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Abstract Little is known about the manipulation of IL-17 producing CD4+ T cells (TH17) on a per-cell basis in humans in vivo. Previous studies on the effects of IL-2 on IL-17 secretion in non-HIV models have shown divergent results. We hypothesized that IL-2 would mediate changes in IL-17 levels among recently HIV-1-infected adults receiving anti-retroviral therapy. We measured cytokine T cell responses to CD3/CD28, HIV-1 Gag, and CMV pp65 stimulation, and changes in multiple CD4+ T cell subsets. Those who received IL-2 showed a robust expansion of naive and total CD4+ T cell counts and T-reg counts. However, after IL-2 treatment, the frequency of TH17 cells declined, while counts of TH17 cells did not change due to an expansion of the CD4+ naïve T cell population (CD27+CD45RA+). Counts of HIV-1 Gag-specific T cells declined modestly, but CMV pp65 and CD3/CD28 stimulated populations did not change. Hence, in contrast with recent studies, our results suggest IL-2 is not a potent in vivo regulator of TH17 cell populations in HIV-1 disease. However, IL-2-mediated T-reg expansions may selectively reduce responses to certain antigen-specific populations, such as HIV-1 Gag.
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Introduction

Interleukin-17 (IL-17) is primarily secreted by CD4+ (TH17) cells and plays an important role in host defense by inducing and regulating inflammatory responses [1–7]. We and others have shown that, during HIV-1 and SIV infection, the frequency of TH17 cells decrease in both peripheral blood and gut associated lymphoid compartments. This depletion appears to be detrimental to the control of HIV-1/SIV infection [4, 5, 8–13]. In recent reports, this loss of TH17 cells in the gut mucosa after SIV or HIV infection may compromise the gut mucosa and lead to microbial translocation and increased immune activation [10, 13–15].

Previous studies have evaluated the effects of interleukin-2 (IL-2) on IL-17 secretion in non-HIV models
and have shown divergent results associating with either increases [1] or constraints [16] on production of TH17 cells [1–3, 16]. IL-2 has been used in conjunction with antiretrovirals to increase CD4+ T-cell counts in HIV-1 infection, and is approved in some European countries for this purpose [17–30]. The effect of IL-2 administration on TH17 cells in humans in vivo is not known.

IL-2 therapy in combination with ART has been shown to lead to elevated levels of CD4+ T cell counts and T-reg populations, and may exert an important influence on HIV-1 disease progression [30–39]. The results from two recent studies, the ESPRIT and SILCAAT trials were conducted to establish the clinical benefit of IL-2 immunotherapy. These studies suggested that, despite a substantial and sustained increase in the CD4+ cell count, as compared with antiretroviral therapy (ART) alone, IL-2 plus ART therapy yielded no added clinical benefit in either study [40]. It has been suggested elsewhere that, while IL-2 treatment does not associate with the outcome measuring death from any cause, it may have a beneficial effect on the incidence of opportunistic infection [41]. In other studies, clinical benefit has been observed after IL-2 treatment among anti-retroviral naive patients [42]. Other groups have observed that IL-2 improved immune responses against HIV-1, evidenced as decreased viremia, until IL-2 effects tapered off, with IL-2 helping to maintain CD4+ counts during partial treatment interruption (PTI) studies [43].

We employed the setting of a previously conducted, randomized IL-2 clinical trial in recently HIV-1-infected adults receiving ART, to explore IL-2 as a candidate for the manipulation of IL-17 expression. We also explore the effects of IL-2 immunotherapy on changes to the IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 T-cell response to HIV-1 Gag and CMV pp65 peptides, and polyclonal stimulation (CD3/CD28 stimulation). Others have shown that therapeutic SIV vaccination in the presence of low-dose IL-2 boosts CD8+ but not CD4+ T cell responses to SIV Gag in chronically SIV infected macaques [36]. The effect of IL-2 in HIV-1 infection on the cytotoxic and non-cytotoxic (CNAR) anti-HIV response has also been evaluated, and suggests preservation and expansion of this T cell mediated mechanism of response [30, 44]. In contrast, others have shown no functional benefit of IL-2 on CTL activity [45], while others have suggested an expansion of responses [46]. Yu et al. have shown proliferative responses of HIV-1 and CMV-specific CD8+ T cells restored by an in vitro addition of IL-2 [47]. Based on prior studies [16], we hypothesized that IL-2 mediated expansion of T-regs will broadly suppress inflammatory T cell responses and act as a negative regulator, lowering counts of IL-17 expressing CD4+ T cells.

### Methods

**Study Population** Specimens were obtained from persons enrolled in the OPTIONS study of early HIV-1 infection conducted in a university-based research clinic at the University of California, San Francisco. Among those enrolled in OPTIONS, approximately 90% are within 6 months of acquiring HIV-1 infection [48, 49]. All participants gave written, informed consent using protocols approved by the Committee on Human Research, University of California, San Francisco.

**Study Design** This study is derived from a randomized clinical trial of IL-2 administration to recently HIV-1 infected human adults who had achieved an HIV-1 RNA level in plasma of $<$500 copies/ml on a combination antiretroviral regimen (one protease inhibitor and/or one non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, and at least two nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors) that was initiated within 6 months or less of HIV-1 antibody seroconversion. IL-2 was given subcutaneously (7.5 million units, twice daily) for 5 days at 8-week intervals, with dose adjustments for toxicity. Patients were randomized to receive IL-2 within 4 weeks of achieving an HIV-1 RNA level $<$500 copies/ml, or to remain on ART alone for the next 48 weeks with an option of receiving IL-2 at the end of this period. This study was approved by the Committee on Human Research at the University of California, San Francisco. All study participants provided written informed consent prior to entering the study. HIV-1-uninfected controls employed in this study were acquired from the Stanford University Blood Bank (Palo Alto, CA, USA).

**Samples** Peripheral blood was collected by venipuncture in blood collection tubes with acid-citrate-dextrose (Vacutainer, BD Diagnostics, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were isolated from whole blood by Ficoll density gradient centrifugation, cryopreserved in fetal calf serum containing 10% DMSO, and stored at the UCSF AIDS specimen bank.

**T Cell Responses** Thawed and rested PBMC were stimulated with overlapping peptide pools (15mers overlapping by 11 aa) in the presence of 10 μg/mL Brefeldin A (Sigma Aldrich) for 18 h at 37°C. Peptide pools were HIV-1 SF2 GAG (4.8 μg/mL, 127 peptides, SynPep) and CMV pp65 (4.25 μg/mL, 138 peptides, SynPep). PBMC from each subject were also stimulated with a combination of plate bound anti-CD3 (0.3 μg/mL, Zymed) and soluble anti-CD28 (2.5 μg/mL, BD Pharmingen), and unstimulated cells were run in parallel as a negative control. Following stimulation, PBMCs were treated with 2 mmol/L EDTA,
washed in PBS, stained with AARD as described above, then fixed and permeabilized by a 10-min incubation in FACS lyse, and a 10-min incubation in FACS Perm (both from BD Biosciences) before staining with fluorescently labeled antibodies: CD3 and CD8 described above, CD4-PE-Texas Red (Invitrogen), IFN-γ-FITC, IL-2-PE, TNFα-Alexa Fluor 700 (all BD Bioscience), and IL-17 Alexa Fluor 647 (eBioscience). Cells were washed, re-suspended in FACS buffer, stored at 4°C, and run on a customized BD LSR II within 18 h. We defined a T cell response as a cytokine response to stimulus after subtraction of background signal. Any response with less than 100 events was excluded. A positive response was 0.07% (2 SD higher than responses of HIV-seronegative subjects) or higher. T cell counts reported here were derived by multiplying the fractional size of each T cell subset by the total, corresponding CD4+ or CD8+ T cell count/uL derived from clinical practice.

Flow Cytometry All samples were run on a customized BD LSR II Flow cytometer within 18 h of staining. Rainbow beads (Spherotec) were used to standardize instrument settings between runs. At least 300,000 lymphocytes were collected for each sample assayed by CFC and at least 200,000 lymphocytes collected for phenotyping assays. Data was compensated and analyzed by using Flowjo Software (TreeStar). The staining and gating strategy for identification of cytokine responses and T-reg cells are shown in supplemental Fig. S1. T-regulatory cell populations were identified as CD3+CD4+ T cells that express CD25 and FoxP3 and lack expression of CD127 (IL-7 receptor), this population has previously been identified as suppressive in functional assays in a number of studies [50, 51].

ELISPOT Assay The ELISPOT assay was used both for the detection of IFN-γ and IL-17 secreting cells using IFN-γ (Mabtech, Cincinnati, OH, USA) and IL-17 (eBioscience) antibody-paired reagents. Procedures for the ELISPOT analysis were as previously described [52]. In brief, equivalent antigen concentrations were used for HIV-1 peptides and CMV lysates. The optimal concentrations of TLR ligands (Invivogen) used were based on titrated amounts that elicited IFN-γ secretion. We employed Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B (SEB) at a concentration of 5 μg/ml (Sigma Aldrich). Soluble anti-CD3 (0.5 μg/mL, clone HIT3c; BD Biosciences) and soluble anti-CD28 (0.5 μg/mL clone 28.2; BD Biosciences) was used as previously described in the ELISPOT assay [4]. Spot totals for duplicate wells were averaged, and all spot numbers were normalized to numbers of IFN-γ spot-forming units (SFU) per 1×10^5 PBMCs. Spot values from medium control wells were subtracted to determine responses to each peptide. Responses >100 spots/10^5 PBMCs were considered as a positive responding population.

Statistical Analysis We tested for IL-2-associated changes on test variables by applying the sign rank test to test if the difference between pre- and post-IL-2 administration time periods within each group differed from zero. We compared differences in measurements between groups at baseline and during the post-IL-2 time period with the Wilcoxon two-sample test. We assessed correlations between continuous variables by use of the Spearman rank correlation test. Data were manipulated and statistical tests performed in the SAS System 9.2 for Windows XP. We employed GraphPad/Prism (La Jolla, CA, USA) to display results from this study.

Results

Study Population and Description of HAART and IL-2 Therapy

Using HIV-1 as a model to study the effects of IL-2 on IL-17 production in vivo, we studied 18 participants from a randomized clinical trial of IL-2 performed at the University of California San Francisco. We restricted selection of IL-2 treated subjects to those who completed at least five cycles (out of a possible six) of IL-2 (Table I). All 18 adults remained on ART for at least 1 year after randomization. Of these 18 subjects, 11 subjects received IL-2 and are referred to as “ART + IL-2.” The remaining seven comparison subjects who received ART therapy only during the study period are referred to as “ART.” Virologic responses to ART were excellent among all participants who achieved and maintained complete virologic suppression for the duration of the study. We did not observe a viral rebound effect among those who either did or did not receive IL-2. We focused on measures at two time points. Visit 1 was designated at the time when a viral load of less than 500 copies/mL had been achieved on ART, but before IL-2 had been administered in the ART + IL-2 group, and as corresponding time in the ART only group. Visit 2 was approximately 48 weeks later, and represented a time when at least five cycles of IL-2 therapy had been administered in the ART + IL-2, and represented a corresponding time on treatment in the ART alone group.

Characterization of IL-17 Responses

The elicitation of IL-17 by various mutagens is differentially regulated in mouse and human, and the endogenous factors eliciting IL-17 are poorly characterized [53]. Viral
peptides fail to elicit IL-17 production but may be elicited by other microbial pathogens [4, 6, 9, 13, 54, 55]. To induce consistent IL-17 secretion, we measured the expression of IL-17 in response to various TLR agonists, bacterial components, viral antigens, and polyclonal stimulation to determine the best mitogen to induce reliable IL-17 secretion. We observed robust secretion of IFN-γ in response to TLR ligands 1–9, anti-CD3/CD28 mAb mixture, and SEB from PBMCs or sorted CD4 T cells by an ELISPOT assay. Little to no secretion of IL-17 was seen in response to TLR (Toll-like receptor) ligands, viral peptides (Fig. 1a–d), or candidal antigens. Stimulation with either SEB or anti-CD3/CD28 mAb mixture elicited consistent IL-17 secretion (Fig. 1a–d) in overnight cell cultures. This is in line with our previous results and by others showing that differentiation by cytokine polarizing IL-17 induction or pathogens all appear to rely on prior anti-CD3/CD28 elicitation [4, 6, 54, 56]. Due to the restricted TCR repertoire of SEB, we elicited IL-17 responses by CD3/CD28 stimulation. We selected this stimulation for consistency not by use of bacterial or fungal stimuli, whose presence in the periphery during early infection may be very low. Furthermore, consistent with prior reports [4, 5, 10, 13], IL-17 levels were lower in HIV-infected subjects compared to healthy subjects (Fig. 1a).

Baseline T Cell Responses to Stimuli at Visit 1 (Prior to IL-2 on Both Randomized Populations) We observed that the magnitude of T cell response cell counts at visit 1 (pre-IL-2 trial period) in response to CD3/CD28, HIV-1 Gag, and CMV pp65 peptide pools in the two patient populations did not differ. Thus, the patient groups intended to subsequently receive IL-2 and not receive IL-2 did not differ from one another at baseline and, hence, show evidence the groups were well randomized (Table I).

We observed that IL-17 responses were not induced by viral peptide pool stimulation of T cells in either CD4+ or CD8+ T cells, but were induced by TCR (anti-CD3/CD28) cross-linking in both groups by CD4+ T cells and did not differ between the two groups (Fig. 2).

Changes in the CD4 T Cell Subsets with or without IL-2 Therapy at Visit 2

For those who received ART+IL-2, CD4+ T cell counts increased a median of 1,117 cells/μL (interquartile range (IQR) (672, 1775)) from baseline but showed much more limited increases in those who received ART alone (median +123 cells/μL (IQR 0, 366)) (Table I, Fig. 3a). CD8+ T cell counts did not increase from baseline for either group (p=0.28 for ART+IL-2 group and p=0.44 for ART only group). Frequencies and counts of T-reg cells increased significantly among those who received IL-2, but did not change among those who did not receive IL-2 (Fig. 3b, c). The expanded T-reg cells were primarily of a

Table I Demographic, Clinical, and Laboratory Measures at Study Entry All Patients on Suppressive ART, but Prior to Receipt of Study Drug

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>All Subjects Median (IQR)</th>
<th>ART Only Arm Median (IQR)</th>
<th>ART + IL-2 Arm Median (IQR)</th>
<th>P = b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4+ T cell count (cells/mL)</td>
<td>630 (525, 810)</td>
<td>630 (432, 810)</td>
<td>630 (525, 817)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral Load (log10 c/mL)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.3, 2.3)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.3, 2.3)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.3, 2.3)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8+ T Cell Activation (% CD38, HLA-DR)</td>
<td>22.5 (15.9, 28.9)</td>
<td>21.2 (17.2, 30.5)</td>
<td>23.7 (14.1, 28.9)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at Study Entry (Years)</td>
<td>34 (29.3, 39.8)</td>
<td>39.4 (31.8, 39.8)</td>
<td>32.4 (28.2, 44.4)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time From Cohort Entry (Days)</td>
<td>102 (75, 133)</td>
<td>105 (98, 133)</td>
<td>95 (69, 164)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time on Anti-Retroviral Treatment (Days)</td>
<td>84 (56, 97)</td>
<td>84 (83, 87)</td>
<td>82 (49, 150)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number (Percent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>17 (94%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanicc</td>
<td>1 (6%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Gender</td>
<td>18 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Study entry is the time at which individuals were randomized to either receive or not receive IL-2. This randomization occurred after individuals demonstrated complete virologic response to ART within 6 months of starting therapy

b Difference between study groups at first study time-point (Wilcoxon 2 sample test or Fisher’s exact)

c May be any race

The table includes demographic, clinical, and laboratory measures at study entry for all patients on suppressive ART, but prior to receipt of study drug. The data are presented as median (interquartile range) for continuous variables and number (percent) for categorical variables. The table highlights differences between the ART only arm and the ART + IL-2 arm using Wilcoxon 2 sample test or Fisher’s exact test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively.
Fig. 1 Detection of IL-17 secretion and Flow gating strategy to identify CD4+ and CD8+ Cytokine T cell responses. a Elispot assay for TLR stimulation HIV-1 uninfected (n=4) and 2 HIV-infected subjects on IL-2 and 2 subjects without IL-2 (n=4). b Gating strategy for identification of cytokine elicited T cell responses and of T regulatory cell subsets. a−d Plots show PBMCs from a representative HIV-1 infected subject. (See Table 1). a−e Intracellular detection of IL-17, IFN-γ, TNF-α, and IL-2 cytokine production elicited by either anti-CD3/CD28 mAb, Gag, or Pp65 peptide pool stimulation by b CD4+ and e CD8+ T cell subsets. Arrowheads indicated the gated population subsequently analyzed. Fluorescence minus one (FMO) samples were used to define the gates used.
naive phenotype (FOXP3+CD45RA+ cells) (Sup Figure 1A; Sup Methods). We further examined maturation markers (CD27, CD28, CD45RA) (Sup Methods) on total CD4+ and CD8+ T cells before and after IL-2 administration. We found that the ART+IL-2 treated group experienced a significant and large increase in the frequency of naïve (CD27+CD28+CD45RA+) CD4+ T cell pool (+10 percentage points from visit 1, \( p < 0.01 \) (Fig. 3d), and experienced a trend towards increase in the naïve CD8+ T cell pool (+5 percentage points from visit 1, \( p = 0.08 \)). To better assess the effects of IL-2 therapy on IL-17 T cell response counts, we analyzed the change in counts from visit 1 (pre-IL-2) to visit 2 (post-IL-2). By examining the degree of change, and not absolute magnitude of change, we aimed to better control for individual variations in baseline responses.

Changes in the T17 Cell Responses with or without IL-2 Therapy at Visit 2

We next measured changes in frequency and counts of cells expressing IL-17 in response to anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation (T cells responding to this stimuli may be of any specificity) (Figs. 4 and 5). Among those who received ART+IL-2, we found that responding IL-17 CD4+ T cells, as well as IFN-\( \gamma \), IL-2, and TNF-\( \alpha \), CD4+ T cell responses declined in frequency from visit 1 to visit 2 among those who received ART+IL-2 (Fig. 4), but not for those who received ART alone. CD8+ T cell IFN-\( \gamma \), TNF-\( \alpha \) and polyclonal responses to CD3/CD28 also declined in frequency, but only among the ART + IL-2 group (Fig. 4). We next examined changes in CD4+ and CD8+ T cell counts in response to CD3/CD28. In contrast to the decline in frequency of T cell responses to CD3/CD28 in the IL-2-treated group, we found no change in the count of either polyfunctional T cells or T cells that expressed only either IL-17 or IFN-\( \gamma \) (Fig. 5). However, among the ART+IL-2 group, the counts of CD4+ (but not CD8+) T cells that expressed IL-2 or TNF-\( \alpha \) increased significantly from baseline for the ART+IL-2 group (Fig. 5). That the frequency of CD3/CD28 responses declined, while absolute counts either did not decline or in fact increased, may be explained by an expansion of naïve CD4+ and CD8+ T cell populations following IL-2 administration (Fig. 3d for CD4+ data), cell populations that may be unresponsive or only partially responsive to stimuli, as is discussed below.

Changes in HIV Gag Specific and not CMV pp65 CD4+ and CD8+ T Cell Responses with or without IL-2 Therapy

In Fig. 6a, we observe that ART+IL-2 participants showed significant decreases in the counts of Gag-specific CD8+ T cells expressing IFN-\( \gamma \) and TNF-\( \alpha \) compared with baseline. In addition, polyfunctional Gag-specific T cells (CD8+INF\( \gamma \)+IL2+TNF\( \alpha \) T cells and CD4+ and CD8+ INF\( \gamma \)+TNF\( \alpha \)+ T cells) declined from baseline in these participants (Fig. 6a). The frequencies of CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses to HIV-1 Gag declined for those who received IL-2 but not for those who received ART alone. The changes are modest in absolute counts, but as these cell responses typically make up only a small faction of total T cell pools, even these modest declines in counts are likely biologically relevant.

Consistent with prior reports, we found little expression of IL-17 levels in either HIV-1 Gag-specific CD4+ or CD8+ T cells [4] (Fig. 6a). Among those who received ART+IL-2, we observed general agreement between...
changes in frequencies and changes in counts for HIV-1 Gag CD4+ or CD8+ T cell responses. In contrast to HIV-1 Gag-specific T cell responses (Fig. 6a), and consistent with findings for CD3/CD28 stimulated T cells (Fig. 4), we found that counts of CMV pp65-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cells did not change (Fig. 6b) among those who received ART+IL-2. The frequencies of CMV pp65-specific CD4+, but not CD8+ T cell responses, declined for those who received ART+IL-2 but not for those who received ART alone.

### Relationship of Change in T-reg Frequencies to Change in T Cell Responses to HIV-1 Gag Among Those Who Received ART and IL-2

We observed (Fig. 7) that an increase in T-reg frequency attributable to IL-2 use, among the ART + IL-2 treated group, was associated with declines in IFN-γ, TNF-α CD4+ T cell responses to HIV-1 Gag, tended to associate with IL-2 responses to Gag, but did not associate with changes in IL-17 responses to Gag ($p=0.9$).
Discussion

We observed that administration of IL-2 resulted in no change in the numbers of peripheral blood T_{H17} cells \([1-3, 16]\). IL-2 administration during ART leads to increases in total CD4+ T cell and T-reg populations. Among those who received IL-2, the bulk of the increase in the CD4+ T cell population appeared to be attributable to an expansion of naïve CD4+ T cells (CD27+/CD45A+). Hence, while T_{H17} counts after IL-2 did not change, IL-2 recipients did experience a decline in the frequency of T_{H17} responses to CD3/CD28. This effect was likely due to an increase of naïve CD4+ T and CD8+ cells that were not responsive to stimuli, diluting the frequency of T_{H17} cells. Previous reports have suggested IL-2, which expands T-reg populations, may limit IL-17 CD4+ populations \([16]\) in a STAT5-dependent manner, which is consistent with our findings. Indeed, taken together, our data suggest IL-2 limits

![Fig. 4](image)

Fig. 4 Change in frequencies of CD3/CD28 responding T cells after ART+IL-2: On the left-hand side of Fig. 5 are changes in frequency of CD4+ T cell responses to CD3/CD28 stimulation, and on the right-hand side are CD8+ T cell responses to CD3/CD28 stimulation. The ART-only group is shown in green, the group that received ART+IL-2 is shown in orange. The frequency of CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses to CD3/CD28 stimulation were observed to significantly decrease after IL-2 treatment (ART+IL-2, orange) for multiple cytokine response categories. Asterisks, \(p<0.05\) by sign rank test (change from baseline)

![Fig. 5](image)

Fig. 5 Change in counts of CD3/CD28 responding T cells after ART+IL-2 (a). The data shown are the change from in count from visit 1 to visit 2 counts (calculated as visit 2 values minus visit 1 values). On the left-hand side are changes in counts of CD4+ T cell responses to CD3/CD28 stimulation, and on the right-hand side are CD8+ T cell responses to CD3/CD28 stimulation. The ART-only group is shown in green, the group that received ART+IL-2 is shown in orange. In contrast to frequencies, the counts of CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses to CD3/CD28 stimulation were not observed to decrease after IL-2 treatment (ART+IL-2, orange) for multiple cytokine response categories (asterisks, \(p<0.05\) by sign rank test (change from baseline))
Fig. 6  Decline in counts of Gag responsive T cells for ART+IL-2 and no change in pp65 responding. Data shown is the difference between counts of a HIV-1 Gag and b CMV pp65, T cell responses between pre and post IL-2 treatment (visit 2 counts minus visit 1 counts). On the left-hand side of Fig. 5 are changes in counts of CD4+ T cell responses to Gag or CMV peptide stimulation, and on the right-hand side are CD8+ T cell responses to Gag or CMV peptide stimulation, and an asterisk, p<0.05 by sign rank test (change from baseline). More detail may be found in supplementary Fig. 2.

Fig. 7  Relationship of Change in CD4+ T cell cytokine responses to HIV-1 Gag stimulation to change in the frequency of T-reg cells. Change was calculated from pre to post-IL-2 treatment, among the IL-2 treated group only. Correlations are Spearman rank tests. An increase in T-reg frequency was associated with decreases in IFN-γ, IL-2, and TNF-α responses, but not IL-17 responses.
production of—but does not reverse—IL-17 expression in CD4+ T cells in humans.

Among those who received ART and IL-2, we observed modest but significant decreases in counts of CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses to HIV-1 Gag. Responses to CD3/CD28 and CMV pp65 stimuli did not change. An expansion of naïve CD4+ and CD8+ T cells appears to have diluted the frequency of T cells responding to CD3/CD28 and CMV pp65 stimu stimuli among the IL-2 recipients. Our findings indicate that the administration of IL-2, and expansion of T-reg populations, was associated with reduction of select T cell responses, such as suppression of HIV-1 Gag responses, while failing to suppress T cell responses of other specificities, such as CMV pp65. This suppressive activity may have been dependent on the functional profile of the Gag response, rather than upon an antigen-specific T-regulatory response. HIV-1-specific T cell responses have fewer polyfunctional (IFN-γ/IFN-α) CD8+ T cells, being dominated by IFN-γ responses. The IL-2 expanded T-reg population may have selectively targeted mono-functional T cell responses to Gag—that is cell populations expressing only one cytokine, such as IFN-γ. The specific characteristics of individual antigen-specific populations may render themselves targets for T-reg suppressive activity, perhaps by “adaptive” Tregs produced in the periphery and in response to infection. “Adaptive” T-regs are a population of T-regs that may be derived from the total CD4+ T cell pool by a cytokine such as TGF-β or IL-2, and act to suppress and regulate antigen specific T cell responses during infection [57]. Selective reduction of monofunctional T cell populations by T-regs may ensure balanced expression of cytokines of varying action at the local tissue level.

Our observation of an IL-2-mediated expansion of a naïve CD4+ T cell population that does not mount an IFN-γ response to polyclonal stimulation is consistent with prior reports by Sereti et al. [60]. We extend these findings by suggesting that IL-2 may expand a population of CD8+ T cells that do not respond to CD3/CD28 stimulation, nor to antigen-specific stimuli. That said, in contrast to IFN-γ and IL-17 responses, the absolute count of CD3/CD28-stimulated CD4+ T cells expressing IL-2 and TNF-α increased significantly from baseline in IL-2-treated participants. The increase in IL-2 may be explained as an effect of up-regulation of IL-2 production secondary to exogenous IL-2 administration and stimulation through CD25, the IL-2 receptor. Expanded T-reg populations may have broadly suppressed production of new monofunctional IFN-γ responses among the polyclonal CD3/CD28 responding population, holding that population constant in number, similar to the effect observed for HIV-1 Gag IFN-γ responses.

Two recent studies highlight the role of IFN-γ in control of T-regulatory cell activity and type-1 T cell responses [58, 59] in auto-immune models. Some have suggested that IL-17 may be negatively regulated by inflammatory cytokines present during HIV-1 infection, such as IFN-γ [61]. We observed that the IL-2-mediated expansion of T-regs did associate with a reduction in the IFN-γ response to HIV-1 Gag stimulation. Therefore, it bears mention that manipulation of IFN-γ via the effects of IL-2 might independently or synergistically modulate select T-regulatory cell subsets. However, in our study, we did not observe a general or broad decline in T cell IFN-γ expression, nor of TH17 counts, across stimuli, among IL-2-treated persons.

Alternative strategies to the manipulation of IL-17 levels in humans, including the evaluation of IL-1β, IL-23, and IL-6 [62], may be required. That said, the failure of IL-2 to expand IL-17 producing CD4+ T cells while increasing T-reg populations may augur well for IL-2 use in auto-immunity, diseases characterized by depleted T-reg populations, and elevated IL-17 expression. To achieve expanded T-reg counts and function, as well as increased CD4+ T cell IL-17 expression, might require IL-2 and the added manipulation of ROR-γt [55], IL-6, IL-23, and/or TGF-β.

As most participants continued on an anti-retroviral regimen after the IL-2 trial had been concluded, our study could not determine if IL-2-mediated expansion of T-regs, and the associated reductions in monofunctional IFN-γ expressing Gag T cell responses, will confer any long-term clinical benefit for these persons once they halt treatment. That said, newly expanded T-reg cells may allow suppression of inflammatory T cell responses, such as those to HIV-1 Gag. These Gag responses are narrow in function, may be ineffective or harmful to the host, perhaps via exaggerated IFN-γ levels, in turn suppressing IL-17 and disabling responses to infection [61]. That IL-17 producing CD4+ T cell populations, which may be beneficial in HIV-1 disease, were not expanded may offset the benefit of a restored T-reg population. Further human trials of the effects on IL-2 on T-reg suppression of select T cell responses, such as IFN-γ and the factors which may expand IL-17 production, may reveal the appropriate balance and function of these regulatory T cell populations in infection and healthy adults.
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