UC Santa Barbara

Himalayan Linguistics

Title

hdug as a testimonial marker in Classical and Old Tibetan

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/9j980579

Journal

Himalayan Linguistics, 12(1)

Author

Hill, Nathan W

Publication Date

2013

DOI

10.5070/H912123714

Copyright Information

Copyright 2013 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Peer reviewed



A free refereed web journal and archive devoted to the study of the languages of the Himalayas

Himalayan Linguistics

hdug as a testimonial marker in Classical and Old Tibetan

Nathan W. Hill

SOAS, University of London

ABSTRACT

DeLancey (1992) and Hongladarom (1994) suppose that *hdug* means 'sit' in Old and Classical Tibetan, and that these languages entirely lack the evidential use of this morpheme well known in 'Lhasa' Tibetan. In contrast, Denwood (1999) sees the Classical Tibetan use of *hdug* as broadly in keeping with its function in 'Lhasa' Tibetan. An examination of examples from Old and Classical Tibetan suggests that evidential uses of *hdug* emerged late in the Old Tibetan period and that the meaning 'sit' is idiosyncratic to the *Mdzańs blun*.

KEYWORDS

Old Tibetan, Classical Tibetan, evidentiality, mirativity, testimonial, copula

This is a contribution from *Himalayan Linguistics, Vol. 12(1): 1–16.* ISSN 1544-7502 © 2013. All rights reserved.

This Portable Document Format (PDF) file may not be altered in any way.

Tables of contents, abstracts, and submission guidelines are available at www.linguistics.ucsb.edu/HimalayanLinguistics

hdug as a testimonial marker in Classical and Old Tibetan¹

Nathan W. Hill SOAS, University of London

1 Introduction

The morpheme *hdug* and its role in the inflection of the "Lhasa"² Tibetan verbal system has received considerable attention (cf. Hill 2012 and citations therein). Following Tournadre (1996: 224-226, 2008: 295) the term 'testimonial' serves here to designate the meanings of hdug to indicate sensory evidence as information source. The use of hdug in literary sources has received less attention. Noting that the apparent cognate of hdug in Balti dialect means 'sit', Scott DeLancey writes that the "implied conclusion that hdug was a lexical verb 'sit' until well after the differentiation of Proto-Tibetan is also supported by philological evidence. Classical Tibetan hdug retains in earlier texts the sense of 'sit, dwell, reside, stay" (1992: 52). DeLancey however neither provides this philological evidence nor cites these early texts. Krisadawan Hongladarom in agreement with DeLancey's view writes that the "original meaning is 'sit, stay, remain," (1994: 673) and concludes that "upon examining documents in OT [Old Tibetan], we see that hdug (and other verbs) do not develop evidential meaning until much later" (1994: 682). In contrast to DeLancey and Hongladarom, Philip Denwood remarks that in Classical Tibetan *hdug* "usually has strongly the sense of discovery that it retains in Lhasa Tibetan" (1999: 246). The disagreement between DeLancey and Hongladarom on the one hand, and Denwood on the other hand indicates the inadequacy of the five passages so far discussed to decide whether the testimonial meaning that *hdug* bears in Lhasa dialect is also present in Old and Classical Tibetan.

2 The place of *hdug* in the "Lhasa" Tibetan verbal system

Before turning to the function of *hdug* in Classical Tibetan a reprise on the function of *hdug* in the "Lhasa" Tibetan verbal system has its place. The analysis presented follows that proposed by Tournadre in a number of publications (e.g. Tournadre 1996, 2008, Tournarde and Dorje 2009). However, what is here called 'personal' Tournadre refers to as 'egophoric' and what is treated here as the perfect testimonial Tournadre classifies as a separate information source, namely 'inferential' (Tournadre and Dorje 2009: 140-144, 413). DeLancey (1992) inappropriately describes the "Lhasa"

¹ I would like to thank the British Academy for support during the course of this research.

² Ideally one should draw a distinction among the language of the city of Lhasa itself, other dialects of Central Tibet, and the lingua franca of the Tibetan diaspora (Miller 1955, Róna-Tas 1985: 160-161). However, the literature does not maintain this disctinction.

Tibetan verbal system using the concepts 'mirativity' and 'conjunct-disjunct'. See Tournadre (2008) for arguments against 'conjunct-disjunct' and Hill (2012) for arguments against 'mirativity'.

"Lhasa" Tibetan exhibits a three-way paradigmatic evidential contrast within the forms of the verb 'to be' (cf. Table 1) and across affixes encoding tense categories;³ the three evidential categories are 'personal', 'factual', and 'testimonial' (cf. Table 2). In non-finite clauses the difference among these three is often neutralized in favour of the personal (cf. Chang and Chang 1984: 607-608; DeLancey 1990: 298).

	Existential copula	Equational copula
Personal	yod	yin
Factual	yod-pa-red	red
Testimonial	ḥdug	red-bźag

Table 1. The "Lhasa" Tibetan copula system

The three existential copulas can all also function as equational copulas in circumstances that are poorly understood (Garrett 2001: 70, 91; Chonjore 2003: 207; Tournadre and Dorje 2009: 100-102). As a equational copula *hdug* is restricted to use with adjectival predicates (Garrett 2001: 68). For the topic at hand, it merits mention that the interrogative form of *red-bžag* is *red-hdug*, and it is negated as *red-mi-hdug* (cf. Tournadre andDorje 2009: 411).

	Future	Present	Past	Perfect
Personal	V-gi-yin	V-gi-yod	V-pa-yin/byun ⁴	V-yod
Factual	V-gi-red	V-gi-yod-pa-red	V-pa-red	V-yod-pa-red
Testimonial		V-gi-ḥdug	V-son	V-bźag

Table 1: "Lhasa" Tibetan verbal conjugation

The summary in Table 2 fails to capture the details that V-hdug is an alternative form of the perfect testimonial, and that V-bźag itself is negated as V-mi-hdug (Tournadre and Dorje 2009: 140). Thus, all told the morpheme hdug paradigmatically contrasts with yod and yod-pa-red in four

³ Aikhenvald (2004: 69 following DeLancey 1986) regards these affixes as auxiliary verbs. Since the entire verbal syntagma is treated phonetically as a single word, and these affixes occur in an obligatory and suppletive paradigm, they behave much more like the -bat in Latin portābat 'he was carrying' or the -bit in portābit 'he will carry' than they do like English auxiliaries such as 'do', and 'have'. The origin of these Latin affixes is *bhuH 'be, become' used as an auxilliary (Fortson 2010: 279), but researchers of Latin have had the wisdom to not confuse diachronic origin with synchronic explanation. More recent work of DeLancey's avoids this pitfall, using the term 'endings' (DeLancey 1992: 44).

⁴ The form *V-pa-yin* is used in volitional sentences whereas the form *V-byun* is used in non-volitional sentences (cf. Tournadre 1996: 231-235).

constructions: the equational copula, the existential copula, the present auxiliary, and the perfect (for examples cf. Hill 2012: 391-395). In all of its uses hdug is a marker of the testimonial, but it is not the only such marker since -son and $-b\acute{z}ag$ also mark this category in the past and perfect respectively.

The testimonial encodes the fact that the speaker's information source is the experience of his own five senses. Most typically the source of information is visual, but the information source marked with *hdug* may be any of the five senses (Hill 2012: 406-407) or an 'internal sense' ('endopathic', cf. Hill 2012: 404).

Personal

(1) *nar* deb de yod me-OBL book that exist-PER

'I have that book' (DeLancey 2001: 374).

Factual

(2) btson-khan hdir deb yag-po yod-pa-red shop this book good exist-FAC

'This shop has good books.' (Speaker A says to speaker B, when neither can see the book before entering). (Yukawa 1966: 78)⁵

Testimonial

(3) a. *ḥdir deb yag-po ḥdug*here-OBL book good exist-TES

'Here is a good book.' (Speaker A says after they have entered the shop while looking at the book). (Yukawa 1966: 78)⁶

b. *nar deb de hdug* me-OBL book that exist-TES

'I have that book.' (DeLancey 2001: 374, example 8)

Example (1) may be said "in answer to someone asking me whether I own a particular book" (DeLancey 2001: 374), whereas example (3b) is more appropriate if, believing I did not own the book, "I returned home and found it on my shelf" (DeLancey 2001: 374). The distinction is between whether the knowledge of the information conveyed by the sentence came to the speaker through personal involvement (personal) or through direct visual perception (testimonial).

⁵ For ease of presentation I have paraphrased Yukawa's translation of this and the following example. In fact he writes "A 氏が B 氏を案内して«この店にはいい本がある»という場合(その本はまだ見えていない。)"

⁶ Yukawa writes "その店にはいってその本を見ながら、«ここにいい本がある»という時".

3 Testimonal use of *hdug* in Classical Tibetan

As a term "Classical Tibetan" is used to refer to any writing in the Tibetan language from canonical Buddhist texts translated into Tibetan during the period of the Tibetan empire (7th -9th centuries) up until the annexation of Tibet to China in 1959. A thousand years of linguistic usage is never homogenous. The current state of research precludes the presentation of a summary of the syntactic constructions that involve *ḥdug* over this entire period. Schwieger (2006: 70-141) provides the most complete available discussion of the Classical Tibetan verbal system. (An appendix to this article classifies the examples cited here into broad syntactic categories.).

Without a full investigation of the copula and auxiliary constructions in Classical Tibetan it is not possible to say whether or in what period Classical Tibetan exhibits evidentiality as a formal category of its verbal system. Instead, here it suffices to provide evidence that (contra Delancey 1992) in Classical Tibetan hdug frequently indicates that the information source for an utterance is sensory evidence and that hdug does not always mean 'sit', i.e. that DeLancey's proposal of a sudden transition from a full verb hdug 'sit' in Classical Tibetan to a testimonial marker in "Lhasa" Tibetan is not accurate.

In narratives direct quotation is the only context in which the speaker and the witness of sensory evidence are the same person; direct quotations consequently provide the clearest evidence of *ḥdug* as a marker of information source and the investigation in this section is restricted to examples of *ḥdug* found in direct quotation.

The meaning of *hdug* to indicate visual evidence is present in renditions of the Gñaḥ-khri btsan-po myth spanning the 12th to the 16th century. This nuance I suggest by adding in parentheses a form of the verb 'behold'. In most versions the Tibetans appoint the foreigner as emperor immediately after meeting him and realizing (or misunderstanding) that he comes from the sky.

```
źig ḥdug-pas/
(4)
       « hdi ni
                    gnam-las
                               byon-pahi
                                             btsan-po
                                                         no-mtshar-can
             TOP
                               come-N-GEN
                                                                         a hdug-N-AGN /
       this
                    sky-abl
                                             emperor
                                                        miracle-have
       ho-
            rnams-kyi jo-bo
                               byaho »
                                         zer-te/
       we
            PLR-GEN
                       lord
                               do-fin »
                                         say-cnv /
```

'Because (we behold that) he is a miraculous emperor descended from the heavens we shall make him our lord.' (*Nan-ral chos-ḥbyun*, late 1100s, Nan ral 1988: 159)

```
(5)
                phyag-sor-dan
       « ho-na
                                  lce
                                            no-mtshar
       oh
                 finger-Ass
                                  tongue
                                            marvel
              gcig hdug-pas/
              one hdug-N-AGN /
       khyod-la nus-mthu hdra-ba
                                                    byas-pas/
                                           vod? »
                power
                          like
                                    what exist? » do-N-AGN/
       you
       kho
                   « nus-mthu-dan
                                      rdzu-hphrul
                                                    che
       he
            said
                   « power-ass
                                      magic
                                                    big
```

```
drags-pos bśugs-pa yin » zer/
strong-AGN exile-N be say /
« ho ned-kyi rje bcol-lo » byas-nas/
« Oh we-GEN lord appoint-FIN » do-CNV
```

'Oh, (we behold that) you are one with amazingly big fingers and tongue. What sort of power do you have?' He said, 'My powers are so strong that I was exiled', 'Oh, we will appoint you our lord.' (*Mkhas-pa Ldeḥu chos-ḥbyun*, post 1261, Mkhas-pa-ldeḥu 1987: 226-227)

(6) « ḥdi ni namkhaḥ-nas ḥons-paḥi lha-sras yin-par ḥdug-pas/ « this top sky-ela go-n-gen god-son be-n-trm ḥdug-n-agn ḥo-cag rnams-kyi rje ḥchol-lo » zer-te/ we plr-gen lord appoint-fin » say-cnv /

'Because (we behold that) he is a divine son come from the sky we shall appoint him our lord.' (*Rgyal-rabs gsal-baḥi me-loi*, 1368, Kutzenov 1966: 46)

(7) « ḥdi lhaḥi yul-nas ḥoṅ-pa **ḥdug** /
this god-gen place-ela come-n ḥdug
ñe-raṅ-gi rje byaḥo » źes /
we lord do-fin say

'(We behold that) he comes from the land of the gods; we shall make him our lord.' (*Bśad-mdzod yid-bźin nor-bu*, 1400s, Haarh 1969: 409)

(8) « lha-yul gnam-nas hons-pahi btsan-por hdug/ god-land sky-ELA emperor-TRM hdug / come-N-GEN hdi-la bdag-cag rje-bo dgos» zer// źи this-ALL we lord request need » say

'Because (we behold that) he is an emperor come from the sky, the land of the gods, we should invite him to be our lord. (*Mkhas-paḥi dgaḥ-ston*, 1545, Haarh 1969: 175).'

The biography of Mi-la-ras-pa (*Mi-la-ras-paḥi rnam-thar*) by Gtsan smyon He-ru-ka Rus-paḥi Rgyan-can (1452-1507) also provides examples of *ḥdug* as a testimonial marker. On his deathbed Mi-la's father tells those around him that he does not expect to recover.

⁷ The *Mi-la-ras-paḥi rnam-thar* by Gtsań Smyon He-ru-ka Rus-pa-ḥi Rgyan-can (1452-1507) is probably the best known work of literature in the Tibetan language. One should note (contra Tournadre 2010: 112 note 59), that de Jong's critical edition (1959) lacks a translation whether into English or another language. To the translations listed in Hill (2007: 227 note 2) one may now add Gtsań Smyon He-ru-ka Rus-paḥi Rgyan-can (2010).

(9) don-bsdu-la nag-tu
meaning-collect-ALL word-TRM
na da lan-gyi nad hdis mi gton-ba hdug-cin
I now time-gen illness this-agn not send-n hdug-cvb

'In sum, (I see that) this current disease will not release me.' (*Mi-la-ras-paḥi rnam-thar* 1488, de Jong 1959: 31, cf. Zadoks 2004)

Because the *Mi-la-ras-paḥi rnam-thar* is written as a first person narrative, for this text possible objection to the inclusion of examples of *ḥdug* in the frame narrative are not applicable. The narrator, Mi-la, frequently employs *ḥdug* to mark the visual source of his information.

(10) a-ma yug-cig brgyal-nas hgyel hdug-pahi tshe/ mother moment fall-ela faint hdug-N-GEN when

'My mother fainted in an instant, and when (I beheld that) she had fallen ...' (Mi-la-ras-paḥi rnam-thar, 1488, de Jong 1959: 36, cf. Zadoks 2004)

(11)nan-du dam-chos Dkon-mchog-brtsegs-pa de phyin-pas inside-TRM went-N-AGN religion Ratnakūta that brduns/ thigs-pa-dan phun-gis sa droplets-Ass earth clump-AGN batter / bya-dan byi-bahi brun-dan tshan-gdan byas hdug-ste/ bird-Ass rodent-GEN dung-Ass nest do hdug-cvb/

'When I went into [my childhood home, now abandoned], (I beheld that) the *Ratnakūṭa* scripture was battered with water droplets and mud clots, birds and rodents had made their nests and left their droppings [in it].' (*Mi-la-ras-paḥi rnam-thar*, 1488, de Jong 1959: 111, cf. Zadoks 2004)

(12) dan-po klog slob-paḥi slob-dpon-gyi sar phyin-pas/
first read study-N-GEN teacher-GEN place-TRM went-N-AGN
slob-dpon ran ni grons hdug/
teacher self TOP die ḥdug/

'I went to the house of the teacher who had first taught me to read; (I beheld that) the teacher himself had died.' (*Mi-la-ras-paḥi rnam-thar* 1488, de Jong 1959: 113, cf. Zadoks 2004)

Linguistic studies of the text include the rather flawed Saxena (1989), together with Dempsey's rejoinder (1993), Hill (2007), and Haller (2009).

In the preceding nine examples *hdug* appears to exhibit a testimonial meaning, but perhaps this is fortuity. Another selection of examples of *hdug* might show the word in contexts incompatible with a testimonial meaning. In order to persuasively suggest that the testimonial is a structural category of Classical Tibetan grammar it is necessary to show contexts where *hdug* is used contrastingly with a verb such as *yin* or *yod* and it is clear that *hdug* indicates a testimonial value. Abel Zadoks draws attention to just such an example; unfortunately, his citation is not complete enough to allow for easy verification. The text is the *Padma bkah-than* by O-rgyan glin-pa (1323-??).

```
(13)
      rkan-glin yin» zer
                           mi-yi
                                      rkan-du
                                                hdug/
      leg-flute
                 be » say
                           person-gen leg-trm
                                                hdug/
      « źi'n
              chen g.yan-gźi yin» zer
                                         mi-lpags
                                                    bkram/
              big ground
                                         men-skin stretch
      « field
                              be » say
      « rakta
                                        khrag blugs hdug
               vin »
                      zer gtor-mar
                      say offering-TRM blood pour
      « rakta
      « dkyil-hkhor vin »
                          zer
                               khra-khra sig-sig hdug
      « mandala
                   be »
                               doodle
                                         mere hdug
```

'They say "it is a leg flute" (I behold that) it is a human leg. They say "it is the ground of a vast field"—a human skin stretched out. They say "it is *rakta*" (I behold that) it is blood poured as an offering.

They say "it is a maṇḍala" (I behold that) it is doodles.' (Zadoks 2004)

These ten examples of *hdug* in quotation or in first person narrative sufficiently show that *hdug* does bear a testimonial meaning in Classical Tibetan from the 12th through 16th centuries.

4 The use of hdug in the Mdzans blun

In addition to the testimonial uses of *hdug* discussed in the preceding section, Schwieger (2006: 73, 110-114) assembles many more examples of *hdug* serving as a testimonial, which it would be cumbersome to discuss here; the evidence for a testimonial function of *hdug* in Classical Tibetan is overwhelming. Consequently, it is necessary to re-consider the evidence Hongladarom presents to show that *hdug* lacks a testimonial meaning in Classical Tibetan.

Hongladarom discusses two examples from the 'story of the householder Dbyug-pa-can' (khyim-bdag Dbyug-pa-can) in the Mdzans blun, the first (14) to show that hdug does not have a testimonial meaning in Classical Tibetan, and the second (15) to show that hdug means 'sit'.

(14) yul de-na Bram-ze Dbyug-pa-can źes bya-ba źig **ḥdug**-ste region there-Loc Brahmin Dbyug-pa-can quote do-N a ḥdug-cvb

'In a certain place there was a Brahmin called Dbyug-pa-can.' (*Mdzans-blun*, Derge Kanjur LXXIV, 271a = Schmidt 1843: 272, ll. 4-5, cf. Hongladarom 1994: 676)

(15) de-dag rgyal-poḥi rkan-pa-la mgo-bos phyag-ḥtshal-te these king-gen foot-n-all head-agn prostrate-cvb phyogs gcig-tu hdug-go direction one-trm hdug-fin

"They prostrated with their head at the king's feet and sat down in one direction." (*Mdzańs-blun*, Derge Kanjur LXXIV, 272a = Schmidt 1843: 274, l. 1, cf. Hongladarom 1994: 676)

These two examples are not compatible with a testimonial reading. Although they may suffice to show that the testimonial use of *hdug* is lacking in the *Mdzańs blun*, they do not prove the absence of such a use in Classical Tibetan altogether.

As to Hongladarom's claim that *hdug* means 'sit', although example (15) does not preclude that the petitioners remained standing, textual parallels such as (16), which employs a different verb for 'sit' in a similar syntactic context, weigh in favor of understanding *hdug* as 'sit' in example (15) also.

(16)rgyal-po-dan / btsun-mo-dan / king-ass queen-Ass dmag-pa-dan / bu-mor bcas-te/ soldier-ass girl-TRM be.together-CVB bcom-ldan-hdas gan-na-ba der phyin-pa-dan / Bhagavan whereabouts there-TRM went-N-ALL sans-rgyas-la phyag-tshal-te phyogs gcig-tu hkhod-do/ prostrate-cvB Buddha-ALL direction one-TRM sat-FIN

'The king, queen, soldier, and girl, together went to where the Bhagavan was and prostrated to the Buddha, and sat facing one direction' (Mdzańs-blun, Derge Kanjur LXXIV, 149b = Schmidt 1843: 40, ll. 12-13)

Other examples of *hdug* in the 'story of the householder Dbyug-pa-can' unambiguous mean 'sit' (cf. 17 and 18).

(17)nal-nas chan tshon-gi that body tired-cvb beer sell-gen khyim-du son-ste chan bslans-nas/ home-TRM go-CVB beer request-CVB chan-tshon-ma de-la bu-pho źig btsas-te/ that-ALL son barmaid a give.birth-cvB gos-kyis g.yogs bsñal-pa-las/ child cloth-AGN wrap lay.to.rest-N-ABL Dbyug-pa-can deḥi sten-du **hdug**-pa-dan Dbyug-pa-can that-gen above-TRM hdug-N-Ass

khyeḥu de srog-dan bral-bar gyur-to boy that life-Ass be.bereft-N-TRM become-FIN

'Then he was tired, went into a public house and ordered beer. The barmaid had born a child and laid it to rest wrapped in cloth. Dbyug-pa-can sat on top of it and that mortal was bereft of life.' (*Mdzańs-blun*, Derge Kanjur LXXIV, 271a = Schmidt 1843: 273, ll. 5-7)

(18) gnas gźan źig-na śiń Śa-ko-ta-ka-la bya-rog cig **ḥdug**-pa place other a-Loc tree Śa-ko-ta-ka-la bird a ḥdug-N

'At another place there was a crow sitting in a Śakotaka tree.' (*Mdzańs-blun*, Derge Kanjur LXXIV, 272a = Schmidt 1843: 273, 1. 9-10)

Equally clear uses of hdug used to mean 'sit' (such as 19) occur elsewhere in the Mdzans-blun,

(19) Bram-ze de stan btin-ba-la hdug-nas
Brahmin that cushion spread-ALL hdug-CVB

'The Brahmin sat on the spread cushion' (*Mdzańs-blun*, Derge Kanjur LXXIV, 131a = Schmidt 1843: 4, ll. 7-8)

That *hdug* can mean 'sit' in the *Mdzańs-blun* is not in doubt, but it is unclear whether this usage also occurs in other documents. In his dictionary Jäschke (1880: 277) gives 'sit' as the first of four definitions of *hdug*; all of his examples of this meaning are taken from the *Mdzańs-blun*.8 Thus, both Jäschke and Hongladarom's evidence that *hdug* means 'sit' hails exclusively from this one text.

The *Mdzańs-blun* is a problematic text as an exemplar of Classical Tibetan; it is a collection of tales found in the Tibetan Buddhist canon (*bkaḥ ḥgyur*), translated from Chinese into Tibetan by Chos grub 法成 in the ninth century. This text was written during the Old Tibetan period and a copy exists among the Dunhuang texts (Terjék 1969). Either the early date of the text, or its non-native composition could account for idiosyncrasies in its grammar.

5 The use of *hdug* in Old Tibetan

Hongladarom cites two examples from the *Old Tibetan Chronicle* (PT 1287, Imaeda 2007: 200-229) to demonstrate that *hdug* did not have a testimonial meaning in the Old Tibetan period.

⁸ In contrast, his sources for the second, third, and fourth definitions ('to be' as an existential, copula, and auxiliary respectively), come from several texts. The second definition uses a Rgyal-rabs (presumably the Rgyal-rabs gsal-baḥi me-lon), the Mdzans-blun, indigenous grammatical literature, and the Mi la mgur hbum. The third definition also uses the Mi la mgur hbum and Rgyal rabs, but also cites the Bstan 'gyur. The fourth definition cites only the Mi la mgur hbum and the Rgyal-rabs.

⁹ Studies include Schiefner (1852), Jäschke (1864), Takakusu (1901), Terjék (1969), Mair (1993), and Roesler (2007). More recently than Schiefner and Jäschke's use of the text in grammatical investigations is Anderson (1987). For more on Chos grub 法成 see Ueyama (1990: 84-246).

The first example is taken from the legend of Dri-gum-bstan-po. The child Nar-la-skyes has sought to recover the body of the deceased emperor from a Nāginī of the name Ḥo-de-bed-de-rinmo, and asks under what conditions she will return it.

```
(20)
     « gźan
            įĭ
                  yan myĭ hdod/
     other
             what still not want
      myi-hĭ
              myig bya
                        туїд
                               ltar hdug-pa hog-nas
     man-gen eye bird eye
                               like hdug-N
                                            under-ELA
                                zer-nas//
     hgebs-pa gchig hdod» ces
      close-N
              one want quote say-CVB
```

"I want nothing else; I want one who has the eyes of men like the eyes of birds, closing from below." She said.' (PT 1287, ll. 37-38, Imaeda et al. 2007: 201, cf. Hongladarom 1994: 674)

Although example (20) is a direct quotation, neither the female serpent spirit nor the boy Nar-laskyes to whom she speaks has seen the ornithomorphic child in question, so this occurrence of *hdug* cannot be understood as testimonial.

In Hongladarom's second example from the *Old Tibetan Chronicle* the founder of Tibet's imperial lineage, Stag-bu sña gzĭgs, ascents to a conspiracy which two disgruntled vassals of Zĭń-po rje present to him.

```
(21)
      btsan-po-hi
                    źal-nas/
      emperor-gen mouth-ela
      « na-hi srin-mo źig kyan /
      me-gen sister
                           even
      Zĭ'n-po rje-ḥi
                       ga-na
                                  hdug mod-kyi //
      Zin-po lord-gen where-loc hdug indeed-cvb
      khyed zer-ba bźin bya-ho»
      vou
            say-n like do-fin
            bkah
                   stsal-nas //
      źes
      quote word give-cvB
```

"The emperor said, "Even though my own sister is with Zĭħ-po rje, I shall do as you say." (PT 1287, ll. 158-159, Imaeda et al. 2007: 206, cf. Hongladarom 1994: 674)

Whether Stag-bu-sña-gzigs knows that his sister is with Zin-po rje because he saw her there is impossible to answer. However, the emphatic auxiliary *mod* suggests that Stag-bu sña gzĭgs uses *hdug* emphatically, evoking a connotation of *hdug* that lead Chang and Chang (1984) to see it as a marker of certainty in "Lhasa" Tibetan, what Aikhenvald (2004) would call an 'epistemic extension of an evidential'. Thus, there is no obstacle to understanding example (21) as a testimonial use of *hdug*, and it is unclear why Hongladarom sees it as evidence *against* the testimonial in Old Tibetan.

The *Old Tibetan Chronicle* offers two further occurrences of *ḥdug*. Neither exhibits a testimonial meaning of *ḥdug*.

```
(22)
     lho
            pyogs-kyĭ
                         smad-na
      south direction-gen lower-loc
                    « Mywa dkar-po » źes
     Hjan
                                            bya-ba-hi
     Hjan branch « Mywa white »
                                      quote do-N-GEN
                       my cun-ba źig ḥdug-pa//
      rgyal-po
              sde
     king
               section not small
                                      ḥdug-N
```

'In the lower part of the southern region is a not inconsiderable kingdom of a branch of the Hjan people called the White Mywa' (*Old Tibetan Chronicle*, PT 1287, ll. 343-344, Imaeda et al. 2007: 214)

```
(23)
      mtsho ched-po-hi
                         nan-na/
      lake big-gen
                         inside-Loc
      chu-srĭn-rgyal-baḥ hdug-na/
      water-demon
                         hdug-cvв
      gnam-las che
                       phab-ste//
       sky-abl meteor fall-cvb
       chu-srĭn-rgyal-ba chu-ḥĭ
                                  nan-du
                                             bsad-do//
      water-demon
                        water-gen inside-trm kill-fin
```

'When a water demon is within a great lake, a meteor falling from heaven kills the water demon within the waters.' (*Old Tibetan Chronicle*, PT 1287, ll. 517-518, Imaeda et al. 2007: 228)

In sum, the evidence of the *Old Tibetan Chronicle* is ambiguous. Three examples do not appear compatible with a testimonial interpretation of *ḥdug*, but one occurrence is consistent with such an interpretation.

Implicitly disagreeing with DeLancey and Hongladarom, Denwood (1999: 246) cites a sentence from the *Sba bźed* to demonstrate that *hdug* has a testimonial meaning in Old Tibetan. Although it is clear that some version of this text does date back to the Old Tibetan period (van Schaik and Iwao 2009), because most of the witnesses are post 14th century and the textual transmission is not understood, it is best to avoid the *Sba bźed* when looking for strong evidence of linguistic phenomena in Old Tibetan.

The earliest records in the Tibetan language are the imperial stone inscriptions. Among these inscriptions the verb *hdug* also occurs four times: three in the inscription at the tomb of Khri lde sron brtsan and one in the Sino-Tibetan treaty inscription of 821-822. In all four cases the verb is used as an existential copula, with no evidential overtones, to describe the physical location of one of Tibet's neighboring lands.

(24) sar phyogs // rgyal-po chen-por Rgya hdug-pa-dan // east direction king big-TRM China hdug-N-Ass

'As great king [in] the east is China.' (Inscription at the tomb of Khri lde sron brtsan, 815-817, a23-24, Iwao et al. 2009: 28)

(25) *lho phyogs-kyi rgyal-por Rgya-gar hdug-pa yan //* south direction-gen king-trm India hdug-n also

'As king of the south is India.' (Inscription at the tomb of Khri lde sron brtsan, 815-817, a29-30, Iwao et al. 2009: 28)

- (26) ... phyogs ... Dru-gu hdug direction Turk hdug
 - "... cardinal direction ... is Türk." (Inscription at the tomb of Khri lde sron brtsan, 815-817, a39, Iwao et al. 2009: 28)
- (27) *sar phyogs-na* Rgya **hdug-**pa// east direction-Loc China hdug-N

'In the east is China.' (Sino-Tibetan treaty inscription of 821-822, East side, l. 18, Iwao et al. 2009: 36)

Such examples from the inscriptions are similar to example (22) from the *Old Tibetan Chronicle*. This evidence from the inscriptions supports DeLancey and Hongladarom's view that the testimonial is missing from early written monuments. The evidence of Old Tibetan however does not confirm a lexical meaning of 'sit', but instead shows *hdug* as an existential copula.

6 Conclusion

The Old Tibetan inscriptions entirely lack a testimonial function for hdug. The Old Tibetan Chronicle presents one example out of four which is consistent with a testimonial reading. In Classical Tibetan (12th-16th centuries) the testimonial use of hdug is common. This distribution tentatively suggests that the meaning of hdug as a testimonial emerged during the Old Tibetan period. DeLancey's perspective that "hdug was a lexical verb 'sit' until well after the differentiation of Proto-Tibetan" (1992: 52) must be refined in two respects. First, although the earliest Tibetan documents and some Tibetan dialects do not exhibit a testimonial use of hdug, the emergence of the testimonial use of hdug took place significantly before when DeLancey posits. Second, the use of hdug to mean 'sit' is as far as the evidence presented here can determine an idiosyncrasy of the Mdzans blun.

ABBREVIATIONS

ABL	ablative	LOC	locative
AGN	agentive	N	the nominalizer <i>pa</i>
ALL	allative	PER	personal
ASS	associative	PLR	plural
CNV	converb	TES	testimonial
ELA	elative	TOP	the topic marker ni
FIN	clitic -o that marks finite verbs	TRM	terminative
GEN	genitive		

Any noun phrase not specified for case should be construed as absolutive.

REFERENCES

Aikhenvald, Alexandra. 2004. Evidentiality. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Chang, Kun; and Chang, Betty1984. "The certainty hierarchy among Spoken Tibetan verbs of being." *Bulletin of the Institute of History and Philology, Academia Sinica* 55.4: 603–635.

Chonjore, Tsetan. 2003. Colloquial Tibetan: a textbook of the Lhasa dialect with reference grammar and exercises. Dharamsala: Library of Tibetan Works and Archives.

DeLancey, Scott. 1986. "Evidentiality and volitionality in Tibetan." In Chafe, Wallace; and Nichols Johanna(eds.), *Evidentiality: The linguistic coding of epistemology*, 203-13. Norwood, N.J.: Ablex Pub. Corp

DeLancey, Scott. 1990. "Ergativity and the cognitive model of event structure in Lhasa Tibetan." *Cognitive Linguistics* 1: 289-321.

DeLancey, Scott. 1992. "The historical status of the conjunct/disjunct pattern in Tibeto-Burman." *Acta Linguistica Hafniensia* 25: 39-62.

DeLancey, Scott. 2001. "The mirative and evidentiality." *Journal of Pragmatics* 33: 369-382.

Dempsey, Jakob. 1993. "Ergativity in Milarepa's Rnam.thar': Another viewpoint." *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 16.2: 113-20.

Denwood, Philip. 1999. Tibetan. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Fortson, Benjamin W.2010. *Indo-european language and culture: an introduction*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.

Garrett, Edward. 2001. Evidentiality and Assertion in Tibetan. PhD thesis at University of California Los Angeles.

Gtsan Smyon He-ru-ka Rus-paḥi Rgyan-can. 2010. *The life of Milarepa* (*M-la-ras-paḥi Rnam-thar*; English translation by Andrew Quintman). New York: Penguin Books.

Haarh, Erik. 1969. The Yar-lun Dynasty. Copenhagen: Gad.

Haller, Felix. 2009. "Switch-reference in Tibetan." *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 32.2: 45-106.

Hill, Nathan W. 2007. "Personalpronomina in der Lebensbeschreibung des Mi la ras pa, Kapitel III." Zentralasiatische Studien 36: 277-287.

Hill, Nathan W. 2012. "Mirativity' does not exist: *hdug* in "Lhasa" Tibetan and other suspects." *Linguistic Typology* 16.3: 389-433.

Hongladarom, Krisadawan. 1994. "Historical Development of the Tibetan Evidential tuu". In:

- Hajime, Kitamura et al. (eds.), *Current Issues in Sino-Tibetan Linguistics*, 673-684. Osaka: The Organizing Committee, the 26th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics.
- Imaeda, Yoshiro et al. 2007. *Tibetan documents from Dunhuang*. Tokyo: Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa, Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.
- Iwao, Kazushi et al. 2009. *Old Tibetan Inscriptions*. Tokyo: Research Institute for Languages and Cultures of Asia and Africa, Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.
- Jäschke, Heinrich August. 1864. "Brief des missionärs H. A. Jäschke an den akademiker A. Schiefner." Mélanges asiatiques tirés du Bulletin de l'Académie impériale des sciences de St.-Pétersbourg 5: 86-97.
- de Jong, Jan Willem. 1959. Mi la ras pa'i rnam thar: texte tibétain de la vie de Milarépa. 'S-Gravenhage: Mouton.
- Kuznetsov, B. I., ed.1966. Rgyal rabs gsal ba'i me long: the clear mirror of royal genealogies. Leiden: Brill.
- Laufer, Berthold.1911. Der Roman einer tibetischen königin. Leipzig: O. Harrassowitz.
- Mair, Victor H. 1993. "The linguistic and textual antecedents of The Sūtra of the Wise and the Foolish." *Sino-Platonic Papers* 38.
- Miller, Roy Andrew. 1955. "The independent status of Lhasa dialect within Central Tibetan." *Orbis* 4.1: 49-55.
- Mkhas-pa-ldeḥu. 1987. Rgya Bod-kyi chos-ḥbyun rgyas-pa. Lhasa: Bod ljons mi dmans dpe skrun khan.
- Nan ral Ni maḥi Ḥo zer. 1988. Chos-ḥbyun me-tog sñin-po sbran rtsiḥi bcud. Lhasa: Bod-ljons bod yig dpe rñin dpe skrun khan.
- Roesler, Ulrike. 2007. "Materialien zur Redaktionsgeschichte des mDzangs blun: Die Selbstaufopferung des Prinzen Sujāta." *Indica et Tibetica. Michael Hahn felicitation volume.* Konrad Klaus and Jens-Uwe Hartmann, eds. Vienna: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien. 405-422.
- Róna-Tas, András. 1985. Wiener Vorlesungen zur Sprach- und Kulturgeschichte Tibets. Vienna: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien, Universität Wien.
- Saxena, Anju.1989. "Ergative in Mi=la=ras=pa' i rnam thar." *Linguistics of the Tibeto-Burman Area* 12.2: 35-39.
- van Schaik, Sam and Kazushi Iwao. 2009. "Fragments of the Testament of Ba from Dunhuang". Journal of the American Oriental Society 128.33: 477–487.
- Schiefner, Anton. 1852. Ergänzungen und Berichtigungen zu Schmidt's Ausgabe des Dsanglun. St. Petersburg: Buchdruckerei der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- Schmidt, Isaak Jakob. 1843. Dsanglun oder, Weise und der Thor. St. Petersburg: Gräff.
- Schwieger, Peter. 2006. Handbuch zur Grammatik der klassischen tibetischen Schriftsprache. Halle (Saale): International Institute for Tibetan and Buddhist Studies.
- Takakusu, Junjirō. 1901. "Tales of the Wise Man and the Fool, in Tibetan and Chinese." *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland* (New Series) 33.3: 447-460.
- Terjék, József. 1969. "Fragments of the Tibetan sutra of *The Wise and the Fool* from Tun-huang." *Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 22.3: 289-334.
- Tournadre, Nicolas. 1996. L'ergativité en tibétain: approche morphosyntaxique de la langue parlée. Paris: Peeters.
- Tournadre, Nicolas. 2008. "Arguments against the concept of 'conjunct'/'disjunct' in Tibetan." In:

- Huber, Brigitte et al. (eds.), Chomolangma, Demawend und Kasbek: Festschrift für Roland Bielmeier zu seinem 65. Geburtstag, 281-308. Halle: International Institut for Tibetan and Buddhist Studies.
- Tournadre, Nicolas and Sangda Dorje. 2009. Manuel de tibétain standard. 3rd edition. Paris: L'asiathèque.
- Ueyama Daishun 上山大峻. 1990. 敦煌佛教の研究 Tonkō bukkyō no kenkyū (Studies on Buddhism in Dunhuang). Kyoto: 法蔵館 Hōzōkan.
- Yukawa Yasutosi 湯川泰敏. 1966. "チベット語の duu の意味 Chibettogo no duu no imi (*The meaning of Tibetan "duu"*)." 言語研究 Gengo Kenkyū 49: 77-84.
- Zadoks, Abel. 2004. "Evidentials in Middle Tibetan texts". Paper presented at the 37th International Conference on Sino-Tibetan Languages and Linguistics. Lunds Universitet, Lund, Sweden

Nathan W. Hill nh36@soas.ac.uk

Appendix: syntactic classification of examples

This is not the place for a thorough syntactic analysis of the Classical Tibetan verbal system. Nonetheless, because the divide among main verb, equational copula, and auxiliary verbs is easy to draw, the examples given throughout are here presented according to this division. The absence of hdug in auxiliary constructions in the inscriptions, the Old Tibetan Chronicle, and the Mdzańs blun, together with the presence of hdug in auxiliaries in Classical Tibetan, suggests that the grammaticalization of hdug began after the Old Tibetan period. However, this collection of examples is not representative and is complete only for the inscriptions and the Old Tibetan Chronicle; it is of anecdotal value only.

Classical Tibetan

Equational copula: 4, 5, 8, 13 Auxiliary verb: 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13

Mdzańs blun

Main verb: 14, 15, 17, 18, 19

Old Tibetan Chronicle

Main verb: 20, 21, 22, 23

Old Tibetan Inscriptions

Main verb: 24, 25, 26, 27