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ABSTRACT Despite an increasing number of studies over the past decade examining the interactions between plants and engineered nanomaterials (ENMs), very few have investigated the influence of environmental conditions on ENM uptake and toxicity, particularly throughout the entire plant life cycle. In this study, soil-grown herbaceous annual plants (Clarkia unguiculata) were exposed to TiO₂, CeO₂, or Cu(OH)₂ ENMs at different concentrations under distinct light and nutrient levels for 8 weeks. Biweekly fluorescence and gas exchange measurements were recorded, and tissue samples from mature plants were analyzed for metal content. During peak growth, exposure to TiO₂ and CeO₂ decreased photosynthetic rate and CO₂ assimilation efficiency of plants grown under high light and nutrient conditions, possibly by disrupting energy transfer from photosystem II (PSII) to the Calvin cycle. Exposure Cu(OH)₂ particles also disrupted photosynthesis but only in plants grown under the most stressful conditions (high light, limited nutrient) likely by preventing the oxidation of a primary PSII reaction center. TiO₂ and CeO₂ followed similar uptake and distribution patterns with concentrations being highest in roots followed by leaves then stems, while Cu(OH)₂ was present at highest concentrations in leaves, likely as ionic Cu. ENM accumulation was highly dependent on both light and nutrient levels and a predictive regression model was developed from these data. These results show that abiotic conditions play an important role in mediating the uptake and physiological impacts of ENMs in terrestrial plants.
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As the production and use of engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) grows each year, it becomes increasingly important to understand how these emerging pollutants are transported in the environment and to characterize their interactions with other organisms. Plants are the basis for many terrestrial food webs and are at risk of ENM exposure due to buildup in soils through biosolid fertilizer application, nanopesticide application, runoff, or atmospheric deposition. Understanding how ENMs may affect plant performance, fruit and seed quality, and/or generate cascading effects on plant pollinators or herbivores is therefore essential to create informed policies regarding proper management of ENM use and disposal, in addition to the design of more environmentally benign ENMs.

A growing number of studies investigating the uptake and toxicity of ENMs in plants have been conducted in the past decade, and have generally found that both depend strongly on plant species and on the characteristics of the focal nanomaterials. For example, TiO₂ nanoparticles have been shown to have a toxic effect in vetch, no effect in wheat seedlings, and a positive effect on both photosynthetic rates and chloroplast viability in spinach, although it is unclear how much of this variability is due to the model species tested, the properties of the specific TiO₂ nanomaterials used, and the method of exposure (root vs foliar). Additionally, particle size has been shown...
to have large impacts on ENM uptake and distribution patterns in plants, with smaller particles typically being taken up in higher amounts and distributed throughout the plant.\textsuperscript{4,7} Smaller aggregate sizes achieved through surface coatings may be expected to show similar trends, but often the changes in surface charge and functionalization caused by these coatings are more important predictors of behavior than size alone.\textsuperscript{10,11}

One aspect of plant/ENM interactions that has received little attention is the influence of abiotic environmental conditions on uptake and toxicity. These include factors such as water and nutrient availability, temperature, soil salinity and pH, and light intensity. Plant performance depends heavily on environmental conditions and may be more or less vulnerable to potential toxic effects under different growth scenarios. This has been shown for several non-nano pollutants. For example, high light intensities resulted in higher concentrations of As\textsuperscript{12} and Cd\textsuperscript{13} in sunflower and duckweed due to increased transpiration, and a range of unfavorable growing conditions have been found to increase damage from Fe-catalyzed reactive oxygen species (ROS) in many plant species.\textsuperscript{14} Additionally, it was found in pea seedlings that nutrient stress (Fe depletion) increased the expression of transporter proteins that, in turn, increase cellular uptake of metals such as Cd.\textsuperscript{15} In one of the few previous studies specifically investigating the interactions between abiotic growth conditions and ENM phytotoxicity, Josko and Oleszczuk\textsuperscript{16} found that the toxicity of metal oxide ENMs to cress (\textit{Lepidium sativum}) was enhanced under high light conditions and ameliorated at higher temperature. Building further understanding of how these factors affect the uptake and toxicity of ENMs in plants is key to accurate predictions of the overall impact of ENMs outside of the growth chamber or greenhouse and across both crop and wild species.

In this experiment, we investigated the uptake, translocation, and effects on growth and physiology of three metal oxide ENMs, CeO\textsubscript{2}, TiO\textsubscript{2}, and Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2}, in soil-grown \textit{Clarkia unguiculata} (Onagraceae) with different illumination and nutrient levels. \textit{C. unguiculata} is an annual wildflower often used in ecological and genetic studies, and was selected here for its ease of growth, distinct tissues, and moderate lifespan (10–12 weeks) that would allow for subchronic effects to be detected. Additionally, we used individuals from wild populations with greater genetic variability\textsuperscript{17} than crop plants typically used in nanotoxicological studies.\textsuperscript{4,18,19}
which makes this experiment conservative with respect to detecting the effects of ENM exposure on plant uptake and performance.

The ENMs we chose are widely used in nanoparticle form in a number of commercial and industrial products and have release patterns that make them relevant for studies of terrestrial ecosystems.\textsuperscript{20,21} Plant exposure levels were chosen to cover a range of ENM concentrations that we predicted to be environmentally relevant based on previous reports of exposure modeling and detection for CeO\textsubscript{2} and TiO\textsubscript{2}. CeO\textsubscript{2} has been predicted to be present at levels up to about 1 mg/kg in roadside soils due to atmospheric deposition,\textsuperscript{21} and while there are no direct measurements of soil TiO\textsubscript{2} concentrations of which we are aware, Kiser \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{22} found TiO\textsubscript{2} in wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) solids at concentrations ranging from 1 to 6 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, which are spread on agricultural fields for fertilizer. The Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} ENM used here is an agricultural biocide (Kocide 3000) and is recommended by the manufacturer for use at application rates of up to 18 g m\textsuperscript{-2} per season.\textsuperscript{23} Overspray of this pesticide may have an impact on the surrounding vegetation.

We formulated several hypotheses to guide our investigation. First, we hypothesized that ENMs would be found in highest concentrations in the roots as the point of uptake, followed by leaves as the end point of transpiration, then stems as an intermediary between the two. Second, we also predicted that plants grown in high light would uptake and accumulate higher concentrations of ENMs in leaves due to higher rates of transpiration.\textsuperscript{12} Third, we hypothesized that P would be positively correlated with ENM concentration in tissues due to sorption of phosphate from the soil. Natural metal oxides such as clays are known to strongly and preferentially sorb phosphate over other organic and inorganic ligands,\textsuperscript{24} and research has shown that metal oxide ENMs can also sorb phosphorus and thereby potentially affect its bioavailability in soils and other environmental media.\textsuperscript{25} Fourth, we hypothesized that higher light and lower nutrient conditions would be more physiologically stressful for
Clarkia plants, and that highly stressed plants would be most vulnerable to ENM toxicity. Additionally, since TiO₂ and CeO₂ are photoactive and produce ROS when exposed to light, we predicted that they would have the greatest effect in plants grown under high illumination by interfering with photosynthesis in leaves.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ENM Uptake and Distribution. Metals from ENMs were taken up into all tissues in all treatments, although the amounts depended on ENM type, soil ENM concentrations, growth condition (high light excess nutrient (HE), high light limited nutrient (HL), low light excess nutrient (LE), and low light limited nutrient (LL)), and tissue type. Mean tissue metal concentrations can be seen in Figure 1, and results from multiple regressions can be seen in Figures 2–4 and Table S1. In general, Ce and Ti were found in highest concentration in roots (Figure 1C,F), while Cu was primarily found in leaves (Figure 1G), although relatively high concentrations of Ti were also seen in stems (Figure 1E). Background concentrations of Ti and Cu were found in all three tissues, while background Ce was only found in roots. Among plants in the Control group (those exposed to no supplemental nanoparticles), it is likely that Ce was not found in stems or leaves because it was not present in the soil at concentrations as high as Ti (Table 2), nor is it an essential micronutrient as is Cu.

Of the three ENMs to which plants were exposed, those exposed to CeO₂ and TiO₂ followed the pattern of distribution described in our first hypothesis, with concentrations being consistently highest in the roots followed by leaves then stems (Figure 1A–F). In Cu(OH)₂-exposed plants, however, Cu concentrations were roughly an order of magnitude higher in leaves than in roots (Figure 1G–I). Plants from all groups showed statistically significant positive correlations between exposure concentration and metal concentration in roots (multiple regressions in Table S1, p < 0.05).
and, with a few exceptions, tended to have the highest metal concentrations at the highest exposure level in all tissues. The most notable exceptions to this trend are the variable Ce and Ti content of leaves from plants grown under high light, excess nutrient (HE) and high light, limited nutrient conditions (HL) (Figure 1A,D). This reflects the high inter-leaf metal content variability for Ce and Ti and may be due to a randomized or patchy accumulation of these nanoparticles between leaves. There were no significant associations between leaf metal content and leaf node number, which is analogous to order of production (linear regressions, p > 0.05; data not shown). Since C. unguiculata leaves are produced in a temporal sequence along the height of the plant and are also larger lower on the plant, this indicates that ENM uptake into leaves was independent of both stage of growth and leaf size.

Growth conditions also played a role in ENM uptake, with plants grown under high light accumulating more Ce and Ti in their leaves than those grown in low light (Figures 2A and 3B, and Table S1, multiple regressions, p < 0.01) and HL leaves accumulating more Ti than HE (Figure 3A, Table S1, multiple regressions, p < 0.01). Along with the increased transpiration rates seen in plants grown under high light (discussed below), these findings validate our second hypothesis that high light plants would exhibit elevated uptake of ENMs to leaves due to increased transpiration. However, increased uptake of Cu into leaves and roots was found under low light conditions (Figure 4). These differences among ENM types in uptake and distribution are also likely to be due to differences in particle characteristics, particularly morphology and surface charge. The CeO2 ENMs we used had a moderately high aspect ratio (Table 1) and thus had a smaller minimum dimension, which may allow them to pass through narrow vascular tissues in the stem more easily than the spherical TiO2. Due to this physical size limitation, TiO2 may also aggregate in the conductive tissues of the stems at higher concentrations, causing the buildup seen in Figure 1.
Particle charge likely plays a large role in determining distribution as well. Table S2 shows that all three ENMs used here had a weak negative charge in potting soil pore solution, although this was likely due to the high ionic strength and organic content of this soil shielding the particle surfaces and not a result of a direct alteration of the ENM crystal surface. Wang et al. and Zhu et al. found that under hydroponic conditions, well-dispersed particles coated with positively charged polymers (ζ-potential ≈ 20 mV) are more readily taken up into plant roots compared to those coated with negatively charged polymers (ζ-potential ≈ −20 mV), which had higher accumulation in leaves. The results seen here provide confirmation of the importance of surface charge in ENM uptake and distribution in plants under more environmentally relevant conditions, i.e., in soil and with polydisperse ENMs.

In addition to its surface charge, the tendency of Cu(OH)₂ to dissolve at low pH, such as is found in the soil used in this study (Table 2), likely also contributes to its uptake behavior. Rhizosphere pH tends to be more acidic than the surrounding soil due to the release of protons by roots to stimulate and counterbalance the uptake of ions from the soil, one effect of this acidity may be to dissolve a portion of the Cu(OH)₂. Dissolved Cu would, in turn, encounter less size exclusion than ENMs and be retained less in the roots and stems in addition to being actively transported to the leaves, consequently making Cu uptake and translocation less dependent on plant transpiration than CeO₂ or TiO₂. Although Cu is an essential component of several enzymes and other compounds in chloroplasts and mitochondria, it can be toxic at higher concentrations.

Last, although we predicted that P would be correlated with metal content in tissues due to physiochemical sorption of phosphate to the ENMs, it was only in root tissue of HL plants exposed to CeO₂ ENMs that we found a relationship. At root Ce concentrations below 100 μg g⁻¹, P was positively associated with Ce (linear regression, \( R^2 = 0.870 \), \( p < 0.005 \)), but this trend plateaued at higher concentrations. One possible explanation for this is that CeO₂ ENMs adsorbed P from the soil and were then sorbed into/onto the plant roots, but at higher exposure concentrations, the soil was depleted of readily available P for the ENMs to adsorb. Previous studies using hydroponic systems have shown increased P uptake in maize exposed to ZnO ENMs and in spinach exposed to nZVI, although these results were due to the uptake of dissolved metal/phosphate complexes rather than ENM-sorbed P. Rui et al. observed the partial transformation of CeO₂ ENMs into particulate CePO₄ that were then taken up into hydroponically grown cucumber seedlings, although the general lack of correlation between tissue Ce and P concentrations suggests this process was not occurring to a significant extent in this study. Overall, our results did not indicate a significant relationship for C. unguiculata between ENM exposure and P bioavailability.

**Physiological and Growth Impacts.** We found that the physiological effects of ENM exposure on our test plants were strongly dependent on the environmental conditions under which plants were grown, namely, high light excess nutrient (HE), high light limited nutrient (HL), low light excess nutrient (LE), and low light limited nutrient (LL). By comparing photosynthetic
rates ($A$) and other physiological parameters of the zero concentration groups across growth conditions, we can establish baseline levels of stress for each condition, which can be used to explain the trends seen in ENM-exposed plants. On the basis of the responses to growing conditions of transpiration rate ($E$), intracellular CO$_2$ content ($C_i$), and quantum yield of CO$_2$ assimilation ($\Phi_{CO_2}$) in zero concentration groups (Figure 5), the relative rankings from most to least stressful growth condition appear to be HL > HE > LL $\approx$ LE. This ranking aligns with our hypothesis that higher light and lower nutrient conditions are the most stressful conditions imposed in this experiment.

For plants exposed to these ENMs, few significant correlations between the physiological parameters measured and ENM exposure concentration were seen at the second or sixth week of exposure, and by the eighth week, all high light plants had reached the end of their life cycle and ceased photosynthesizing. However, at the fourth week of exposure we found that in HE plants exposed to CeO$_2$ and TiO$_2$, $A$ and $\Phi_{CO_2}$ decreased significantly and $C_i$ increased significantly with increasing exposure concentration (linear regressions, $p < 0.05$, Figure 6, Table 3). This supports our final hypothesis and indicates that these two photoactive ENMs reduce photosynthetic rate by interfering with the assimilation of CO$_2$ required for photosynthesis, which results in a buildup of CO$_2$ within leaf cells. Additionally, there were no changes in $\Phi_{PSII}$ in these plants, and this lack of correlation between $\Phi_{PSII}$ and $\Phi_{CO_2}$ could indicate that energy transfer from photosystem II (PSII) to the Calvin cycle is being disrupted by the ENMs.

This effect appears to be light-driven since no impacts of exposure concentration on any physiological parameters were seen in low light plants. High light conditions had the 2-fold impact of increasing particle uptake to leaves (Figure 1A,D) by increasing transpiration rates (Figure 5D) and possibly stimulating greater photoactivity of TiO$_2$ and CeO$_2$. The disruption of energy transfer observed may be due to the absorption of electrons from photosystem II (PSII) by the ENM upon the creation of an e$^-$/h$^+$ pair after excitation by a photon, or alternately through reactions with ROS produced by the ENM. Exposure to CeO$_2$ had slightly weaker effects on physiological parameters than TiO$_2$, and if the latter scenario is correct, this could be due to the lower relative ROS production rate of CeO$_2$ compared to TiO$_2$. Barhoumi et al. saw an inhibition of PSII and a corresponding increase in ROS in...
Lemna gibba exposed to iron oxide ENMs, so similar phenomena may be occurring here. ROS production by TiO2 and CeO2 ENMs may also explain why no physiological effects were seen in HL plants, since plants upregulate antioxidant production at higher stress levels\(^40\) that may counteract ROS produced by these ENMs.

Additionally, interference with photosynthetic mechanisms implies that CeO2 and TiO2 ENMs are able to penetrate or be actively transported not only into the leaf cells but also into the chloroplasts as well, and are able to intercalate themselves between thylakoid stacks to intercept electrons from PSII. Given that interthylakoid gaps can be on the order of 50–250 nm,\(^33\)

---

**TABLE 3.** Slopes (±SE), \(R^2\) Values, And Significance Levels from Linear Regressions among Individual Plants of Physiological Parameters (\(A\), \(C_i\), \(\Phi CO_2\), \(\Phi PSII\), and \(q_L\)) on Soil ENM Concentrations during the Fourth Week of Exposure\(^*\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HE</th>
<th>HL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CeO2</td>
<td>TiO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>(-1.7 \pm 0.8^*)</td>
<td>(-1.6 \pm 0.6^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho^2)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_i)</td>
<td>62 (± 17^**)</td>
<td>55 (± 22^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho^2)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Phi CO_2 (\times 10^{-3}))</td>
<td>(-1.4 \pm 0.7^*)</td>
<td>(-1.8 \pm 0.7^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho^2)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Phi PSII (\times 10^{-3}))</td>
<td>(-2.8 \pm 7.9)</td>
<td>(-4.0 \pm 7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho^2)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(q_L (\times 10^{-3}))</td>
<td>1.5 (± 2.5)</td>
<td>3.3 (± 2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho^2)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*N = 17\) for each treatment. Abbreviations are defined in text. \(^*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01\). Bivariate relationships in low light conditions are not shown because none were statistically significant.
individual particles or small aggregates would not necessarily be excluded based on size alone. Whiteside et al.\textsuperscript{41} found uptake of NH\textsubscript{3}-coated quantum dots <15 nm in diameter into bluegrass chloroplasts, so it is plausible that at least primary particles of TiO\textsubscript{2} and CeO\textsubscript{2} were able to enter the chloroplasts of our model plant. Both of these ENMs have limited dissolution and have been shown to be taken up into plant tissues as nanoparticles,\textsuperscript{8,19,42} making it unlikely that any effects on photosynthesis are due to ionic Ti or Ce.

A similar decrease in \(A\) was seen in HL plants after 4 weeks of exposure to Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} but without a corresponding change in \(\Phi_{\text{CO}_2}\) or \(C_i\) (Figure 6). By further decreasing the already low photosynthetic rate of HL plants, Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} had a larger relative impact than in HE, LE, or LL plants. This suggests that Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} may affect photosynthesis through a different mechanism than TiO\textsubscript{2} and CeO\textsubscript{2}. Additionally, we found that the fraction of oxidized PSII reaction centers (\(q_L\)) increased significantly with increasing exposure concentration (linear regression, \(p < 0.05\), Table 3). In healthy plants, \(q_L\) is typically positively associated with photosynthetic production,\textsuperscript{38} but since we found a negative correlation between Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} exposure and photosynthesis (Table 3), the increases in \(q_L\) we observed were likely due to interference with the oxidation of the primary PSII quinine acceptor (QA\textsuperscript{2}Q\textsubscript{A}) by light rather than increased photosynthetic efficiency.

Others have found similar oxidation of PSII reaction centers in plants exposed to ionic copper due to interference with the photon antennae of PSII,\textsuperscript{43–45} which may indicate Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} toxicity seen in this study is due to Cu ions released from the Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} ENMs. In our system, Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} could be dissolved either in the rhizosphere and taken up as ionic Cu or be taken up into the plant in particle form and dissolve within the plant tissues. However, since these Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} particles have been shown to have increased dissolution at acidic pH and lower dissolution at basic pH,\textsuperscript{4,41} the majority of dissolution probably occurs in the soil (pH 5.7) rather than in the neutral or slightly basic conditions of cell or chloroplast interiors.\textsuperscript{46,47}

Linear growth rates (cm wk\textsuperscript{−1}), maximum height, leaf production rate, leaf loss rate (as leaves desiccate and senesce), maximum number of leaves, and week of maximum leaf production were calculated from physical measurements and are shown in Figures S1—S6. Few effects due to ENM exposure were seen under any growth condition, although LE plants exposed to Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} had reduced growth rates, leaf production rates, and maximum number of leaves with increasing exposure concentrations (linear regressions, \(p < 0.05\)). Cu is an essential plant micronutrient but at high concentrations such as those observed in this experiment, Cu can decrease the uptake of other nutrients from the soil\textsuperscript{48–50} and disrupt nitrogen metabolism.\textsuperscript{43} Nutrient limitation caused by the presence of Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2} may have been responsible for limiting the growth of LE plants (Figures S1—S6). The lack of a growth response in HE plants exposed to CeO\textsubscript{2} and TiO\textsubscript{2} may be because, under high light conditions, reductions in \(\text{CO}_2\) assimilation have been shown to have minimal impacts on C gain.\textsuperscript{44}

CONCLUSIONS

The results presented here show the importance of two factors that have not received much attention when predicting the characteristics of plant/ENM interactions in environmentally relevant scenarios: the level of illumination and nutrient availability. On the basis of the effects of these factors on metal accumulation and gas exchange rates observed here, we can hypothesize plant populations that may be vulnerable to certain ENMs. Due to the high light and nutrient conditions of agricultural fields, we hypothesize that crop plants may be vulnerable to decreases in photosynthesis (and potentially yield) by photoactive ENMs such as TiO\textsubscript{2} and CeO\textsubscript{2}, while plants growing in nutrient limited soils may be more vulnerable to nanoparticles with high dissolution rates such as Cu(OH)\textsubscript{2}. However, since \(C.\,\text{unguiculata}\) uses the C\textsubscript{3} photosynthetic pathway (as do 85% of plant species),\textsuperscript{51} the current study does not allow any predictions regarding how C\textsubscript{4} plants such as maize, sugarcane, or sorghum may be affected by ENM exposure. Since the C\textsubscript{4} pathway is more efficient in \(\text{CO}_2\) assimilation and nutrient usage, C\textsubscript{4} plants may be able to compensate for reductions in photosynthesis caused by ENMs. Additionally, few to no effects of ENM exposure on plant performance were seen in the early or late stages of growth, when vertical growth and total carbon fixation (based on the number of leaves) were low. This suggests that these plants are most vulnerable to photosynthesis disruption by ENMs during the period of highest metabolic activity (i.e., at later growth stages).

Although uptake of ENMs by soil grown plants has been reported before,\textsuperscript{16,19,52–54} we demonstrate that stress factors correlate with metal accumulation. Since plants are typically at the base of food webs, this trend has important implications for the possibility of cascading effects through trophic transfer. A limited number of studies have measured trophic transfer in terrestrial systems, but Hawthorne, Roche, Xing, Newman, Ma, Majumdar, Gardea-Torresdey and White\textsuperscript{7} recently observed transfer of CeO\textsubscript{2} ENMs in a terrestrial food chain from primary producer (zucchini) to primary consumer (cricket) to secondary consumer (spider), finding that ENMs were accumulated and transferred at higher concentrations than either bulk CeO\textsubscript{2} or ionic Ce. Judy et al.\textsuperscript{55} also found significant biomagnification of Au ENMs in hornworms that were fed tobacco leaves. However, we found that TiO\textsubscript{2} and CeO\textsubscript{2} were highly concentrated in root tissue, which may result in high dietary exposure concentrations for root herbivores. This accumulation of ENMs in root tissue may also mean that decomposing plant roots could act as a
hotspot for ENM release into the soil, impacting local fungal, microbial and animal communities. On the other hand, this provides insight into possible future phytoremediation of sites contaminated with specific types of ENMs.

On the basis of the observations reported here, we recommend that future studies continue to investigate the influence of environmental conditions on plant/ENM interactions throughout the life cycle of individual plants. Additionally, these results highlight the applicability of detailed fluorescence and gas exchange measurements in determining a mechanistic understanding of the impacts of ENM exposure on plant physiology.

**METHODS**

**Nanoparticle Characterization and Preparation.** Three nanomaterials were used in these experiments: TiO₂, CeO₂, and Cu(OH)₂. TiO₂ and CeO₂ nanomaterials used in this experiment are fully characterized in Keller et al. and Cu(OH)₂ is characterized in Adelaye, Conway, Perez, Rutten and Keller. A summary of relevant properties can be found in Table 1. TiO₂ and CeO₂ ENMs were provided by Evonik Degussa Corp. (U.S.) and Meliorum Technologies (U.S.), respectively. Cu(OH)₂ particles were purchased from DuPont as the commercially available agricultural biocide Kocide 3000. TiO₂ particles were semispherical with a primary particle size of 27 ± 4 nm with a crystalline structure of 82% anatase and 18% rutile. Particle size after sonication for 30 min was 231 ± 5 nm. Kocide 3000 is composed of spherical composites on the order of 50 μm made up of irregular nano- to microscale Cu(OH)₂ embedded in a carbon-based matrix that rapidly dissolves in water to release polydisperse Cu(OH)₂ particles approximately 1500 ± 600 nm in diameter. Once the carbon matrix dissolves, it is likely the Cu(OH)₂ particles have a minimal barrier for aggregation, resulting in the strong aggregation seen in Table 1. ENM stock suspensions were prepared for each application as 1 g L⁻¹ and bath sonicated for 30 min. Dilutions were not resonicated.

**Plant Exposure and Growth Conditions.** *C. unguiculata* is an annual hermaphroditic flowering shrub native to oak/pine woodlands and disturbed slopes in central California. Additional details can be found in Dudley et al. and Vasek. Seeds were collected from a field site in Kern County, CA (35° 41.453′ N, 118° 43.911′ W, elev. 2830 ft) in July 2008 and stored with desiccant in darkness at 4 °C until use. Seeds were randomly sampled from 10 maternal families, plated on agar in covered Petri dishes (Sunshine Mix #4, Sun Gro Horticulture) in darkness at 4 °C for 3 days, and then germinated under ambient light at room temperature for an additional 5 days.

Seedlings were then transplanted into 2.5 cm diameter × 16.34 cm long cylindrical plastic growing tubes (Ray Leach Cone-tainers; Stuewe and Sons, Tangent, OR) (one seedling per tube) containing 17 ± 0.1 g of a 1:20 mixture of worm castings to a peat moss/perlite/dolomite limestone potting soil (Sunshine Mix #4, Sun Gro Horticulture). Soil properties other than C, Ti, and Cu content were measured at the UC Davis Analytical Lab (http://anlab.ucdavis.edu/) and are shown in Table 2. After transplantation, seedlings were kept moist and allowed to grow for 2.5 weeks before ENM exposure to allow them to become established, after which they were grown for an additional 8 weeks until they had completed their life cycle. Plants were grown in growth chambers under a 14:10 h light/dark cycle and exposed to variable light levels (detailed below).

Plants were grown under a total of four different environmental conditions: high light + excess nutrients (HE), high light + limited nutrients (HL), low light + excess nutrients (LE), and low light + limited nutrients (LL). Plants were grown under photosynthetic photon flux densities (PPFD) of 500 (high) or 50 (low) μmolm⁻²s⁻¹. These light conditions are roughly analogous to those on a partly cloudy day or a shaded understory. Excess nutrient conditions were achieved through the addition of 140 ± 3 mg fertilizer pellets (19-6-12 Osmocote Smart Release Indoor & Outdoor Plant Food) prior to seedling transplantation, corresponding to 70.7 ± 1.5 μg NH₄ per cm² soil, 63.6 ± 1.5 μg cm⁻² NO₃, 42.4 ± 1.0 μg cm⁻³ P₂O₅, and 84.8 ± 2.0 μg cm⁻³ K₂O released over the course of the experiment. Plants grown with limited nutrients were not stunted in size. Four replicates were grown per ENM, concentration, light condition, and nutrient level, and five control replicates were grown per light condition and nutrient level that were not exposed to ENMs for a total of 164 individuals.

Starting in the second week of growth, 50 mL of 0, 1, 10, or 100 mg L⁻¹ TiO₂, CeO₂, or Cu(OH)₂ suspensions were slowly poured onto the soil surface of each individual container to allow for absorption into the soil. This was repeated weekly for a total of 8 weeks to result in a soil contamination rate of 0, 0.25, 2.5, or 25 μg ENM cm⁻² soil per week, or 0, 2.9, 29, or 290 mg kg⁻¹ week⁻¹. Plant heights and total leaf counts were recorded each week starting at the second week after seedling transplantation and physiological measurements were made every other week from the second week following the initiation of ENM exposure.

**Physiological Measurements.** Physiological measurements follow methods outlined in Dudley et al. Photosynthetic assimilation rate (\(F_c\)), transpiration rate (\(E\)), stomatal conductance (\(g_s\)), photosystem II quantum yield efficiency (\(\Phi_F\)), quantum yield of CO₂ assimilation (\(\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{mol}^{-1}\text{ photon}^{-1}\)), photochemical quenching (\(q_i\)), electron transport rate (\(\mu\text{molH}_2\text{Omol}^{-1}\text{ leaf area s}^{-1}\)), ETR, intercellular CO₂ concentration (\(\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{mol}^{-1}\text{ leaf area s}^{-1}\)), and various fluorescence parameters (\(F_o\) and \(F_s\)) were measured from light-adapted leaves using a portable IR gas exchange analyzer (IRGA, LiCor 6400; Licor, Lincoln, NE) with a LiCor 6400-40 fluorometer light source. The fraction of oxidized PSI reaction centers (\(q_l\)) were calculated from eq 1:

\[
q_l = \frac{q_o - F_s}{F_s}
\]

Leaves were measured on plants sampled in random order between 0800 and 1200 h using the following settings: \(P_{AR} = 1500 ± 2\), stomatal ratio = 0.5, flow = 500 μmol mol⁻¹, and reference CO₂ chamber concentration = 400 μmol CO₂ mol⁻¹. Parameters were measured when photosynthetic, conductance, and fluorescence rates were stable (photo: slope < 1 for 10 s; conductance: slope < 0.05 for 10 s; fluorescence: dn/dt slope < 50 for 10 s). Leaf node position on the stem relative to the cotyledons was recorded for each measurement. If sampled leaves were not large enough to fill the 2 cm² IRGA chamber, the surface of the gasket that seals the chamber (when closed) was covered with ink, thereby stamping an image of the chamber’s boundary on the leaf surface. A photograph of the leaf was taken and analyzed using ImageJ (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD; available at http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij) to determine the leaf area that was exposed within the chamber, which was then used to recompute physiological parameters.

**Elemental Detection.** Plants were sacrificed and leaf, stem, and root samples were collected after 8 weeks of ENM exposure. Several leaves were collected at different heights and 5–6 cm segments of stem were taken from the middle of each plant and analyzed separately. Roots were thoroughly cleaned of any visible soil particles and were serially rinsed in clean baths of deionized water and 2% HNO₃ before analysis to facilitate removal of adsorbed ENMs on the root surfaces. Plant and soil metal characterization samples were vacuum-dried at 60 °C for 3 days, weighed, and digested in aqua regia (1:3 HNO₃/HCl) in a
microwave digestion system (Multiverse Eco, Anton Paar) at 200 °C for 1.5 h. Samples were then analyzed for Ti, Ce, Cu, and P via inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, ICP 6300 Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). Detection limits for all elements tested were approximately 5 μg L⁻¹. Standard solutions and blanks were measured every 15–20 samples for quality assurance.

Tissue metal concentrations for all three ENMs are reported as ionic, although neither CeO₂ nor TiO₂ was expected to dissolve to a significant degree under the conditions used in this experiment. TiO₂ is known to be highly insoluble in water and CeO₂ is similarly insoluble at pHs similar to those found in the potting soil used here (5.7). Additionally, both ENMs have been found to be taken up into a variety of plant species in nanoparticulate form. However, the Cu(OH)₂ ENM used here is known to undergo partial dissolution under acidic conditions and will likely be at least partially present either as ionic Cu⁺⁺/Cu²⁺ or as part of a complex with ions from the surrounding media.

Statistical Analysis. For each ENM, multiple regressions were used to model the effects of Soil ENM Concentration, Light Level, Nutrient Level, Tissue Type, and the interactions between these variables on Tissue Metal Concentrations. One-way linear regressions were used to determine the effects of Soil ENM Concentration or ENM Addition Rate on physiological (A, Φ), quantum yield of CO₂ assimilation (Φ), and physical growth parameters (linear growth rate, Cmax, height, leaf production rate, leaf loss rate, and maximum number of leaves, and week of maximum leaf production). Separate regressions were performed for each growth condition, and Soil ENM Concentrations or ENM Addition Rates were log(1+x) transformed to improve correlations with physiological and physical growth parameters. To determine the dependence of plant physiological rates on environmental conditions in the absence of ENM exposure, one-way ANOVA with posthoc Tukey’s HSD tests or Kruskal–Wallis tests with multiple comparisons were used to detect the effects of growing conditions on photosynthetic rate (A), intracellular CO₂ (C), quantum yield of CO₂ assimilation (Φ), and transpiration rate (E) among plants that were not exposed to ENMs. Levene’s tests were used to ensure homogeneity of variance and if data were not homogeneously distributed nonparametric tests were used. Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel 2007 and the statistical software R (v. 2.11.1).
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