to promote the interaction of cells and tissues with matrices, or as antagonists to control the nature of cell-cell and cell-ECM interactions. In addition, the pharmacophore was small enough to encourage broad analog development and held the promise of the development of small nonpeptide molecules.

Since integrins participate in a wide range of biological processes, much effort has been spent on the design of RGD-containing peptides and peptidomimetics of potential therapeutic use. Very early it was discovered that modifications such as replacement of Asp with Glu or Gly with D-Ala or L-Ala resulted in total loss of binding affinity.57,58 Additional SAR studies of synthetic RGD peptides indicated that minor changes in and around the RGD sequence could alter integrin antagonist activity.8 The RGD sequence was embedded in small cyclic peptides with restricted conformational flexibility which then exhibited varying integrin specificities. The
results of these SAR studies indicated that the information needed for a peptide to bind to an integrin resides in the RGD sequence and one of the roles of the surrounding residues is to force the RGD tripeptide into an appropriate conformation. The nature of the residues flanking the RGD sequence also directly influence receptor affinity, receptor selectivity, and other biological properties. The side chains and backbone elements of flanking residues may play very important roles in recognition as well.

Because there are many types of RGD ligands, each with a specific biological function, studies of structure-activity relationships of bioactive analogs provided insight into the requirements for binding specificity to a given receptor. In an effort to develop anti-angiogenesis therapeutics for the treatment of diabetic retinopathy, we hoped to utilize the information harvested from previous SAR studies to develop small, cyclic peptides which would selectively bind to integrin \( \alpha v \beta 3 \) over integrin \( \alpha I I b \beta 3 \), thus eliminating blood clot-associated side effects.
4.2 The incorporation of thioether building blocks into αvβ3-specific peptides

4.2.1 Design of the thioether building blocks

In the last few years, a number of potent peptide antagonists to the αv receptors have been reported.1,59-64 We have developed cyclic peptides which contain the pentapeptide sequence Arg-Gly-Asp-Asp-Val (RGDDV) and exhibit selectivity for integrin αvβ3 compared to αvβ5, α5β1, and αIIbβ3.65 Our collaborators at Integra Life Sciences discovered the pentapeptide pharmacophore RGDDV. Peptides containing the sequence were found during a random screening of the Integra peptide database for an osteoporosis program. It was discovered that when a heterogeneous population of cells isolated from neonatal rat bone marrow was seeded onto bone slices, cyclic peptides with the RGDDV sequence selectively inhibited osteoclast attachment without affecting the other cell types present. Those peptides were found to selectively antagonize αvβ366-70 and became the basis for rational peptidomimetic anti-angiogenesis drug design.65

The initial findings lead to the development of peptide c[(Mpa)-RGDDVC]-NH₂ [4-1] (Figure 4-4). The pharmacophoric sequence is cyclized via a disulfide bridge between the 3-mercaptopropionic acid (Mpa) and the cysteine side chain. Compound [4-1] exhibits increased selectivity for integrin αvβ3 over integrins αvβ5, α5β1, and αIIbβ3. Substitution of the valine residue with the more hydrophobic amino acid, L-tert-butylglycine (tBuG) provided compound [4-2] which displayed a 4-fold increase in potency for binding to integrin αvβ3.71
Figure 4-4: Structures of disulfide-bridged RGD peptides which selectively bind to integrin αvβ3.

To increase the enzymatic stability of disulfide containing peptides, our group has successfully substituted disulfide bridges with lanthionine and thioether structures in various families of compounds including enkephalins, somatostatin, and a cell adhesion modulator. In vivo experiments in animal models have shown that thioether bridges provide greater stability toward enzymatic degradation over disulfides. As part of the current studies, we have designed and synthesized scalable thioether-bridged building blocks based on cysteine (S-acetyl analog [4-3] and S-propionyl analog [4-4], Figure 4-5) and homocysteine (S-acetyl analog [4-5] and S-propionyl analog [4-6], Figure 4-5). We incorporated these building blocks into analogs of peptide c[(Mpa)-RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH2 [4-2] using solid phase peptide synthesis techniques.
Peptides [4-7], [4-8], [4-9], and [4-10] (Figure 4-6) are examples of thioether-bridged analogs of the disulfide-bridged parent compound c[(Mpa)-RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH$_2$ [4-2] (Figure 4-4). These cyclic peptides were designed to increase the bioavailability and stability of parent compound [4-2]. Each of the four thioether building blocks pictured in Figure 4-5 was incorporated in place of the cysteine and Mpa residues of the parent molecule.
Methods to synthesize thioether bridges

Several methods have been developed to prepare thioether bridged peptides. Sulfur extrusion and Michael addition of cysteine to dehydroalanine are well established methods but unfortunately lack regio- and stereo-selectivity. The β-lactone developed by Vederas and related synthetic routes developed in our laboratories are very useful to obtain orthogonally protected lanthionines with high stereoselectivity but can suffer from low regioselectivity, and do not provide building blocks which are suitably protected for Fmoc-protected solid phase synthesis. These methods are depicted in Figure 4-7.
Problematic methods for the synthesis of thioether bridges include sulfur extrusion, Michael addition of cysteine to dehydroalanine, and the Vederas lactone.

**Figure 4-7:**
Other known methods for the synthesis of thioether bridges involve the nucleophilic attack by cysteine derivatives on \(\beta\)-haloalanines, \(\beta\)-tosylated serine and \(\beta\)-tosylated threonine.\(^{81,84-88}\) These syntheses proved to be problematic in solution because of difficult purifications, poor yields and lack of stereochemical control. Menez\(^89\) avoided epimerization, a common side reaction, by using \(N\)-trityl-3-iodoalanine benzyl ester as a hindered electrophile and was able to successfully synthesize Fmoc-protected lanthionines (Figure 4-8). One of the major by-products in solution was the aziridine which was formed in significant amounts. By applying this method to solid phase peptide synthesis, where an excess of electrophile and the ability to remove the by-products overcomes the shortcomings of the solution synthesis, researchers in our laboratory were successful in synthesizing lanthionine bridged enkephalins.\(^{72}\)

**Figure 4-8**: Attack of \(N\)-trityl-3-iodoalanine benzyl ester by cysteine derivatives in solution provides lanthionines and an aziridine by-product.
Unfortunately, none of these described methods provide appropriately protected building blocks for the synthesis of the desired RGD-containing peptides. In addition, we wanted to design thioether bridged analogs of peptide c[(Mpa)-RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH$_2$ [4-2] which were not lanthionine derivatives, and thus lacked an amine function as well as an additional stereocenter. We also sought to vary the number of carbons in the thioether bridge and wanted a synthetic method which would provide the thioethers in multigram, and would allow us to monitor the reactions in order to maximize the yields.

4.2.3 The syntheses of the thioether building blocks and their incorporation into peptides

The $S$-$t$-butyl acetyl cysteine [4-3] and the $S$-$t$-butyl propionyl cysteine [4-4] building blocks were synthesized as outlined in Scheme 4-1. The synthesis of $S$-alkylated cysteine building blocks [4-3] and [4-4] commenced with the esterification of bis-Fmoc-L-cystine [4-11] and provided fully protected cystine [4-12] in quantitative yield. Reduction of the disulfide bond of cystine [4-12] was achieved quantitatively with zinc powder in TFA/MeOH under argon atmosphere and yielded key intermediate Fmoc-cysteine methyl ester [4-13]. Thiol [4-13] was then alkylated with $t$-butoxy carbonyl methyl) cysteine methyl ester [4-14] in 90% yield. Alternately, a Michael addition of Fmoc-cysteine methyl ester [4-13] with $t$-butyl acrylate provided $S$-($t$-butoxycarbonyl-ethyl)-cysteine methyl ester [4-15] in 93% yield.
Saponification of the methyl ester of each building block with lithium hydroxide in the presence of calcium chloride retained the Fmoc-protecting group and provided the free acids \( \text{S-} (t\text{-butoxycarbonylmethyl})\text{-cysteine [4-4]} \) and \( \text{S-} (t\text{-butoxycarboxylethyl})\text{-cysteine [4-5]} \) in 75% and 80% yield, respectively.

The \( \text{S-} (t\text{-butoxycarbonylmethyl})\text{- and } \text{S-} (t\text{-butoxycarboxylethyl})\text{- homocysteines [4-6] and [4-7]} \) were synthesized as shown in Scheme 4-2. Homocystine [4-16] was esterified with thionyl chloride and methanol in quantitative yield. Subsequent bis-Fmoc-protection was achieved with 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl chloride (Fmoc-Cl) and potassium carbonate to provide the fully protected homocystine [4-17] in 95% yield. The remainder of the syntheses of \( \text{S-} (t\text{-butoxycarbonylmethyl})\text{-homocysteine [4-6]} \) and \( \text{S-} (t\text{-butoxycarboxylethyl})\text{-homocysteine [4-7]} \) were analogous to those of the previously described \( \text{S-} \text{alkylated cysteine building blocks.} \)
Scheme 4-1: Syntheses of Fmoc-protected, S-alkyl cysteine analogs.
Scheme 4-2: Syntheses of Fmoc-protected, S-alkylated homocysteine analogs.
Each of the four building blocks was loaded onto Rink amide MBHA resin using DIC/ HOBt as demonstrated in Scheme 4-3 where $S$-(t-butoxycarbonylmethyl)-cysteine [4-4] is used as an example. The peptide was elongated by stepwise coupling of Fmoc-amino acid derivatives using DIC/ HOBt under standard coupling procedures. Resin bound peptide [4-22] was cleaved from the solid support by treatment with TFA which simultaneously removed the tert-butyl ester from the building block thioether side chain. The resulting side-chain carboxylic acid of linear peptide [4-23] was then cyclized to the $N$-terminal amino group in 1mM DMF using HATU and $N$-methyl morpholine as reagents. The remaining cyclohexylester protecting groups on the aspartic acid residues were removed using HF to provide $S$-acetyl cysteine thioether bridged peptide [4-7]. Final purification was carried out by preparative RP-HPLC on a flanged MODcol C18 column using a gradient of acetonitrile in water with 0.3% acetic acid. The $S$-(t-butoxycarbonylethyl)-cysteine [4-8], $S$-(t-butoxycarbonylmethyl)-homocysteine [4-9], and $S$-(t-butoxycarbonylethyl)-homocysteine [4-10] peptide analogs were synthesized in an analogous manner.
Scheme 4-3: Synthesis of \( S-t \)-butyl acetyl cysteine peptide [4-4] is representative of the syntheses of all four thioether-bridged peptide analogs.

1. HATU, NMM, 1 mM DMF
   RP-HPLC
   25% (based on theoretical loading)

2. HF, anisole
   RP-HPLC
   77%
4.2.4 Results of receptor binding assays

The binding affinities of the synthesized thioether bridged RGD peptides c[(S-MeCO-C)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-7], c[(S-EtCO-C)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-8], c[(S-MeCO-hC)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-9], and c[(S-EtCO-hC)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-10] for integrins αvβ₃, αvβ₅, α5β₁, and αIIbβ₃ were determined by biologists at Integra Life Sciences using an ELISA assay format. The data shown in Table 4-1 demonstrates that peptides [4-7], [4-8], [4-9], and [4-10], bind potently to integrin αvβ₃ with affinities which are comparable to the parent compound, c[(Mpa)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-2]. The peptides [4-7], [4-8], and [4-9], which contain S-(carboxymethyl)-cysteine, S-(carboxyethyl)-cysteine, and S-(carboxymethyl)-homocysteine, respectively, all also bind with similar affinities to integrin α5β₁ compared to c[(Mpa)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-2], while the S-(carboxyethyl)-homocysteine analog [4-10] was an order of magnitude less potent at that integrin.

Table 4-1: Binding affinities of the parent compound and the thioether-bridged RGD peptides for integrins αvβ₃, αvβ₅, α5β₁, and αIIbβ₃ (IC₅₀, nM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>αvβ₃</th>
<th>αvβ₅</th>
<th>α5β₁</th>
<th>αIIbβ₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c[(Mpa)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-2]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c[(S-MeCO-C)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-7]</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c[(S-EtCO-C)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-8]</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c[(S-MeCO-hC)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-9]</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c[(S-EtCO-hC)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH₂ [4-10]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The S-(carboxyethyl)-homocysteine bridged peptide [4-10] maintained potent binding to integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and had affinities for integrins $\alpha_5\beta_1$ and $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ which were comparable to the parent peptide [4-2]. However, the binding of this compound to integrin $\alpha_v\beta_5$ was an order of magnitude lower in potency than that of c[(Mpa)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH$_2$ [4-2].

The most interesting result from the binding assays was that $S$-(carboxymethyl)-cysteine analog [4-7] exhibited 10-fold reduced binding affinity for integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ compared to parent compound [4-2]. Because integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ is involved in blood clot formation and platelet activities, compounds which bind to this integrin, as well as the integrins involved in angiogenesis, could display unwanted side effects. While we could not predict it, we had hoped that including the thioether building blocks in the peptides would reduce binding affinity for integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$. Because compound [4-7] displayed equipotent affinities for integrins $\alpha_v\beta_3$ and $\alpha_5\beta_1$, with reduced affinity for integrin $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$, it shows the most promise for effective blocking of angiogenesis.

As has been shown with other thioether-bridged analogs of disulfide bridged peptides, 72-76 we anticipate that thioether-bridged peptides [4-7], [4-8], [4-9], and [4-10], will exhibit much greater stability toward disulfide reductases as compared to disulfide-bridged parent compound [4-2].
4.3 Conclusions

The design and synthesis of the appropriately protected thioether building blocks was accomplished in multigram scale and in good yields. The syntheses of these building blocks are suitable to variations in chain length and can produce a variety of different thioether bridges. Our building blocks were incorporated into the RGDD(tBuG) sequence on solid supports, followed by cleavage from the resin and cyclization in solution. Evaluation of the binding affinities of the peptides to the isolated integrin receptors, αvβ3, αvβ5, α5β1, and αIIbβ3, revealed that peptides [4-7], [4-8], and [4-9] bind with equal potency to the integrin αvβ3 receptor as compared to parent compound [4-2]. However, the binding affinity of these three compounds to integrin α5β1 is enhanced. Furthermore, peptide [4-7] exhibits a 10-fold reduced binding affinity for integrin αIIbβ3. The RGD cyclic peptide c[(S-MeCO-C)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH2 [4-7], binds potently to both integrins αvβ3 and α5β1. This finding could be of substantial interest for mechanistic investigations which are aimed toward the elucidation of the role of integrin receptors in models for diseases. The fact that integrins αvβ3 and α5β1 are involved in tumor angiogenesis and integrin α5β1 is believed to be important for tumor metastasis could make a peptide that is a potent antagonist to those receptors an interesting drug candidate. The reduced affinity of compound [4-7] for integrin αIIbβ3 makes it of further interest.

Peptide analog [4-10] maintains binding affinities similar to that of parent compound [4-2] toward integrins αvβ3, αIIbβ3, and α5β1, but exhibits reduced binding to the αvβ5 receptor. These results show once again that relatively subtle
changes to a molecule can lead to substantial changes in binding affinity and selectivity profiles of compounds.

Future work will explore the conformational analysis of these peptides to investigate the topochemical variations that might be responsible for the observed differences in binding affinity and receptor selectivity. The thioether bridged compounds should be subjected to enzymatic stability studies to verify that they are more stable than the disulfide bridged parent compound.

Because it bound with equal potency to integrins $\alpha v \beta 3$ and $\alpha 5 \beta 1$, and exhibited decreased affinity for integrin $\alpha IIb \beta 3$, peptide c[(S-MeCO-C)RGDD(tBuG)C]-NH$_2$ [4-7] was the most promising candidate for further biological investigation. There are several well defined animal models for testing the efficacy of compounds against angiogenesis. Further evaluation of compound [4-7] in animal models would help to determine if it is effective at inhibiting angiogenesis, and worthy of further investigation as a clinical candidate. These assays include: the murine hypoxia-induced retinal neovascularization assay,$^{42}$ the rabbit corneal pocket assay,$^{90}$ the in ovo chick chorioallantoic membrane angiogenesis assay,$^{43}$ the chick chorioallantoic membrane tumor assay,$^{43}$ and the SCID mouse model of human angiogenesis assay.$^{43}$
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4.5 Experimental

4.5.1 General

Reactions in solution were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on 0.25 mm E. Merck silica gel plates (60F-254) using UV light as the visualization agent in the following solvent systems: (A) 1:1 EtOAc:hexanes, (B) DCM, (C) 10% MeOH/DCM, (D) 5% MeOH/DCM.

The NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian HG-400 (400 MHz) spectrometer. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to residual undeuterated solvent as an internal standard. The following abbreviations were used to explain the multiplicities: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet, bs = broad singlet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, dm = doublet of multiplets.

Analytical RP-LCMS was carried out at Integra Life Sciences on a Thermo Finnigan LCQ Advantage LC/MS/MS ion trap mass spectrometer in conjunction with
a Waters Alliance 2695 separation module and 2487 UV detector using Xcalibur software. Solvents used were as follows: solvent A = 0.01% TFA in H₂O, solvent B = 0.01% TFA in ACN. The flow rate was 0.25 mL/min using an XTerra MS C18 column (#1860000446, 2.1 x 50 mm, 5 µm particle diameter).

Preparative RP-HPLC purification was carried out on a Waters Prep LC 4000 with a Waters 2487 UV detector. Solvents used were as follows: solvent A = 0.3% AcOH in H₂O; solvent B = 0.3% AcOH in 80:20 ACN: H₂O. The flow rate was 40 mL/min using a flanged MODcol Kromasil C18 column (#PA0000-050025, 50.8 x 250 mm, 10 µm particle diameter, 100 Å pore size).

Analytical RP-HPLC was carried out on a Perkin-Elmer series 410 with a Perkin-Elmer LC 235 array detector. Solvents used were as follows: solvent A = 0.1% TFA in H₂O; solvent B = 0.1% TFA in ACN. The flow rate was 1 mL/min using a Vydac C18 column (#218TP5405, 4.6 x 50 mm, 5 µm particle diameter, 300 Å pore size).
4.5.2 Syntheses of building blocks

Bis-Fmoc-L-Cystine bis-methyl ester [4-12]: Bis-Fmoc-L-Cystine [4-11] (3.0 g, 4.38 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of DCM (50 mL) and MeOH (150 mL). SOCl₂ (1.28 mL, 17.52 mmol) was added, drop-wise, over 30 min. The reaction was stirred over night under Ar. It became milky white with precipitate. The solid was filtered off and dried under reduced pressure (3.12 g, quantitative yield). R_f = 0.33 in solvent system (A); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.75 (d, 4 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.59 (d, 4 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.40 (t, 4 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.30 (t, 4 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 5.71 (d, 2 H, J = 8.1 Hz, NH), 4.68 (dd, 2 H, J = 7.3, 16.8 Hz, α-H), 4.40 (m, 4 H, Fmoc CH₂), 4.20 (t, 1 H, J = 6.6 Hz, Fmoc CH), 3.80 (s, 6 H, OMe), 3.19 (m, 4 H, β-CH₂).
Fmoc-L-Cysteine methyl ester [4-13]: Bis-Fmoc-L-Cystine bis-methyl ester [4-12] (0.500 g, 0.70 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of DCM (20 mL) and MeOH (60 mL). The flask was then flushed with Ar. TFA (2.16 mL, 28 mmol) was added, followed by zinc dust (0.10 g, 1.40 mmol). The flask was flushed with Ar and stirred under Ar over night. The colorless solution was filtered to remove the zinc metal and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with 1N HCl (3 x 10 mL), 1N NaOH (3 x 10 mL), and brine (1 x 10 mL). It was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a white solid (0.50 g, quantitative yield). Rₚ = 0.46 in solvent system (A), Rₚ = 0.23 in solvent system (B); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.76 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.60 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.40 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.32 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.60 (d, 1 H, J = 6.6 Hz, NH), 4.43 (m, 1 H, α-H), 4.40 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH₂), 4.22 (t, 1 H, J = 6.8 Hz, Fmoc CH), 3.81 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.01 (m, 2 H, β-CH₂), 1.37 (t, 1 H, J = 8.8 Hz, SH).
N-Fmoc-S-(tert-Butoxycarbonylmethyl)-L-Cysteine methyl ester [4-14]: Fmoc-L-Cysteine methyl ester [4-13] (1.00 g, 2.80 mmol) and t-butyl bromoacetate (0.68 mL, 4.20 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (15 mL). Cesium carbonate (1.09 g, 3.36 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred overnight under Ar. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue partitioned between DCM and 1N HCl. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was washed with DCM (4 x 15 mL). The combined cloudy organic extracts were washed with 1N HCl (2 x 10 mL) and brine (1 x 15 mL). The solution was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to 1.19 g of a white solid (90% yield). Rƒ = 0.44 in solvent system (A), Rƒ = 0.14 in solvent system (B), Rƒ = 0.78 in solvent system (C); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.76 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.63 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.40 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.32 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 5.95 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz, NH), 4.65 (dd, 1 H, J = 5.1, 18.3 Hz, α-H), 4.40 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH₂), 4.25 (t, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH), 3.79 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.16 (m, 4 H, β-CH₂, S-CH₂), 1.50 (s, 9 H, t-But H).
**N-Fmoc-S-(tert-Butoxycarbonylmethyl)-L-Cysteine [4-14]:**  
N-Fmoc-S-(tert-butoxycarbonylmethyl)-L-Cysteine methyl ester [4-14] (2.18 g, 4.62 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of isopropanol (65 mL) and THF (20 mL). Calcium chloride was added (8.32 g, 74.97 mmol). Separately, LiOH·H_2O (0.78 g, 18.48 mmol) was dissolved in H_2O (28 mL). The aqueous solution was added to the reaction mixture and stirred as a cloudy white solution for 45 min. The organic solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was dissolved in 10% K_2CO₃ (350 mL) as a cloudy white suspension. The aqueous layer was partitioned against Et₂O (3 x 75 mL) to remove the Fmoc deprotection side products, after which it was acidified to pH = 2 with concentrated HCl. It was then extracted with DCM (5 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were then washed with 1N HCl (1 x 100 mL) and brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to a white solid. The solid was dissolved in DCM and purified by column chromatography using 6% MeOH/ DCM as an eluent. A white solid was obtained (1.58 g, 75% yield). R_f = 0.08 in solvent system (A), R_f = 0.23 in solvent system (C); ^1H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.75 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.60 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.39 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.30 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 6.12 (d, 1 H, J = 6.60 Hz,
N-Fmoc-S-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-I-Cysteine methyl ester [4-15]: t-Butyl acrylate (1.14 mL, 7.80 mmol) and TEA (0.72 mL, 5.20 mL) were added to a stirred solution of Fmoc-L-Cysteine methyl ester [4-13] (1.86 g, 5.20 mmol) in MeOH (40 mL). The reaction was stirred under Ar for 3 h. It was then concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in DCM. It was washed with 1N HCl (3 x 10 mL), conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 10 mL), and brine (1 x 15 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄. The solution was filtered and the filtrate concentrated to give a slightly yellow gel (2.36 g, 93% yield). Rₖ = 0.56 in solvent system (A), Rₖ = 0.21 in solvent system (B); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.76 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.62 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.40 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.31 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 J Hz, arom H), 5.85 (d, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz, NH), 4.64 (m, 1 H, α-H), 4.40 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH₂), 4.22 (t, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH), 3.78 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.02 (d, 2 H, J = 3.7 Hz, β-CH₂), 2.77 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, CH₂COO), 2.50 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, S-CH₂), 1.50 (s, 9 H, t-But H).
**N-Fmoc-S-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-L-Cysteine [4-5]:**

N-Fmoc-S-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-L-Cysteine methyl ester [4-15] (2.36 g, 4.86 mmol) was dissolved in isopropanol (68 mL). Calcium chloride was added (8.73 g, 78.66 mmol). Separately, LiOH·H2O (0.82 g, 19.44 mmol) was dissolved in H2O (29 mL). The aqueous solution was then added to the reaction mixture and stirred as a cloudy white suspension for 40 min. The organic solvents were then removed under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in 10% K2CO3 (350 mL) as a cloudy white suspension. It was partitioned against Et2O (3 x 75 mL) to remove the Fmoc deprotection side products. The aqueous layer was then acidified to pH = 2 with concentrated HCl. It was extracted with DCM (5 x 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with 1N HCl (1 x 100 mL) and brine (1 x 100 mL), dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to a white solid (1.84 g, 80% yield). Rf = 0.08 in solvent system (A); 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) δ 9.38 (bs, 1 H, COOH), 7.75 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.61 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.39 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.31 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 5.85 (d, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz, NH), 4.67 (m, 1 H, α-H), 4.40 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH₂), 4.23 (t, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH)
3.06 (d, 2 H, $J = 5.1$ Hz, $\beta$-CH$_2$), 2.80 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, CH$_2$COO), 2.51 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, S-CH$_2$), 1.50 (s, 9 H, $t$-But H).

**L-Homocystine bis-methyl ester·2HCl:** L-Homocystine [4-16] (1.0 g, 3.75 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (25 mL). SOCl$_2$ (1.09 mL, 15.02 mmol) was added, dropwise, over 20 min. The reaction was stirred under Ar over night. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure to a pale yellow solid (1.39 g, quantitative yield):

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta$ 4.17 (t, 2 H, $J = 6.6$ Hz, $\alpha$-H), 3.82 (s, 6 H, NH$_3$), 3.69 (s, 6 H, OMe), 2.81 (t, 4 H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, $\gamma$-CH$_2$), 2.37 (m, 4 H, $\beta$-CH$_2$).
Bis-Fmoc-L-Homocystine bis-methyl ester [4-17]: L-Homocystine bis-methyl ester (1.11 g, 3.75 mmol) was placed into a flask. A solution of K$_2$CO$_3$ (3.11 g, 22.5 mmol) in H$_2$O (32 mL) and then added. Fmoc-Cl (1.94 g, 7.5 mmol) was dissolved in dioxane (10 mL) and added to the reaction which became cloudy. After 20 min. a clumpy white solid was floating in the reaction which was broken up with a spatula. It was stirred over night. The reaction was cloudy with fine particles and large chunks of white solid on the bottom. The reaction was sonicated to break up the solid which was then filtered off, washed with MeOH (3 x 15 mL), and dried under reduced pressure to afford a fine white solid (2.65 g, 95% yield). R$_f$ = 0.33 in solvent system (A); $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ 7.74 (d, 4 H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, arom H), 7.57 (d, 4 H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, arom H), 7.39 (t, 4 H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, arom H), 7.23 (t, 4 H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, arom H), 5.50 (d, 2 H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, NH), 4.49 (m, 2 H, $\alpha$-H), 4.39 (m, 4 H, Fmoc CH$_2$), 4.19 (t, 2 H, $J = 6.6$ Hz, Fmoc CH), 3.75 (s, 6 H, OMe), 2.70 (t, 4 H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, $\gamma$-CH$_2$), 2.17 (dm, 4 H, $\beta$-CH$_2$).
Fmoc-L-Homocysteine methyl ester [4-18]: Bis-Fmoc-L-Homocystine bis-methyl ester [4-17] (2.78 g, 3.75 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of MeOH (150 mL), DCM (40 mL), and TFA (11.56 mL, 150 mmol). Zinc dust (0.74 g, 11.25 mmol) was added and the cloudy white reaction was stirred under Ar over night. The colorless solution was filtered to remove the zinc and the filtrate was concentrated to a white foam. The foam was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with 1N HCl (3 x 10 mL), 1N NaOH (3 x 10 mL), and brine (1 x 10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to a white solid (2.45 g, 88% yield). R_f = 0.44 in solvent system (A), R_f = 0.21 in solvent system (B); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.75 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.59 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.39 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.23 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 5.34 (d, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz, NH), 4.54 (m, 1 H, α-H), 4.44 (m, 2 H, Fmoc CH₂), 4.22 (t, 1 H, J = 6.6 Hz, Fmoc CH), 3.77 (s, 3 H, OMe), 2.55 (m, 2 H, γ-CH₂), 2.05 (dm, 2 H, β-CH₂), 1.55 (t, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz, SH).
**N-Fmoc-S-(tert-Butoxycarbonylmethyl)-L-Homocysteine methyl ester [4-19]:**

Fmoc-L-Homocysteine methyl ester [4-18] (1.22 g, 3.28 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (20 mL). t-Butyl-bromoacetate (0.80 mL, 4.92 mmol) was added followed by Cs₂CO₃ (1.28 g, 3.94 mmol). It was stirred under Ar for 3 h. The reaction was concentrated and the residue partitioned between 1N HCl (25 mL) and DCM (50 mL). The layers were separated and the organic layer was washed with 1N HCl (2 x 15 mL), conc. NaHCO₃ (3 x 15 mL), and brine (1 x 20 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to a slightly yellow solid (1.59 g, quantitative yield). Rƒ = 0.39 in solvent system (B); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.76 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.60 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.40 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.31 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 5.49 (d, 1 H, J = 8.8 Hz, NH), 4.49 (m, 1 H, α-H), 4.40 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH₂), 4.23 (t, 1 H, J = 6.6 Hz, Fmoc CH), 3.77 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.13 (s, 2 H, S-CH₂), 2.67 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, γ-CH₂), 2.10 (dm, 2 H, β-CH₂), 1.47 (s, 9 H, t-But H).
**N-Fmoc-S-(tert-Butoxycarbonylmethyl)-L-Homocysteine [4-6]**: N-Fmoc-S-(tert-butoxycarbonylmethyl)-L-Homocysteine methyl ester [4-19] (1.59 g, 3.28 mmol) was dissolved in isopropanol (47 mL) and CaCl₂ was added (5.94 g, 53.52 mmol). Separately, LiOH·H₂O (0.56g, 13.12 mmol) was dissolved in H₂O (20 mL). The aqueous solution was then added to the reaction mixture and stirred as a cloudy white suspension for 2 h. The organic solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting residue dissolved in 10% K₂CO₃ (100 mL) which produced a cloudy white suspension. It was partitioned against Et₂O (3 x 50 mL) to remove the Fmoc-deprotection side products. The aqueous layer was acidified to pH = 2 with concentrated HCl and was then washed with DCM (5 x 75 mL). The combined organic layers were then washed with 1N HCl (1 x 75 mL) and brine (1 x 75 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to a white solid (1.23 g, 79% yield). Rₜ = 0.10 in solvent system (A); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 10.03 (bs, 1 H, COOH), 7.76 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.60 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.40 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.31 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 5.49 (d, 1 H, J = 8.8 Hz, NH), 4.49 (m, 1 H, α-H), 4.40 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH₂), 4.21 (t, 1 H, J =
6.6 Hz, Fmoc CH), 3.13 (s, 2 H, S-CH2), 2.70 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, γ-CH2), 2.10 (dm, 2 H, β-CH2), 1.47 (s, 9 H, t-But H).

N-Fmoc-S-(tert-Butoxycarbonyylethyl)-L-Homocysteine methyl ester [4-20]: Fmoc-L-Homocysteine methyl ester [4-18] (1.00 g, 2.69 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of MeOH (30 mL) and THF (15 mL). t-Butyl acrylate was added (0.59 mL, 4.04 mmol) followed by TEA (0.37 mL, 2.69 mL). It was stirred under Ar for 3 h. The reaction was then concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue dissolved in DCM. It was washed with 1N HCl (3 x 10 mL), conc. NaHCO3 (3 x 10 mL), and brine (1 x 15 mL), dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to a pale yellow solid. The solid was dissolved in DCM and purified by column chromatography using DCM as the eluent. A colorless gel was obtained (0.98 g, 73% yield). Rf = 0.49 in solvent system (A), Rf = 0.10 in solvent system (B), Rf = 0.76 in solvent system (C); 1H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) δ 7.76 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.60 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.40 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 7.32 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, arom H), 5.49 (d, 1 H, J = 6.6 Hz, NH), 4.49 (m, 1 H, α-H), 4.40 (d, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc-
CH₂), 4.21 (t, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz, Fmoc CH), 3.75 (s, 3 H, OMe), 2.74 (t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz, CH₂COO), 2.53 (m, 4 H, γ-CH₂, S-CH₂), 2.07 (dm, 2 H, β-CH₂), 1.46 (s, 9 H, t-But H).

\[ \text{N-Fmoc-S-(tert-Butoxycarbonylethyl)-L-Homocysteine} \quad [4-7] \]

\[ \text{N-Fmoc-S-(tert-butoxycarbonylethyl)-L-Homocysteine methyl ester} \quad [4-20] \]

(0.56 g, 1.12 mmol) was dissolved in isopropanol (16 mL). Calcium chloride was added (2.02 g, 18.20 mmol). Separately, LiOH·H₂O (0.19 g, 4.48 mmol) was dissolved in H₂O (6 mL). The aqueous solution was added to the reaction mixture and then stirred as a cloudy white suspension for 40 min. The organic solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting residue was dissolved in 10% K₂CO₃ (100 mL) which produced a cloudy white suspension. It was partitioned against Et₂O (3 x 25 mL) to remove the Fmoc deprotection side products. The aqueous layer was then acidified to pH = 2 with concentrated HCl and was extracted with DCM (5 x 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with 1N HCl (1 x 25 mL) and brine (1 x 15 mL), dried over
MgSO₄, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated to a white solid (0.48 g, 89% yield). \( R_f = 0.11 \) in solvent system (A), \( R_f = 0.20 \) in solvent system (C), \( R_f = 0.16 \) in solvent system (D); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) \( \delta \) 8.89 (bs, 1 H, COOH), 7.74 (d, 2 H, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, arom H), 7.59 (d, 2 H, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, arom H), 7.38 (t, 2 H, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, arom H), 7.30 (t, 2 H, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, arom H), 5.49 (d, 1 H, \( J = 6.6 \) Hz, NH), 4.49 (m, 1 H, \( \alpha \)-H), 4.40 (d, 2 H, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, Fmoc CH₂), 4.21 (t, 1 H, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, Fmoc CH), 2.74 (t, 2 H, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, CH₂COO), 2.60 (t, 2 H, \( J = 6.6 \) Hz, \( \gamma \)-CH₂), 2.52 (t, 2 H, \( J = 7.3 \) Hz, S-CH₂), 2.09 (dm, 2 H, \( \beta \)-CH₂), 1.46 (s, 9 H, \( t \)-But H).

4.5.3 Peptide Synthesis

The peptides were synthesized by stepwise coupling of Fmoc-amino acid derivatives (Fmoc-\( t \)-Butyl-Glycine, Fmoc-Asp(\( \beta \)-cyclohexyl ester)-OH, Fmoc-Glycine, and Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH) using standard coupling procedures on solid phase Rink amide MBHA resin. Specifically, DIC (3 eq.) and HOBt (3 eq.) in NMP were used as activation reagents for peptide bond formation using 3 equivalents of each amino acid (in relation to the theoretical loading of the resin). Cleavage from the resin, as well as removal of the \( t \)-butyl esters from the side-chain of the building blocks, was accomplished by treatment with TFA for 1 h. The resin was filtered off and washed with MeOH (5 x 25 mL). The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to a solid, washed with Et₂O (3 x 20 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. The linear peptides were then cyclized using HATU (3 eq.) as an activating agent, in the presence of N-methyl morpholine (7 eq.) in DMF (1 mM) over night. The cyclic peptides were
purified by preparative RP-HPLC using a gradient of ACN in water with 0.3% AcOH. The side-chain cyclohexyl esters were removed by treatment with HF for 1 h in the presence of methyl anisole as a scavenger. The crude peptides were dissolved in H2O, washed with Et2O (3 x 50 mL), and lyophilized. The deprotected peptides were purified by preparative RP-HPLC using a gradient of ACN in water with 0.3% AcOH.

c[NH-Arg-Gly-Asp(OcHx)-Asp(OcHx)-tBuG-Cys(S-CH2-CO)]-NH2: Purified via preparative HPLC, 90A:10B → 0A:100B/ 100 min; C39H64N10O11S (881 g/mol); LCMS (ES) m/e M+H 882, r_t = 9.99 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 12 min) or r_t = 13.45 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 23 min).

c[NH-Arg-Gly-Asp-Asp-tBuG-Cys(S-CH2-CO)]-NH2 [4-7]: Purified via preparative HPLC, 100A:0B → 70A:30B, 80 min; C27H44N10O11S (716 g/mol); LCMS (ES) m/e M+H 717, r_t = 8.17 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 12 min); Analytical HPLC r_t = 12.61 min (100A:0B → 60A:40B/ 30 min).

c[NH-Arg-Gly-Asp(OcHx)-Asp(OcHx)-tBuG-Cys(S-CH2-CH2-CO)]-NH2: Purified via preparative HPLC, 90A:10B → 0A:100B/ 100 min; C40H66N10O11S (895 g/mol); LCMS (ES) m/e M+H 896, r_t = 9.87 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 12 min) or r_t = 13.67 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 23 min).
c[NH-Arg-Gly-Asp-Asp-tBuG-Cys(S-CH₂-CH₂-CO)]-NH₂ [4-8]: Purified via preparative HPLC, 100A:0B → 70A:30B/ 80 min; C₂₈H₄₆N₁₀O₁₁S (730 g/mol); LCMS (ES) m/e M+H 731, rₜ = 8.46 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 12 min); Analytical HPLC rₜ = 12.78 min (100A:0B → 60A:40B/ 30 min).

c[NH-Arg-Gly-Asp(OcHₓ)-Asp(OcHₓ)-tBuG-HomoCys(S-CH₂-CO)]-NH₂:
Purified via preparative HPLC, 90A:10B → 0A:100B/ 100 min; C₄₀H₆₆N₁₀O₁₁S (895 g/mol); LCMS (ES) m/e M+H 896, rₜ = 9.93 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 12 min) or rₜ = 13.47 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 23 min).

c[NH-Arg-Gly-Asp-Asp-tBuG-HomoCys(S-CH₂-CO)]-NH₂ [4-9]: Purified via preparative HPLC, 100A:0B → 70A:30B/ 80 min; C₂₈H₄₆N₁₀O₁₁S (730 g/mol); LCMS (ES) m/e M+H 731, rₜ = 9.01 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 12 min); Analytical HPLC rₜ = 12.80 min (100A:0B → 60A:40B/ 30 min).

c[NH-Arg-Gly-Asp(OcHₓ)-Asp(OcHₓ)-tBuG-HomoCys(S-CH₂-CH₂-CO)]-NH₂:
Purified via preparative HPLC, 90A:10B → 0A:100B/ 100 min; C₄₁H₆₈N₁₀O₁₁S (909 g/mol); LCMS (ES) m/e M+H 910, rₜ = 9.84 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 12 min) or rₜ = 13.70 min (90A:10B → 10A:90B/ 23 min).
c[NH-Arg-Gly-Asp-Asp-tBuG-HomoCys(S-CH2-CH2-CO)]-NH2 [4-10]: Purified via preparative HPLC, 100A:0B $\rightarrow$ 70A:30B/ 80 min; C$_{29}$H$_{48}$N$_{10}$O$_{11}$S (744 g/mol); LCMS (ES) m/e M+H 745, $r_t$ = 8.66 min (90A:10B $\rightarrow$ 10A:90B/ 12 min); Analytical HPLC $r_t$ = 13.72 min (100A:0B $\rightarrow$ 60A:40B/ 30 min).

4.5.4 Binding assays

Each well of a microtiter plate (Nunc MaxiSorp) was coated with 120 µL of purified receptor (0.5 µg/mL in assay buffer (2 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 50mM TRIS, 150mM NaCl at pH 7.4)) with 4 mM octyl glucoside overnight at room temperature with shaking. The receptor solution was removed, and each well was washed with 200 µL of 0.5% bovine serum albumin in assay buffer for ten minutes. This step was repeated for a total of three washes. Fifty micro liters of ten-fold dilutions (from 0.0002 to 200 µg/mL) of the inhibitory compounds in assay buffer was added to the wells. Fifty µL of biotinylated ligand (fibrinogen for $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$, fibronectin for $\alpha_5\beta_1$, and vitronectin for $\alpha_{\text{v}}\beta_3$, and $\alpha_{\text{v}}\beta_5$) in assay buffer was added to the wells. The plates were sealed and incubated overnight at room temperature with shaking.

The ligand / competitor solution was removed, then each well was washed with 250 µL wash buffer (0.05 % Tween 20, 50 mM TRIS, 150 mM NaCl$_2$, pH 7.4) for five minutes. This step was repeated for a total of three washes. One hundred micro liters of an Avidin Biotin Peroxidase Complex (Pierce Chemical ABC kit 32050) in wash buffer was added to each well. The plates were incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature with shaking. The ABC solution was removed and each well was washed
with 250 µl wash buffer for five minutes. This step was repeated for a total of three washes. One hundred micro liters of a peroxidase substrate (3, 3', 5, 5' tetramethylbenzidine, Pierce Chemical TMB substrate kit 34021) was added to each well.

The conversion of the substrate was monitored kinetically in a microtiter plate reader (Molecular Devices) at 650 nm. Optical density readings were made of each well at 12 second intervals for 10 minutes. The software for the plate reader was used to calculate the concentration at which 50% of the binding of the ligand to the receptor was inhibited (IC$_{50}$). The maximal velocity of the enzymatic conversion ($V_{max}$) was calculated for each well and expressed in milli-optical density units per minute (mOD/min). The $V_{max}$ values were plotted as a function of inhibitor concentration, and a four parameter logistic curve was fitted to the data. The inflection point of this curve is the IC$_{50}$. 
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